

# Introduction to Normed $\ast$ -Algebras and their Representations, 5th ed.

Marco Thill



# Contents

Preface	v
<b>Part 1. Spectral Theory of Banach *-Algebras</b>	<b>1</b>
Chapter 1. Basic Properties of the Spectrum	3
§ 1. *-Algebras and their Unitisation	3
§ 2. Normed *-Algebras and their Unitisation	7
§ 3. The Completion of a Normed Algebra	11
§ 4. The Spectrum	13
§ 5. The Spectral Radius Formula: $r_\lambda(\cdot)$	17
§ 6. Properties of $r_\lambda(\cdot)$	20
§ 7. The Pták Function: $r_\sigma(\cdot)$	23
§ 8. Automatic Continuity	26
§ 9. Square Roots of Invertible Elements	29
§ 10. The Boundary of the Spectrum	32
§ 11. Hermitian Banach *-Algebras	34
§ 12. An Operational Calculus	38
§ 13. Odds and Ends: Questions of Imbedding	40
Chapter 2. The Gelfand Transformation	43
§ 14. Multiplicative Linear Functionals	43
§ 15. The Gelfand Topology	49
§ 16. The Stone-Čech Compactification	53
Chapter 3. Positive Elements	57
§ 17. The Positive Cone in a Hermitian Banach *-Algebra	57
§ 18. The Polar Factorisation of Invertible Elements	62
§ 19. The Order Structure in a C*-Algebra	65
§ 20. The Canonical Approximate Unit in a C*-Algebra	71
§ 21. Quotients and Images of C*-Algebras	73
<b>Part 2. Representations and Positive Linear Functionals</b>	<b>77</b>
Chapter 4. General Properties of Representations	79
§ 22. Continuity Properties of Representations	79
§ 23. Cyclic and Non-Degenerate Representations	85
Chapter 5. States	91
§ 24. The GNS Construction	91

§ 25. States on Normed $*$ -Algebras	97
§ 26. Presence of a Unit or Approximate Unit	100
§ 27. The Theorem of Varopoulos	105
Chapter 6. The Enveloping $C^*$ -Algebra	109
§ 28. $C^*(A)$	109
§ 29. The Theorems of Raïkov and of Gelfand & Naimark	115
§ 30. Commutativity	119
Chapter 7. Irreducible Representations	123
§ 31. General $*$ -Algebras: Indecomposable Functionals	123
§ 32. Normed $*$ -Algebras: Pure States	128
§ 33. The Left Spectrum in Hermitian Banach $*$ -Algebras	133
<b>Part 3. Spectral Theory of Representations</b>	<b>137</b>
Chapter 8. Representations by Normal Bounded Operators	139
§ 34. Spectral Measures	139
§ 35. Spectral Theorems	146
§ 36. Von Neumann Algebras	154
Chapter 9. Multiplication Operators and their Applications	161
§ 37. Multiplication Operators	161
§ 38. The Spectral Representation	163
§ 39. Separability: the Range	167
§ 40. Separability: the Domain	170
Chapter 10. Single Unbounded Self-Adjoint Operators	175
§ 41. Spectral Integrals of Unbounded Functions	175
§ 42. The Spectral Theorem for Self-adjoint Operators	181
§ 43. Self-Adjoint Operators as Generators	187
<b>Appendix</b>	<b>189</b>
§ 44. Quotient Spaces	189
§ 45. Reminder on Topology	191
§ 46. Complements to Integration Theory	193
Bibliography	197
Index	201

## Preface

A synopsis of the work is given in the next three paragraphs.

In part 1, the by now classical spectral theory of Banach  $*$ -algebras is developed, including the Shirali-Ford Theorem (17.14), which is less common for an introduction.

In part 2, the theory of states on a normed  $*$ -algebra is examined, stripped of the usual assumptions of completeness, presence of an approximate unit, and isometry of the involution. One idea is to consider continuity of mappings on the real subspace  $A_{sa}$  of Hermitian elements only. We also exploit the idea of Berg, Christensen, Ressel [65, Theorem 4.1.14], which becomes our items (22.5) - (22.7). This deduction of contractivity on  $A_{sa}$  from weak continuity on  $A_{sa}$  was published first (in a different form) in the first edition of this work. Rather than merely proving the Gelfand-Naïmark Theorem, we attach to each normed  $*$ -algebra a  $C^*$ -algebra, which may be used to pull down results. The construction of this so-called enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra is one of the main goals of this text.

In part 3, we consider Spectral Theorems using integrals converging in norm in the bounded case, and pointwise in the unbounded case. Our Cauchy-Bochner type of approach leads to easier constructions, simpler proofs, and stronger results than the more conventional approach via weakly convergent integrals. We give the Spectral Theorem for non-degenerate representations in Hilbert spaces  $\neq \{0\}$  of perfectly general commutative  $*$ -algebras, rather than only of commutative Banach  $*$ -algebras, or even only of commutative  $C^*$ -algebras. This is possible at little extra effort, and it does not seem to have permeated the mathematical folklore that this is possible at all. We have tried to be didactic in our derivation of the main disintegrations (the abstract Bochner Theorem as well as the Spectral Theorem), in that we get these results in an easy step from the corresponding result for  $C^*$ -algebras by taking an image measure.

This book is suitable for accompanying a lecture, or for self-study. One of the primary design goals of this text has been that it should be able to serve as a reference. It also could function as an underpinning for an intermediate course in representation theory leading to the more advanced treatments. Therefore the prerequisite for reading this work is a first course in functional analysis, which nowadays can

be expected to cover the Commutative Gelfand-Naïmark Theorem as well as its ingredients: the notion of a  $C^*$ -algebra, the weak\* topology and Alaoglu's Theorem, as well as the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem. A course in integration theory covering the Riesz Representation Theorem is needed for the last part of the book on the spectral theory of representations. The present text nicely complements the beautiful introductory text by Mosak [26].

What is not included in the text:  $H^*$ -algebras and group algebras are not treated for lack of free energy. For  $H^*$ -algebras we refer the reader to Gaal [22, Chapter I, § 9], and for group algebras (abstract harmonic analysis), to Folland [60]. We have taken a minimalistic approach, in that we develop only so much of the material as is necessary for a good grasp of the basic theory. Also, we have avoided material on general Banach algebras, which is not needed for Banach algebras with involution. In this spirit, the following omissions have been made. The holomorphic functional calculus is not developed, as we can do with only power series and the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem. Similarly the radical is not considered as we can do with only the  $*$ -radical. Neither touched upon are the developments of Theodore W. Palmer [29] concerning spectral algebras, which surely is a major omission, especially in the present context.

Now for the “version history” of the work.

The first four editions were published by Kassel University Press in Germany.

The second edition differs from the first one, in that the first edition considered normed  $*$ -algebras with isometric involution exclusively. In this work, the involution may be discontinuous. I gratefully acknowledge that Theodore W. Palmer and Torben Maack Bisgaard brought to my attention a few crucial mistakes in a draft of the second edition.

Since the third edition, the work is typeset in  $\text{\LaTeX}$  2 $\epsilon$ , and contains an index (with currently more than 450 entries). The content of the third edition differs mainly from that of the second edition, in that the spectral theory of representations now deals with general commutative  $*$ -algebras, rather than merely with normed commutative  $*$ -algebras.

Since the fourth edition, the text is typeset in  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-}\text{\LaTeX}$ . Chapter 3 has been made completely independent of Chapter 2, and thereby freed of the use of the full version of the axiom of choice, cf. (13.9).

The present fifth edition features many minor improvements. The book has been completed in some respects. One appendix has been added: § 45. Also six new paragraphs have been included: § 10, § 16, § 18, § 33, § 40, § 43. The material depending on measure theory has been made to work with most, if not all, of the prevalent definitions. Many thanks to Torben Maack Bisgaard who reported many typos as well as a couple of errors, and who made useful suggestions.

## Part 1

# Spectral Theory of Banach \*-Algebras





## CHAPTER 1

### Basic Properties of the Spectrum

#### § 1. \*-Algebras and their Unitisation

(1.1). **DEFINITION** (algebra). An algebra is a complex vector space  $A$  equipped with an associative multiplication  $(a, b) \mapsto ab$  satisfying the following laws:

$$\lambda(ab) = (\lambda a)b = a(\lambda b)$$

$$c(a + b) = ca + cb$$

$$(a + b)c = ac + bc$$

for all  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  and all  $a, b, c$  in  $A$ .

Please note that we consider complex algebras only.

(1.2). **EXAMPLE** ( $\text{End}(V)$ ). If  $V$  is a complex vector space, then the vector space  $\text{End}(V)$  of linear operators on  $V$  is an algebra under composition. (Here “End” stands for endomorphism.)

(1.3). **DEFINITION** (\*-algebra). A \*-algebra is an algebra  $A$  together with an involution  $*$  :  $A \rightarrow A$ ,  $a \mapsto a^*$ , such that for all  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  and all  $a, b$  in  $A$ , one has

$$(a^*)^* = a \quad (\text{involution})$$

$$(a + b)^* = a^* + b^*$$

$$(ab)^* = b^*a^*$$

$$(\lambda a)^* = \bar{\lambda}a^*.$$

For  $a \in A$ , the element  $a^*$  is called the adjoint of  $a$ .

Please note the precise behaviour of the involution as it acts on a product.

(1.4). **EXAMPLE** ( $B(H)$ ). If  $H$  is a complex Hilbert space, then the algebra  $B(H)$  of bounded linear operators on  $H$  is a \*-algebra under the operation of taking the adjoint of an operator.

All pre-Hilbert spaces in this book shall be complex pre-Hilbert spaces.

(1.5). **EXAMPLE** (the group ring  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ ). Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $G$  be multiplicatively written. One denotes by  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  the complex vector space of complex-valued functions  $a$  on  $G$  of finite carrier:  $\text{carr}(a) = \{a \neq 0\}$ . One may consider  $G$  as a basis for  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ . More precisely, for  $g$  in  $G$ , one may consider the function  $\delta_g$  in  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  defined as the function taking the value 1 at  $g$  and vanishing everywhere else. For  $a$  in  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ , we then have

$$a = \sum_{g \in G} a(g) \delta_g.$$

It follows that  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  carries a unique structure of algebra, such that its multiplication extends the one of  $G$ , namely

$$ab = \sum_{g, h \in G} a(g) b(h) \delta_{gh} \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{C}[G]).$$

An involution then is introduced by defining

$$a^* := \sum_{g \in G} \overline{a(g)} \delta_{g^{-1}} \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}[G]).$$

This makes  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  into a  $*$ -algebra, called the group ring of  $G$ .

(1.6). **DEFINITION** (Hermitian and normal elements). Let  $A$  be a  $*$ -algebra. An element  $a$  of  $A$  is called Hermitian, or self-adjoint, if  $a = a^*$ . An element  $b$  of  $A$  is called normal, if  $b^*b = bb^*$ , that is, if  $b$  and  $b^*$  commute. Every Hermitian element is normal of course.

(1.7). **DEFINITION** ( $A_{sa}$ ). If  $A$  is a  $*$ -algebra, one denotes by  $A_{sa}$  the set of Hermitian elements of  $A$ . Here “sa” stands for “self-adjoint”.

(1.8). **REMARK**. One notes that an arbitrary element  $c$  of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  can be written uniquely as  $c = a + ib$  with  $a, b$  in  $A_{sa}$ , namely  $a = (c + c^*)/2$  and  $b = (c - c^*)/2i$ . Furthermore the element  $c$  is normal if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  commute. Hence:

(1.9). **PROPOSITION**. *A  $*$ -algebra is commutative if and only if each of its elements is normal.*

(1.10). **PROPOSITION**. *If  $a, b$  are Hermitian elements of a  $*$ -algebra, then the product  $ab$  is Hermitian if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  commute.*

(1.11). **DEFINITION** (unit, unital algebra). Let  $A$  be an algebra. A unit in  $A$  is a non-zero element  $e$  of  $A$  such that

$$ea = ae = a$$

for all  $a$  in  $A$ . One says that  $A$  is a unital algebra if  $A$  has a unit.

Please note that a unit is required to be different from the zero-element. An algebra can have at most one unit, as is easily seen. Hence any unit in a \*-algebra is Hermitian.

(1.12). **EXAMPLE.** If  $G$  is a group with unit  $e$ , then  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  is a unital \*-algebra with unit  $\delta_e$ .

(1.13). **DEFINITION** (unitisation). Let  $A$  be an algebra. If  $A$  is unital, one defines  $\tilde{A} := A$ . Assume now that  $A$  has no unit. One then defines  $\tilde{A} := \mathbb{C} \oplus A$  (direct sum of vector spaces). One imbeds  $A$  into  $\tilde{A}$  via  $a \mapsto (0, a)$ . One defines  $e := (1, 0)$ , so that  $(\lambda, a) = \lambda e + a$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \in A$ . In order for  $\tilde{A}$  to become a unital algebra with  $e$  as unit and with multiplication extending the one in  $A$ , the multiplication in  $\tilde{A}$  must be defined by

$$(\lambda e + a)(\mu e + b) = (\lambda\mu)e + (\lambda b + \mu a + ab) \quad (\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}, a, b \in A),$$

and this definition indeed satisfies the requirements. One says that  $\tilde{A}$  is the unitisation of  $A$ . If  $A$  is a \*-algebra, one makes  $\tilde{A}$  into a unital \*-algebra by putting

$$(\lambda e + a)^* := \bar{\lambda}e + a^* \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, a \in A).$$

(1.14). **DEFINITION** (algebra homomorphisms). Let  $A, B$  be algebras. An algebra homomorphism from  $A$  to  $B$  is a linear mapping  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\pi(ab) = \pi(a)\pi(b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . If  $A, B$  are \*-algebras, and if  $\pi$  furthermore satisfies  $\pi(a^*) = \pi(a)^*$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ , then  $\pi$  is called a \*-algebra homomorphism.

(1.15). **DEFINITION** (unital algebra homomorphisms). Let  $A, B$  be unital algebras with units  $e_A, e_B$  respectively. An algebra homomorphism  $\pi$  from  $A$  to  $B$  is called unital, if it satisfies  $\pi(e_A) = e_B$ .

Our last topic in this paragraph will be subalgebras.

(1.16). **DEFINITION** (subalgebra, \*-subalgebra). A subalgebra of an algebra  $A$  is a complex vector subspace  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $\overline{ab} \in B$  whenever  $a, b \in B$ . A \*-subalgebra of a \*-algebra  $A$  is a subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  containing with each element  $b$  its adjoint  $b^*$  in  $A$ .

(1.17). **DEFINITION** (unital subalgebra). If  $A$  is a unital algebra, then a subalgebra of  $A$  containing the unit of  $A$  is called a unital subalgebra of  $A$ .

The following two definitions are our own.

(1.18). **DEFINITION** ( $\sim$ -unital subalgebra). Let  $B$  be a subalgebra of an algebra  $A$ . We shall say that  $B$  is  $\sim$ -unital in  $A$ , if either  $B$  has no unit, or else the unit in  $B$  also is a unit in  $A$ .

We next define a sensible imbedding. (The only sensible one.)

(1.19). **DEFINITION** (the canonical imbedding). Let  $B$  be a subalgebra of an algebra  $A$ . The canonical imbedding of  $\tilde{B}$  into  $\tilde{A}$  is described as follows. If  $B$  has a unit, it is the map  $\tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$  which is the identical map on  $\tilde{B} = B$ . If  $B$  has no unit, it is the only linear map  $\tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$  which is the identical map on  $B$  and which maps unit to unit.

The next statement gives a rationale for the preceding two definitions.

(1.20). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $B$  be a subalgebra of an algebra  $A$ . Then  $B$  is  $\sim$ -unital in  $A$  if and only if  $\tilde{B}$  is a unital subalgebra of  $\tilde{A}$  under the canonical imbedding.*

## § 2. Normed \*-Algebras and their Unitisation

(2.1). **DEFINITION** (algebra norm, normed algebra, Banach algebra). An algebra norm on an algebra  $A$  is a norm  $|\cdot|$  on  $A$  such that

$$|ab| \leq |a| \cdot |b|$$

for all  $a, b$  in  $A$ . The pair  $(A, |\cdot|)$  then is called a normed algebra. A normed algebra is called a Banach algebra if the underlying normed space is complete, i.e. if it is a Banach space.

(2.2). **EXAMPLE.** If  $V$  is a complex normed space, we denote by  $B(V)$  the set of bounded linear operators on  $V$ . It is a normed algebra under the operator norm. If  $V$  furthermore is complete, i.e. if it is a Banach space, then  $B(V)$  is a Banach algebra.

(2.3). **DEFINITION** (normed \*-algebra, Banach \*-algebra). A \*-algebra equipped with an algebra norm shall be called a normed \*-algebra. A Banach \*-algebra shall be a normed \*-algebra such that the underlying normed space is complete.

(2.4). **EXAMPLE** ( $B(H)$ ). If  $H$  is a complex Hilbert space, then the Banach algebra  $B(H)$  of bounded linear operators on  $H$  is a Banach \*-algebra under the operation of taking the adjoint of an operator.

(2.5). **DEFINITION** (auxiliary \*-norm). Let  $(A, |\cdot|)$  be a normed \*-algebra. One says that the involution in  $A$  is isometric if

$$|a^*| = |a| \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

One then also says that the norm  $|\cdot|$  is a \*-norm, and that  $A$  is \*-normed. If the involution is not isometric, one can introduce an auxiliary algebra norm by putting

$$\|a\| := \sup \{ |a|, |a^*| \} \quad (a \in A).$$

In this norm the involution is isometric. We shall call this norm the auxiliary \*-norm.

We shall reserve the notation  $\|\cdot\|$  for the auxiliary \*-norm, for \*-norms in general, and for the norms on pre-C\*-algebras in particular, see (2.8) below.

(2.6). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A$  be a normed \*-algebra. If the involution in  $A$  is continuous, there exists  $c > 0$  such that*

$$|a^*| \leq c |a|$$

*for all  $a$  in  $A$ . The auxiliary \*-norm then is equivalent to the original norm.*

(2.7). **EXAMPLE.** Let  $G$  be a group. One introduces an algebra norm  $|\cdot|$  on  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  by putting

$$|a| := \sum_{g \in G} |a(g)| \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}[G]),$$

thus making  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  into a normed  $*$ -algebra with isometric involution. (Recall (1.5).)

(2.8). **DEFINITION** (pre- $C^*$ -algebra,  $C^*$ -algebra,  $C^*$ -property). We shall say that a pre- $C^*$ -algebra is a normed  $*$ -algebra  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  such that for all  $a \in A$  one has

$$\|a\|^2 = \|a^*a\| \quad \text{as well as} \quad \|a\| = \|a^*\|.$$

The first equality is called the  $C^*$ -property. A complete pre- $C^*$ -algebra is called a  $C^*$ -algebra.

Please note that pre- $C^*$ -algebras have isometric involution.

There is some redundancy in the above definition, as the next proposition shows.

(2.9). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed  $*$ -algebra such that*

$$\|a\|^2 \leq \|a^*a\|$$

*holds for all  $a$  in  $A$ . Then  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  is a pre- $C^*$ -algebra.*

**PROOF.** For  $a$  in  $A$  we have  $\|a\|^2 \leq \|a^*a\| \leq \|a^*\| \cdot \|a\|$ , whence  $\|a\| \leq \|a^*\|$ . Hence also  $\|a^*\| \leq \|(a^*)^*\| = \|a\|$ , which implies  $\|a^*\| = \|a\|$ . It follows that  $\|a^*a\| \leq \|a\|^2$ . The converse inequality holds by assumption.  $\square$

(2.10). **COROLLARY.** *If  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is a Hilbert space, then  $B(H)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, and with it every closed  $*$ -subalgebra.*

**PROOF.** For  $a$  in  $B(H)$  and  $x$  in  $H$ , we have

$$\|ax\|^2 = \langle ax, ax \rangle = \langle a^*ax, x \rangle \leq \|a^*ax\| \cdot \|x\| \leq \|a^*a\| \cdot \|x\|^2,$$

so that upon taking square roots  $\|a\| \leq \|a^*a\|^{1/2}$ .  $\square$

The closed  $*$ -subalgebras of  $B(H)$ , with  $H$  a Hilbert space, are the prototypes of  $C^*$ -algebras, see the Gelfand-Naïmark Theorem (29.5).

(2.11). **DEFINITION** ( $C_b(X), C(K), C_o(\Omega), C_c(\Omega)$ ). If  $X$  is a Hausdorff space, we denote by  $C_b(X)$  the algebra of bounded continuous complex-valued functions on  $X$ . It is a  $C^*$ -algebra when equipped with complex conjugation as involution and with the supremum norm  $|\cdot|_\infty$  as norm. If  $K$  is a compact Hausdorff space, we have  $C(K) = C_b(K)$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. One denotes by  $C_o(\Omega)$  the algebra of continuous complex-valued functions vanishing at infinity, i.e. the algebra consisting of all continuous complex-valued functions  $f$  on  $\Omega$  such that for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$  with the property that  $|f| < \varepsilon$  off  $K$ . Then  $C_o(\Omega)$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C_b(\Omega)$ . One denotes by  $C_c(\Omega)$  the algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions  $f$  of compact support  $\text{supp}(f) = \overline{\{f \neq 0\}}$ . Then  $C_c(\Omega)$  is a pre- $C^*$ -algebra dense in  $C_o(\Omega)$ . (If  $f \in C_o(\Omega)$  and  $f \geq 0$ , consider  $(f - 1/n)_+ \in C_c(\Omega)$  for all integers  $n \geq 1$ .)

The  $C^*$ -algebras  $C_o(\Omega)$ , with  $\Omega$  a locally compact Hausdorff space, are the prototypes of commutative  $C^*$ -algebras, see the Commutative Gelfand-Naimark Theorem (15.8).

We can now turn to the unitisation of normed  $*$ -algebras. We shall have to treat pre- $C^*$ -algebras apart from general normed  $*$ -algebras.

(2.12). **PROPOSITION.** *For a pre- $C^*$ -algebra  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  and for  $a \in A$ , we have*

$$\|a\| = \max \{ \|ax\| : x \in A, \|x\| \leq 1 \}.$$

*If  $a \neq 0$ , the maximum is achieved at  $x = a^*/\|a^*\|$ .*

(2.13). **COROLLARY.** *The unit in a unital pre- $C^*$ -algebra has norm 1.*

(2.14). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  be a pre- $C^*$ -algebra. By defining*

$$\|a\| = \sup \{ \|ax\| : x \in A, \|x\| \leq 1 \} \quad (a \in \tilde{A})$$

*one makes  $\tilde{A}$  into a unital pre- $C^*$ -algebra.*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $A$  has no unit. Let  $a \in \tilde{A}$ . One defines a linear operator  $L_a : A \rightarrow A$  by  $L_ax := ax$  for  $x \in A$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $b \in A$  with  $a = \lambda e + b$ . For  $x \in A$  with  $\|x\| \leq 1$  we have  $\|L_ax\| \leq |\lambda| + \|b\|$ , so that  $L_a$  is a bounded operator. Furthermore,  $\|a\|$  is the operator norm of  $L_a$ . Thus in order to prove that  $\|\cdot\|$  is an algebra norm, it suffices to show that if  $L_a = 0$  then  $a = 0$ . So assume that  $L_a = 0$ . For all  $x$  in  $A$  we then have  $0 = L_ax = \lambda x + bx$ . Thus, if  $\lambda = 0$ , we obtain  $b = 0$  by proposition (2.12). It now suffices to show that  $\lambda = 0$ . So assume that  $\lambda$  is different from zero. With  $g := -b/\lambda$  we get  $gx = x$  for all  $x$  in  $A$ . Hence also  $xg^* = x$  for all  $x$  in  $A$ . In particular we have  $gg^* = g$ , whence, after applying the involution,  $g^* = g$ . Therefore  $g$  would be a unit in  $A$ , in contradiction with the assumption made initially. Now, in order to show that  $(\tilde{A}, \|\cdot\|)$  is a pre- $C^*$ -algebra, it

is enough to prove that  $\|L_a\| \leq \|L_{a^*a}\|^{1/2}$ , cf. (2.9). For  $x$  in  $A$ , we have

$$\|L_ax\|^2 = \|ax\|^2 = \|(ax)^*(ax)\| \leq \|x^*\| \cdot \|a^*ax\| \leq \|L_{a^*a}\| \cdot \|x\|^2.$$

□

(2.15). **DEFINITION** (unitisation). Let  $(A, |\cdot|)$  be a normed algebra. If  $A$  is not a pre-C\*-algebra, one makes  $\tilde{A}$  into a unital normed algebra by putting

$$|\lambda e + a| := |\lambda| + |a|$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \in A$ . If  $A$  is a pre-C\*-algebra, one makes  $\tilde{A}$  into a unital pre-C\*-algebra as in the preceding proposition. (See also (7.8) below.)

(2.16). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  is a Banach algebra, then  $\tilde{A}$  is a Banach algebra as well.*

PROOF. This is so because  $A$  has co-dimension 1 in  $\tilde{A}$  if  $A$  has no unit, cf. the appendix (44.2). □



### § 3. The Completion of a Normed Algebra

(3.1). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $(A, |\cdot|)$  is a normed algebra, and  $a_o, b_o, a, b \in A$ , then*

$$|a_o b_o - ab| \leq |a_o| \cdot |b_o - b| + |a_o - a| \cdot |b_o - b| + |b_o| \cdot |a_o - a|.$$

*It follows that multiplication is jointly continuous and uniformly so on bounded subsets.*

(3.2). **THEOREM** (unique continuous extension). *Let  $f$  be a function defined on a dense subset of a metric space  $X$  and taking values in a complete metric space  $Y$ . Assume that  $f$  is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of its domain of definition. Then  $f$  has a unique continuous extension  $X \rightarrow Y$ .*

SKETCH OF A PROOF. Uniqueness is clear. Let  $x \in X$  and let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in the domain of definition of  $f$  converging to  $x$ . Then  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence, and thus also bounded. Since uniformly continuous maps take Cauchy sequences to Cauchy sequences, it follows that  $(f(x_n))$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $Y$ , hence convergent to an element of  $Y$  denoted by  $g(x)$ , say. (One verifies that  $g(x)$  is independent of the sequence  $(x_n)$ .) The function  $x \mapsto g(x)$  satisfies the requirements.  $\square$

(3.3). **COROLLARY** (completion of a normed algebra). *Let  $A$  be a normed algebra. Then the completion of  $A$  as a normed space carries a unique structure of Banach algebra such that its multiplication extends the one of  $A$ .*

(3.4). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  is a normed  $*$ -algebra with continuous involution, then the completion of  $A$  carries the structure of a Banach  $*$ -algebra, by continuation of the involution.*

The same is not necessarily true for normed  $*$ -algebras with discontinuous involution. Indeed, we shall later give an example of a commutative normed  $*$ -algebra which cannot be imbedded in a Banach  $*$ -algebra at all. See the remark (30.9) below.

(3.5). **EXAMPLE** ( $\ell^1(G)$ ). If  $G$  is a group, then the completion of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  is  $\ell^1(G)$ . It is a unital Banach  $*$ -algebra with isometric involution. (Recall (1.5) and (2.7).)

(3.6). **PROPOSITION.** *A normed algebra is a  $\sim$ -unital subalgebra of its completion. (Recall (1.18).)*

PROOF. Let  $A$  be a normed algebra and let  $B$  be the completion of  $A$ . If  $A$  has a unit  $e$ , then  $e$  also is a unit in  $B$  as is easily seen as follows. Let  $b \in B$  and let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence in  $A$  converging to  $b$ . We then have for example

$$be = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = b. \quad \square$$

We shall need the following technical result.

(3.7). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  be a pre- $C^*$ -algebra without unit. Let  $(\tilde{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{A}})$  be the unitisation of  $A$  as in (2.14). Let  $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$  be the completion of  $A$ . Assume that  $B$  contains a unit  $e$ . The canonical embedding identifies  $\tilde{A}$  as a unital algebra with the unital subalgebra  $\mathbb{C}e + A$  of  $B$ , cf. (1.19). (See also (1.20) together with either (1.18) or (3.6).) The two norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{A}}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_B$  then coincide on  $\tilde{A}$ . In particular,  $A$  is dense in  $(\tilde{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{A}})$ .*

PROOF. For  $a \in \tilde{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{\tilde{A}} &= \sup \{ \|ax\| : x \in A, \|x\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ \|ax\|_B : x \in A, \|x\|_B \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ \|ay\|_B : y \in B, \|y\|_B \leq 1 \} = \|a\|_B \end{aligned}$$

because the unit ball of  $A$  is dense in the unit ball of  $B$ , as is easily seen. It follows that  $A$  is dense in  $(\tilde{A}, \|\cdot\|_B) = (\tilde{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{A}})$ .  $\square$

### § 4. The Spectrum

In this paragraph, let  $A$  be an algebra.

We start with a few remarks concerning invertible elements.

(4.1). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $a \in \tilde{A}$  has a left inverse  $b$  and a right inverse  $c$ , then  $b = c$  is an inverse of  $a$ .*

PROOF.  $b = be = b(ac) = (ba)c = ec = c$ . □

In particular, an element of  $\tilde{A}$  can have at most one inverse. If  $a, b$  are invertible elements of  $\tilde{A}$ , then  $ab$  is invertible with inverse  $b^{-1}a^{-1}$ . It follows that the invertible elements of  $\tilde{A}$  form a group under multiplication.

(4.2). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $a, b \in \tilde{A}$ . If both of their products  $ab$  and  $ba$  are invertible, then both  $a$  and  $b$  are invertible.*

PROOF. With  $c := (ab)^{-1}$ ,  $d := (ba)^{-1}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} e = (ab)c &= a(bc) & e &= c(ab) = (ca)b \\ e = d(ba) &= (db)a & e &= (ba)d = b(ad). \end{aligned}$$

The preceding proposition implies that now

$$bc = db = a^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad ca = ad = b^{-1}. \quad \square$$

This result is usually used in the following form:

(4.3). **COROLLARY.** *If  $a, b$  are commuting elements of  $\tilde{A}$ , and if  $ab$  is invertible, then both  $a$  and  $b$  are invertible.*

(4.4). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $a$  be an invertible element of  $\tilde{A}$ . An element of  $\tilde{A}$  commutes with  $a$  if and only if it commutes with  $a^{-1}$ .*

PROOF.  $ab = ba \Leftrightarrow b = a^{-1}ba \Leftrightarrow ba^{-1} = a^{-1}b$ . □

(4.5). **DEFINITION** (the spectrum). For  $a \in A$  one defines

$$\text{sp}_A(a) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda e - a \in \tilde{A} \text{ is not invertible in } \tilde{A}\}.$$

One says that  $\text{sp}_A(a)$  is the spectrum of the element  $a$  in the algebra  $A$ . We shall often abbreviate  $\text{sp}(a) := \text{sp}_A(a)$ .

The next result will be used tacitly in the sequel.

(4.6). **PROPOSITION.** *For  $a \in A$  we have*

$$\text{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(a) = \text{sp}_A(a).$$

PROOF. The following statements for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  are equivalent.

$$\lambda \in \text{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(a),$$

$$\lambda e - a \in \tilde{A} \text{ is not invertible in } \tilde{A},$$

$$\lambda e - a \in \tilde{A} \text{ is not invertible in } \tilde{A} \quad (\text{because } \tilde{\tilde{A}} = \tilde{A}),$$

$$\lambda \in \text{sp}_A(a). \quad \square$$

(4.7). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  has no unit, then  $0 \in \text{sp}_A(a)$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $0 \notin \text{sp}_A(a)$  for some  $a$  in  $A$ . It shall be shown that  $A$  is unital. First,  $a$  is invertible in  $\tilde{A}$ . So let for example  $a^{-1} = \mu e + b$  with  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $b \in A$ . We obtain  $e = (\mu e + b)a = \mu a + ba \in A$ , so  $A$  is unital.  $\square$

The following Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem is one of the most widely used results in spectral theory.

(4.8). **THEOREM** (the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem). *Let  $a \in \tilde{A}$ , and let  $r(x)$  be a non-constant rational function without pole on  $\text{sp}(a)$ . Then*

$$\text{sp}(r(a)) = r(\text{sp}(a)).$$

PROOF. We may write uniquely

$$r(x) = \gamma \prod_{i \in I} (\alpha_i - x) \prod_{j \in J} (\beta_j - x)^{-1}$$

where  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$ ,  $\{\beta_j : j \in J\}$  are disjoint sets of complex numbers. The  $\beta_j$  ( $j \in J$ ) are the poles of  $r(x)$ . The element  $r(a)$  in  $\tilde{A}$  then is defined by

$$r(a) := \gamma \prod_{i \in I} (\alpha_i e - a) \prod_{j \in J} (\beta_j e - a)^{-1}.$$

Let now  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  be fixed. The function  $\lambda - r(x)$  has the same poles as  $r(x)$ , occurring with the same multiplicities. We may thus write uniquely

$$\lambda - r(x) = \gamma(\lambda) \prod_{k \in K(\lambda)} (\delta_k(\lambda) - x) \prod_{j \in J} (\beta_j - x)^{-1},$$

where  $\gamma(\lambda) \neq 0$  by the assumption that  $r(x)$  is not constant. The computations leading to common denominators in  $\lambda - r(x)$  and  $\lambda e - r(a)$  may be accomplished in a parallel way. This shows that

$$\lambda e - r(a) = \gamma(\lambda) \prod_{k \in K(\lambda)} (\delta_k(\lambda)e - a) \prod_{j \in J} (\beta_j e - a)^{-1}.$$

By (4.3) & (4.4) it follows that the following statements are equivalent.

$$\lambda \in \text{sp}(r(a)),$$

$$\lambda e - r(a) \text{ is not invertible in } \tilde{A},$$

$$\text{there exists } k \text{ such that } \delta_k(\lambda)e - a \text{ is not invertible in } \tilde{A},$$

$$\text{there exists } k \text{ such that } \delta_k(\lambda) \in \text{sp}(a),$$

$$\lambda - r(x) \text{ vanishes at some point } x_o \in \text{sp}(a),$$

$$\text{there exists } x_o \in \text{sp}(a) \text{ such that } \lambda = r(x_o),$$

$$\lambda \in r(\text{sp}(a)).$$

□

(4.9). **THEOREM.** *Let  $B$  be another algebra, and let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  be an algebra homomorphism. For  $a \in A$  we have*

$$\text{sp}_B(\pi(a)) \setminus \{0\} \subset \text{sp}_A(a) \setminus \{0\}.$$

PROOF. Let  $a \in A$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Assume that  $\lambda \notin \text{sp}_A(a)$ . We will show that  $\lambda \notin \text{sp}_B(\pi(a))$ . Let  $p$  be the unit in  $\tilde{A}$  and  $e$  the unit in  $\tilde{B}$ . Extend  $\pi$  to an algebra homomorphism  $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$  by requiring that  $\tilde{\pi}(p) = e$  if  $A$  has no unit. The element  $\lambda p - a$  has an inverse  $b$  in  $\tilde{A}$ . Then  $\tilde{\pi}(b) + \lambda^{-1}(e - \tilde{\pi}(p))$  is the inverse of  $\lambda e - \pi(a)$  in  $\tilde{B}$ . Indeed we have for example

$$\begin{aligned} & [\tilde{\pi}(b) + \lambda^{-1}(e - \tilde{\pi}(p))] [\lambda e - \pi(a)] \\ &= [\tilde{\pi}(b) + \lambda^{-1}(e - \tilde{\pi}(p))] [\tilde{\pi}(\lambda p - a) + \lambda(e - \tilde{\pi}(p))] \\ &= \tilde{\pi}(p) + 0 + 0 + (e - \tilde{\pi}(p))^2 = \tilde{\pi}(p) + (e - \tilde{\pi}(p)) = e. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

For the next result, recall (1.18) – (1.20).

(4.10). **THEOREM.** *If  $B$  is a subalgebra of  $A$ , then for an element  $b$  of  $B$  we have*

$$\text{sp}_A(b) \setminus \{0\} \subset \text{sp}_B(b) \setminus \{0\}.$$

*If  $B$  furthermore is  $\sim$ -unital in  $A$ , then*

$$\text{sp}_A(b) \subset \text{sp}_B(b).$$

PROOF. The first statement follows from the preceding theorem. The second statement follows from (1.20).  $\square$

See also (10.1) below.

(4.11). **PROPOSITION.** *For  $a, b \in A$ , we have*

$$\text{sp}(ab) \setminus \{0\} = \text{sp}(ba) \setminus \{0\}.$$

PROOF. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Assume that  $\lambda \notin \text{sp}(ab)$ . There then exists  $c \in \tilde{A}$  with

$$c(\lambda e - ab) = (\lambda e - ab)c = e.$$

We need to show that  $\lambda \notin \text{sp}(ba)$ . We claim that  $\lambda^{-1}(e + bca)$  is the inverse of  $\lambda e - ba$ , i.e.

$$(e + bca)(\lambda e - ba) = (\lambda e - ba)(e + bca) = \lambda e.$$

Indeed, one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} (e + bca)(\lambda e - ba) &= \lambda e - ba + \lambda bca - bcaba \\ &= \lambda e - ba + bc(\lambda e - ab)a \\ &= \lambda e - ba + ba = \lambda e \\ (\lambda e - ba)(e + bca) &= \lambda e - ba + \lambda bca - babca \\ &= \lambda e - ba + b(\lambda e - ab)ca \\ &= \lambda e - ba + ba = \lambda e. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

In this paragraph, only the last result concerns algebras with involution.

(4.12). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  is a  $*$ -algebra and  $a \in A$ , then*

$$\text{sp}(a^*) = \overline{\text{sp}(a)}.$$

PROOF. This follows from  $(\lambda e - a)^* = \overline{\lambda}e - a^*$ .  $\square$

It follows that the spectrum of a Hermitian element is symmetric with respect to the real axis. It does not follow that the spectrum of a Hermitian element is real. In fact, a  $*$ -algebra in which each Hermitian element has real spectrum is called a Hermitian  $*$ -algebra, cf. § 11.

§ 5. The Spectral Radius Formula:  $r_\lambda(\cdot)$ 

(5.1). **REMINDER.** For  $0 < c < \infty$ , we have

$$c^{1/n} = \exp(1/n \cdot \ln c) \rightarrow 1 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

(5.2). **DEFINITION** ( $r_\lambda(a)$ ). If  $A$  is a normed algebra, then for  $a \in A$  we define

$$r_\lambda(a) := \inf_{n \geq 1} |a^n|^{1/n} \leq |a|.$$

The subscript  $\lambda$  is intended to remind of the notation for eigenvalues. The reason for this will become apparent in (5.6) below.

(5.3). **THEOREM.** For a normed algebra  $A$  and  $a \in A$ , we have

$$r_\lambda(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a^n|^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Let  $a \in A$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose  $k$  such that

$$|a^k|^{1/k} \leq r_\lambda(a) + \varepsilon/2.$$

Every positive integer  $n$  can be written uniquely in the form  $n = p(n)k + q(n)$  with  $p(n), q(n)$  non-negative integers and  $q(n) \leq k - 1$ . Since for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$q(n)/n \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that

$$p(n)k/n \rightarrow 1,$$

and so (if  $a \neq 0$ )

$$|a^n|^{1/n} \leq |a^k|^{p(n)/n} \cdot |a|^{q(n)/n} \rightarrow |a^k|^{1/k} \leq r_\lambda(a) + \varepsilon/2.$$

Thus

$$|a^n|^{1/n} < r_\lambda(a) + \varepsilon$$

for all sufficiently large values of  $n$ . □

We shall now need some complex analysis. We refer the reader to P. Henrici [3] or W. Rudin [4]. We warn the reader that for us a power series is an expression of the form  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n a_n$  where the  $a_n$  are elements of a Banach space and  $z$  is a placeholder for a complex variable.

(5.4). **LEMMA.** *Let  $A$  be a unital Banach algebra. Let  $c$  be a left invertible element of  $A$ , and let  $c^{-1}$  be a left inverse of  $c$ .*

*For an arbitrary element  $a$  of  $A$ , the geometric series*

$$G(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n (ac^{-1})^n$$

*has non-zero radius of convergence*

$$1 / r_{\lambda}(ac^{-1}).$$

*We have that the element  $c^{-1}G(z)$  is a left inverse of  $c - za$  whenever  $|z| < 1 / r_{\lambda}(ac^{-1})$ . In particular, for  $|a| < 1 / |c^{-1}|$ , the element  $c - a$  has a left inverse given by*

$$c^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (ac^{-1})^n.$$

*Thus the set of elements with a left inverse is open in  $A$ .*

*A similar result holds of course for right invertible elements.*

PROOF. By the Cauchy-Hadamard formula, the radius of convergence of  $G(z)$  is

$$1 / \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| (ac^{-1})^n \right|^{1/n} = 1 / r_{\lambda}(ac^{-1}) > 0.$$

For  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|z| < 1 / r_{\lambda}(ac^{-1})$ , one computes

$$G(z) (e - zac^{-1}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n (ac^{-1})^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n (ac^{-1})^n = e,$$

whence  $G(z)(c - za) = c$ , so  $c^{-1}G(z)(c - za) = e$ . Thus  $c^{-1}G(z)$  indeed is a left inverse of  $c - za$ .  $\square$

(5.5). **THEOREM.** *The group of invertible elements in a unital Banach algebra  $A$  is open and inversion is continuous on this group.*

PROOF. Let  $c \in A$  be invertible. By (4.1), for  $a \in A$  with  $|a| < 1 / |c^{-1}|$ , the element  $c - a$  is invertible with inverse

$$(c - a)^{-1} = c^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (ac^{-1})^n.$$

This shows that the set of invertible elements in  $A$  is open.



Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| (c - a)^{-1} - c^{-1} \right| &= \left| c^{-1} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (ac^{-1})^n - e \right) \right| \\
 &\leq |c^{-1}| \cdot \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (ac^{-1})^n \right| \\
 &\leq |c^{-1}| \cdot |ac^{-1}| \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |ac^{-1}|^n \\
 &\leq |a| \cdot |c^{-1}|^2 \cdot \left( 1 - |a| \cdot |c^{-1}| \right)^{-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that inversion is continuous.  $\square$

The next result is basic for all of spectral theory.

(5.6). **THEOREM** (the Spectral Radius Formula). *For a Banach algebra  $A$  and  $a \in A$ , we have:*

- (i)  $\text{sp}(a)$  is a non-empty compact set in the complex plane,
- (ii)  $\max \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{sp}(a) \} = r_\lambda(a)$ .

*Please note that the right side of the equation depends on the norm in  $A$ , whereas the left side does not.*

**PROOF.** We consider the function  $f$  given by  $f(\mu) := (e - \mu a)^{-1}$  with its maximal domain. It is an analytic function: write  $e - (\mu + z)a = (e - \mu a) - za$  and apply the lemma. It is defined at the origin and the radius of convergence of its power series expansion there is  $1/r_\lambda(a)$ . Hence  $e - \mu a$  is invertible for  $|\mu| < 1/r_\lambda(a)$ . That is,  $\lambda \notin \text{sp}(a)$  for  $|\lambda| > r_\lambda(a)$ . It follows that  $\text{sp}(a)$  is compact. If  $r_\lambda(a) \neq 0$ , then  $f$  has a singular point  $z_o$  with  $|z_o| = 1/r_\lambda(a)$  (the radius of convergence), cf. [4, Thm. 16.2 p. 320] or the proof of [3, Thm. 3.3a p. 155]. This implies that  $e - z_o a$  cannot be invertible, and consequently  $1/z_o \in \text{sp}(a)$ . This proves the result for the case  $r_\lambda(a) \neq 0$ . Assume that  $r_\lambda(a) = 0$ . We must show that  $0 \in \text{sp}(a)$ . If  $0 \notin \text{sp}(a)$ , then  $a$  is invertible in  $\tilde{A}$ , and  $e = a^n (a^{-1})^n$ , whence  $0 < |e| \leq |a^n| \cdot |a^{-1}|^n$ , and so  $r_\lambda(a) \geq |a^{-1}|^{-1} > 0$ , cf. (5.1).  $\square$

We shall use the above result tacitly.

### § 6. Properties of $r_\lambda(\cdot)$

(6.1). **THEOREM.** *An element of a normed algebra has non-empty spectrum. Indeed, if  $A$  is a normed algebra and  $a \in A$ , then  $\text{sp}(a)$  contains a number of modulus  $r_\lambda(a)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $B$  denote the completion of  $A$ . One then uses the fact that  $\text{sp}_B(a) \subset \text{sp}_A(a)$  by (4.10) as  $A$  is  $\sim$ -unital in  $B$  by (3.6).  $\square$

(6.2). **THEOREM** (Gelfand, Mazur). *Let  $A$  be a unital normed algebra which is a division ring. The map*

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow A, \lambda \mapsto \lambda e$$

*then is an algebra isomorphism onto  $A$  which also is a homeomorphism.*

PROOF. This map is surjective, because for  $a \in A$  there exists  $\lambda \in \text{sp}(a)$ . Indeed  $\lambda e - a$  is not invertible in  $A$ , so that  $\lambda e - a = 0$ , or  $a = \lambda e$ . The map is injective and homeomorphic because  $|\lambda e| = |\lambda| \cdot |e|$  and  $|e| \neq 0$ .  $\square$

We shall next give some basic properties of  $r_\lambda(\cdot)$ . The first three of them hold in general normed algebras.

(6.3). **PROPOSITION.** *For a normed algebra  $A$  and an element  $a \in A$ , we have*

$$r_\lambda(a^k) = r_\lambda(a)^k \quad \text{for all integers } k \geq 1.$$

PROOF. One calculates

$$r_\lambda(a^k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| (a^k)^n \right|^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( |a^{kn}|^{1/kn} \right)^k = r_\lambda(a)^k. \quad \square$$

(6.4). **PROPOSITION.** *For a normed algebra  $A$  and elements  $a, b \in A$ , we have*

$$r_\lambda(ab) = r_\lambda(ba).$$

PROOF. We express  $(ab)^{n+1}$  through  $(ba)^n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} r_\lambda(ab) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| (ab)^{n+1} \right|^{1/(n+1)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| a (ba)^n b \right|^{1/(n+1)} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|a| \cdot |b|)^{1/(n+1)} \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( |(ba)^n|^{1/n} \right)^{n/(n+1)} \leq r_\lambda(ba). \end{aligned}$$

This result also follows from (4.11).  $\square$

(6.5). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $a, b$  are commuting elements of a normed algebra  $A$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} r_\lambda(ab) &\leq r_\lambda(a) r_\lambda(b), \\ r_\lambda(a+b) &\leq r_\lambda(a) + r_\lambda(b), \end{aligned}$$

whence also

$$|r_\lambda(a) - r_\lambda(b)| \leq r_\lambda(a-b) \leq |a-b|.$$

Thus, if  $A$  is commutative, then  $r_\lambda$  is uniformly continuous.

PROOF. By commutativity, we have

$$|(ab)^n|^{1/n} = |a^n b^n|^{1/n} \leq |a^n|^{1/n} \cdot |b^n|^{1/n},$$

and it remains to take the limit to obtain the first inequality. In order to prove the second inequality, let  $s, t$  with  $r_\lambda(a) < s$ ,  $r_\lambda(b) < t$  be arbitrary and define  $c := s^{-1}a$ ,  $d := t^{-1}b$ . As then  $r_\lambda(c), r_\lambda(d) < 1$ , there exists  $0 < \alpha < \infty$  with  $|c^n|, |d^n| \leq \alpha$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . We then have

$$|(a+b)^n| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} s^k t^{n-k} |c^k| \cdot |d^{n-k}| \leq \alpha^2 (s+t)^n.$$

It follows that

$$|(a+b)^n|^{1/n} \leq \alpha^{2/n} (s+t).$$

By passing to the limit, we get  $r_\lambda(a+b) \leq s+t$ , cf. (5.1). Since  $s, t$  with  $r_\lambda(a) < s$  and  $r_\lambda(b) < t$  are arbitrary, it follows  $r_\lambda(a+b) \leq r_\lambda(a) + r_\lambda(b)$ .  $\square$

See also (6.9) and (14.22) below.

Now four properties of  $r_\lambda(\cdot)$  in Banach algebras.

(6.6). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra without unit. For all  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \in A$ , we then have*

$$r_\lambda(\mu e + a) \leq |\mu| + r_\lambda(a) \leq 3 r_\lambda(\mu e + a).$$

PROOF. The first inequality follows from (6.5). For the same reason  $r_\lambda(a) \leq r_\lambda(\mu e + a) + |\mu|$ , and so  $|\mu| + r_\lambda(a) \leq r_\lambda(\mu e + a) + 2|\mu|$ . It now suffices to prove that  $|\mu| \leq r_\lambda(\mu e + a)$ . We have  $0 \in \text{sp}(a)$  by (4.7), and so  $\mu \in \text{sp}(\mu e + a)$ , which implies  $|\mu| \leq r_\lambda(\mu e + a)$ , as required.  $\square$

In this paragraph, merely the next result relates to algebras with involution.

(6.7). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  is a Banach  $*$ -algebra, then*

$$r_\lambda(a^*) = r_\lambda(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

PROOF. One uses the Spectral Radius Formula and the fact that

$$\text{sp}(a^*) = \overline{\text{sp}(a)},$$

cf. (4.12). □

The above result is not true for normed  $*$ -algebras in general, cf. (30.9) below.

(6.8). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra, and let  $a \in A$ . If  $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}(a)$ , then*

$$\text{dist}(\mu, \text{sp}(a)) = r_\lambda((\mu e - a)^{-1})^{-1}.$$

PROOF. By the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem, we have

$$\text{sp}((\mu e - a)^{-1}) = \{(\mu - \lambda)^{-1} : \lambda \in \text{sp}(a)\}.$$

From the Spectral Radius Formula, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r_\lambda((\mu e - a)^{-1}) &= \max \{ |\mu - \lambda|^{-1} : \lambda \in \text{sp}(a) \} \\ &= \min \{ |\mu - \lambda| : \lambda \in \text{sp}(a) \}^{-1} \\ &= \text{dist}(\mu, \text{sp}(a))^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

(6.9). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. Let  $\mathbb{D}$  denote the closed unit disc. For two commuting elements  $a, b \in A$ , we have*

$$\text{sp}(a) \subset \text{sp}(b) + r_\lambda(b - a) \mathbb{D} \subset \text{sp}(b) + |b - a| \mathbb{D}.$$

*If  $A$  is commutative, one says that the spectrum function is uniformly continuous on  $A$ .*

PROOF. Suppose the first inclusion is not true. There then exists  $\mu \in \text{sp}(a)$  with  $\text{dist}(\mu, \text{sp}(b)) > r_\lambda(b - a)$ . Please note that  $\mu e - b$  is invertible. By (6.8), we have  $r_\lambda((\mu e - b)^{-1}) r_\lambda(b - a) < 1$ . From (6.5), we get  $r_\lambda((\mu e - b)^{-1}(b - a)) < 1$ . We have  $\mu e - a = (\mu e - b) + (b - a)$ . This implies that also  $\mu e - a = (\mu e - b)[e + (\mu e - b)^{-1}(b - a)]$  is invertible, a contradiction. □

See also (6.5) above and (14.22) below.

§ 7. The Pták Function:  $r_\sigma(\cdot)$ 

(7.1). **DEFINITION** ( $r_\sigma(a)$ ). If  $A$  is a normed  $*$ -algebra, then for  $a$  in  $A$  we define

$$r_\sigma(a) := r_\lambda(a^*a)^{1/2} \leq |a^*a|^{1/2}.$$

The subscript  $\sigma$  is intended to remind of the notation for singular values. The function  $a \mapsto r_\sigma(a)$  ( $a \in A$ ) is called the Pták function.

(7.2). **PROPOSITION.** For a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  and a Hermitian element  $a \in A$ , we have

$$r_\sigma(a) = r_\lambda(a).$$

PROOF. By (6.3) we have

$$r_\sigma(a)^2 = r_\lambda(a^*a) = r_\lambda(a^2) = r_\lambda(a)^2. \quad \square$$

(7.3). **PROPOSITION.** For a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  and a normal element  $b \in A$ , we have

$$r_\sigma(b) \leq r_\lambda(b).$$

PROOF. By (6.5) and (6.7) we have

$$r_\sigma(b)^2 = r_\lambda(b^*b) \leq r_\lambda(b^*)r_\lambda(b) = r_\lambda(b)^2. \quad \square$$

(7.4). **PROPOSITION.** For a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  and an element  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_\sigma(a^*a) &= r_\sigma(a)^2, \\ r_\sigma(a^*) &= r_\sigma(a). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. With (6.3) we have

$$r_\sigma(a^*a) = r_\lambda(a^*aa^*a)^{1/2} = (r_\lambda(a^*a)^2)^{1/2} = r_\lambda(a^*a) = r_\sigma(a)^2.$$

With (6.4) we have

$$r_\sigma(a^*) = r_\lambda(aa^*)^{1/2} = r_\lambda(a^*a)^{1/2} = r_\sigma(a). \quad \square$$

(7.5). **THEOREM.** Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed  $*$ -algebra. If  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  is a pre- $C^*$ -algebra, then

$$\|a\| = r_\sigma(a)$$

for all  $a$  in  $A$ , and conversely.

PROOF. If  $\|a\| = r_\sigma(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ , then  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  is a pre-C\*-algebra by the preceding proposition. Conversely, let  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  be a pre-C\*-algebra. We then have

$$\|(a^*a)^{(2^n)}\| = \|a^*a\|^{(2^n)}, \quad (n \geq 1, a \in A)$$

which is seen by induction as follows. We have

$$\|(a^*a)^2\| = \|(a^*a)^*(a^*a)\| = \|a^*a\|^2,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a^*a)^{(2^n)}\| &= \|[ (a^*a)^*(a^*a) ]^{(2^{n-1})}\| \\ &= \|(a^*a)^*(a^*a)\|^{(2^{n-1})} \quad \text{by induction hypothesis} \\ &= \|a^*a\|^{(2^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r_\sigma(a)^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(a^*a)^{(2^n)}\|^{1/(2^n)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \|a^*a\|^{(2^n)} \right)^{1/(2^n)} = \|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

(7.6). **THEOREM.** For a pre-C\*-algebra  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  and a normal element  $b$  of  $A$ , we have

$$\|b\| = r_\lambda(b).$$

PROOF. We can assume that  $A$  is a C\*-algebra. By (7.3), we get  $\|b\| = r_\sigma(b) \leq r_\lambda(b) \leq \|b\|$ .  $\square$

(7.7). **COROLLARY.** Let  $A$  be a pre-C\*-algebra. For a normal element  $b$  of  $A$  and every integer  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\|b^n\| = \|b\|^n.$$

PROOF. This follows now from (6.3).  $\square$

(7.8). **THEOREM.** Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  be a C\*-algebra without unit. The unitisation  $\tilde{A}$  then carries besides its C\*-algebra norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , the norm  $|\lambda e + a| := |\lambda| + \|a\|$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \in A$ ), cf. (2.15). Both norms are equivalent on  $\tilde{A}$ . More precisely, we have for  $b \in \tilde{A}$  normal:

$$\|b\| \leq |b| \leq 3\|b\|,$$

respectively  $a \in \tilde{A}$  arbitrary:

$$\|a\| \leq |a| \leq 6\|a\|.$$

PROOF. The statement for normal elements follows directly from (6.6) and (7.6). The statement for arbitrary elements follows from the isometry of the involution in the  $C^*$ -algebra norm.  $\square$

For a later purpose, we record:

(7.9). **PROPOSITION.** *For elements  $a, b$  of a normed  $*$ -algebra such that  $a^*a$  commutes with  $bb^*$ , we have*

$$r_\sigma(ab) \leq r_\sigma(a) r_\sigma(b).$$

*In particular, the function  $r_\sigma$  is submultiplicative on a commutative normed  $*$ -algebra.*

PROOF. From (6.4) and (6.5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r_\sigma(ab)^2 &= r_\lambda(b^*a^*ab) = r_\lambda(a^*abb^*) \\ &\leq r_\lambda(a^*a) r_\lambda(bb^*) = r_\lambda(a^*a) r_\lambda(b^*b) = r_\sigma(a)^2 r_\sigma(b)^2. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

### § 8. Automatic Continuity

(8.1). **DEFINITION** (contractive linear map). A linear mapping between (possibly real) normed spaces is said to be contractive if it is bounded with norm not exceeding 1.

(8.2). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  be a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism from a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  to a pre- $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ . Then  $\pi$  is contractive in the auxiliary  $*$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $A$ . (Cf. (2.5).)*

PROOF. We may assume that  $B$  is complete. For  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\|\pi(a)\| = r_\sigma(\pi(a)) \leq r_\sigma(a) \leq |a^*a|^{1/2} = \|a^*a\|^{1/2} \leq \|a\|,$$

where the first inequality stems from the Spectral Radius Formula and the fact that  $\text{sp}(\pi(a^*a)) \setminus \{0\} \subset \text{sp}(a^*a) \setminus \{0\}$ , cf. (4.9).  $\square$

(8.3). **COROLLARY.** *A  $*$ -algebra homomorphism from a Banach  $*$ -algebra with isometric involution to a pre- $C^*$ -algebra is contractive.*

In particular we have:

(8.4). **COROLLARY.** *A  $*$ -algebra homomorphism from a  $C^*$ -algebra to a pre- $C^*$ -algebra is contractive.*

(8.5). **COROLLARY.** *A  $*$ -algebra isomorphism between two  $C^*$ -algebras is isometric, and shall therefore be called a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism or an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras.*

See also (21.5) & (21.6) below.

Our next aim is the automatic continuity result (8.8). The following two lemmata will find a natural reformulation at a later place. (See (28.20) and (28.24) below.)

(8.6). **LEMMA.** *Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  be a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism from a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  to a pre- $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ . Then  $\ker \pi$  is closed in  $A$ .*

PROOF. We may assume that  $B$  is complete. We shall use the fact that then

$$r_\lambda(\pi(a)) \leq r_\lambda(a)$$

holds for all  $a \in A$ , cf. (4.9). Let  $a$  belong to the closure of  $\ker \pi$ . Let  $x \in A$  be arbitrary. Then  $xa$  also is in the closure of  $\ker \pi$ . For a sequence  $(k_n)$  in  $\ker \pi$  converging to  $xa$ , we have

$$r_\lambda(\pi(xa)) = r_\lambda(\pi(xa - k_n)) \leq r_\lambda(xa - k_n) \leq \|xa - k_n\| \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies

$$r_\lambda(\pi(xa)) = 0.$$



Choosing  $x := a^*$ , we obtain

$$0 = r_\sigma(\pi(a)) = \|\pi(a)\|,$$

i.e.  $a$  is in  $\ker \pi$ . □

(8.7). **LEMMA.** *Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  be a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism from a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  to a pre- $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ , which is continuous on  $A_{sa}$ . If  $\pi$  is injective, then the involution in  $A$  has closed graph.*

PROOF. Let  $a = \lim a_n$ ,  $b = \lim a_n^*$  in  $A$ . Since  $\pi$  is bounded on  $A_{sa}$ , there exists  $c \geq 0$ , such that for all  $n$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(b - a_n^*)\|^2 &= \|\pi((b - a_n^*)^*(b - a_n^*))\| \\ &\leq c \cdot |(b - a_n^*)^*(b - a_n^*)| \\ &\leq c \cdot |b^* - a_n| \cdot |b - a_n^*|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(a_n)$  is bounded in  $A$ , it follows that

$$\pi(b) = \lim \pi(a_n^*).$$

Similarly, we get

$$\pi(a^*) = \lim \pi(a_n^*).$$

One concludes that  $\pi(b) = \pi(a^*)$ , which implies  $b = a^*$  if  $\pi$  is injective. □

We now have the following automatic continuity result.

(8.8). **THEOREM.** *A  $*$ -algebra homomorphism from a Banach  $*$ -algebra to a pre- $C^*$ -algebra is continuous.*

PROOF. Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  be a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism from a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  to a pre- $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ . The kernel of  $\pi$  is closed, by (8.6). This implies that  $C := A/\ker \pi$  is a Banach  $*$ -algebra in the quotient norm, cf. the appendix (44.2). Furthermore, the map  $\pi$  factors to an injective  $*$ -algebra homomorphism  $\pi_1$  from  $C$  to  $B$ . The mapping  $\pi_1$  is contractive in the auxiliary  $*$ -norm on  $C$ , cf. (8.2). This implies that the involution in  $C$  has closed graph, cf. (8.7). But then the involution is continuous, as  $C$  is complete. Hence the auxiliary  $*$ -norm on  $C$  and the quotient norm on  $C$  are equivalent, cf. (2.6). It follows that  $\pi_1$  is continuous in the quotient norm on  $C$ , which is enough to prove the theorem. □

(8.9). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A, B$  be normed algebras and let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  be a continuous algebra homomorphism. We then have*

$$r_\lambda(\pi(a)) \leq r_\lambda(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

PROOF. Let  $c > 0$  be a bound of  $\pi$ . Let  $a \in A$ . For all integers  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$r_\lambda(\pi(a)) \leq |\pi(a)^n|^{1/n} = |\pi(a^n)|^{1/n} \leq c^{1/n} |a^n|^{1/n} \rightarrow r_\lambda(a). \quad \square$$

(8.10). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $\pi$  be a continuous  $*$ -algebra homomorphism from a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  to a pre- $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ . For a normal element  $b$  of  $A$ , we then have*

$$\|\pi(b)\| \leq r_\lambda(b) \leq |b|.$$

*It follows that if  $A$  is commutative then  $\pi$  is contractive.*

PROOF. This follows now from (7.6).  $\square$

Hence the following enhancement of (8.8).

(8.11). **COROLLARY.** *A  $*$ -algebra homomorphism from a commutative Banach  $*$ -algebra to a pre- $C^*$ -algebra is contractive.*

### § 9. Square Roots of Invertible Elements

This paragraph as well as the next one prepare the ground for § 11.

(9.1). **THEOREM** (Mertens). *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. Let*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n$$

*be two convergent series in  $A$  and assume that the first of them converges absolutely. Put*

$$c_n := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}$$

*for every integer  $n \geq 0$ . The series*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} c_n$$

*is called the Cauchy product of the above two series. We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} c_n = \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n \right).$$

PROOF. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &:= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k, & B_n &:= \sum_{k=0}^n b_k, & C_n &:= \sum_{k=0}^n c_k, \\ s &:= \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n, & t &:= \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n, & d_n &:= B_n - t. \end{aligned}$$

Rearrangement of terms yields

$$\begin{aligned} C_n &= a_0 b_0 + (a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0) + \cdots + (a_0 b_n + a_1 b_{n-1} + \cdots + a_n b_0) \\ &= a_0 B_n + a_1 B_{n-1} + \cdots + a_n B_0 \\ &= a_0(t + d_n) + a_1(t + d_{n-1}) + \cdots + a_n(t + d_0) \\ &= A_n t + a_0 d_n + a_1 d_{n-1} + \cdots + a_n d_0. \end{aligned}$$

Put  $h_n := a_0 d_n + a_1 d_{n-1} + \cdots + a_n d_0$ . We have to show that  $C_n \rightarrow st$ . Since  $A_n t \rightarrow st$ , it suffices to show that  $h_n \rightarrow 0$ . So let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Let  $\alpha := \sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n|$ , which is finite by assumption. We have  $d_n \rightarrow 0$  because  $B_n \rightarrow t$ . Hence we can choose  $N \geq 0$  such that  $|d_n| \leq \varepsilon/(1 + \alpha)$  for all integers  $n \geq N$ , and for such  $n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h_n| &\leq |a_n d_0 + \cdots + a_{n-N} d_N| + |a_{n-N-1} d_{N+1} + \cdots + a_0 d_n| \\ &\leq |a_n d_0 + \cdots + a_{n-N} d_N| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h_n| \leq \varepsilon$  as  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ . The statement follows because  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary.  $\square$

(9.2). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $x, y$  be two commuting elements of a ring with the same square. If  $x + y$  is not a left divisor of zero then  $x = y$ .*

PROOF. One computes  $(x + y)(x - y) = x^2 - xy + yx - y^2 = 0$ , so that the assumption implies  $x - y = 0$ .  $\square$

(9.3). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and let  $a \in A$  with  $r_\lambda(a) < 1$ . The series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{1/2}{n} a^n$$

*then converges absolutely to an element  $b$  of  $A$  with  $(e + b)^2 = e + a$  and  $r_\lambda(b) < 1$ . Furthermore, there is no other element  $c$  of  $\tilde{A}$  with  $(e + c)^2 = e + a$  and  $r_\lambda(c) \leq 1$ .*

PROOF. If the above series converges absolutely, it follows by the Theorem of Mertens (9.1) concerning the Cauchy product of series that  $(e + b)^2 = e + a$ . Assume that  $r_\lambda(a) < 1$ . The series for  $b$  converges absolutely by comparison with the geometric series. It shall next be shown that  $r_\lambda(b) < 1$ . For  $k \geq 1$ , we have by (6.3) and (6.5):

$$r_\lambda\left(\sum_{n=1}^k \binom{1/2}{n} a^n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^k \left|\binom{1/2}{n}\right| r_\lambda(a)^n$$

whence, by applying the last part of (6.5) to the closed subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} r_\lambda(b) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left|\binom{1/2}{n}\right| r_\lambda(a)^n = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{1/2}{n} (-r_\lambda(a))^n \\ &= 1 - \sqrt{1 - r_\lambda(a)} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $c$  now be an element of  $\tilde{A}$  with  $r_\lambda(c) \leq 1$  and  $(e + c)^2 = e + a$ . It shall be shown that  $c = b$ . The elements  $x := e + b, y := e + c$  have the same square. Furthermore, we have  $y(e + a) = (e + c)^3 = (e + a)y$ , i.e. the element  $y$  commutes with  $a$ . By continuity, it follows that  $y$  also commutes with  $b$ , hence with  $x$ . Finally,  $x + y = 2e + (b + c)$  is invertible as  $r_\lambda(b + c) \leq r_\lambda(b) + r_\lambda(c) < 2$ , cf. (6.5). It follows from the preceding proposition that  $x = y$ , or in other words, that  $b = c$ .  $\square$

(9.4). **LEMMA** (Ford's Square Root Lemma). *If  $a$  is a Hermitian element of a Banach  $*$ -algebra, satisfying  $r_\lambda(a) < 1$ , then the square root  $e + b$  of  $e + a$  (with  $b$  as in the preceding theorem) is Hermitian.*

PROOF. This is obvious if the involution is continuous. Now for the general case. Consider the element  $b$  of the preceding proposition. We have to show that  $b^* = b$ . The element  $b^*$  satisfies  $(e + b^*)^2 = (e + a)^* = e + a$  as well as  $r_\lambda(b^*) = r_\lambda(b) < 1$  by (6.7). So  $b = b^*$  by the uniqueness statement of the preceding theorem.  $\square$

(9.5). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra, and let  $x$  be an element of  $\tilde{A}$  with  $\text{sp}(x) \subset ]0, \infty[$ . (Please note: (4.7).) Then  $x$  has a unique square root  $y$  in  $\tilde{A}$  with  $\text{sp}(y) \subset ]0, \infty[$ . Moreover  $x$  has no other square root with spectrum in  $[0, \infty[$ . The element  $y$  belongs to the closed subalgebra of  $\tilde{A}$  generated by  $x$ . If  $A$  is a Banach  $*$ -algebra, and if  $x$  is Hermitian, so is  $y$ .*

PROOF. We may assume that  $r_\lambda(x) \leq 1$ . The element  $a := x - e$  then has  $\text{sp}(a) \subset ]-1, 0]$ , whence  $r_\lambda(a) < 1$ . Consider now  $b$  as in (9.3). Then  $y := e + b$  is a square root of  $e + a = x$ , by (9.3). Furthermore,  $\text{sp}(y) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  by the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem. Also  $r_\lambda(b) < 1$ , which implies that  $\text{sp}(y) \subset ]0, \infty[$ . Let now  $z$  be another square root of  $x$  with  $\text{sp}(z) \subset [0, \infty[$ . Then  $\text{sp}(z) \subset [0, 1]$  by the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem. Thus, the element  $c := z - e$  has  $\text{sp}(c) \subset [-1, 0]$ , so  $r_\lambda(c) \leq 1$ . Since  $(e + c)^2 = x = e + a$ , theorem (9.3) implies that  $c = b$ , whence  $z = y$ . Let now  $B$  denote the closed subalgebra of  $\tilde{A}$  generated by  $x$ . Clearly  $y$  belongs to the closed subalgebra of  $\tilde{A}$  generated by  $x$  and  $e$ , which is  $\mathbb{C}e + B$ , cf. the proof of (2.16). So let  $y = \mu e + f$  with  $f \in B$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $x = \mu^2 e + g$  with  $g \in B$ . So if  $\mu \neq 0$ , then  $e \in B$ , whence  $y \in B$ . If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $y = f \in B$  as well. The last statement follows from the preceding lemma.  $\square$

For  $C^*$ -algebras, a stronger result holds, cf. (12.3).

### § 10. The Boundary of the Spectrum

We proved in (4.10) that if  $B$  is a subalgebra of an algebra  $A$ , then for  $b \in B$  we have

$$\mathrm{sp}_A(b) \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathrm{sp}_B(b) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Also, if  $B$  furthermore is  $\sim$ -unital in  $A$ , then

$$\mathrm{sp}_A(b) \subset \mathrm{sp}_B(b).$$

We proceed to show a partial converse inclusion.

(10.1). **THEOREM.** *Let  $B$  be a closed subalgebra of a Banach algebra  $A$ , and let  $b \in B$ . Then*

$$\partial \mathrm{sp}_B(b) \subset \partial \mathrm{sp}_A(b),$$

where  $\partial$  denotes “the boundary of” (relative to the complex plane).

PROOF. Assume first that  $B$  is  $\sim$ -unital in  $A$ . Then  $\tilde{B}$  is a unital subalgebra of  $\tilde{A}$ , cf. (1.20). For our purpose it is allowed to equip  $\tilde{B}$  with the norm inherited from  $\tilde{A}$ . Then  $\tilde{B}$  is complete, cf. the proof of (2.16), hence closed in  $\tilde{A}$ . Let  $\lambda \in \partial \mathrm{sp}_B(b)$  and let  $(\lambda_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathrm{sp}_B(b)$  with  $\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n$ . Then each  $c_n := \lambda_n e - b$  is invertible in  $\tilde{B}$ , while  $c := \lambda e - b$  is not. It suffices to show that  $\lambda \in \mathrm{sp}_A(b)$ , because then also  $\lambda \in \partial \mathrm{sp}_A(b)$  by (4.10). So assume now that  $c$  is invertible in  $\tilde{A}$  with inverse  $c^{-1}$ . In  $\tilde{A}$  we then have  $c^{-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n^{-1}$  because inversion in  $\tilde{A}$  is continuous by (5.5). (Please note that the  $c_n$  are invertible in  $\tilde{A}$  with the same inverses as in  $\tilde{B}$  as  $\tilde{B}$  is unital in  $\tilde{A}$ .) It would follow that  $c^{-1}$  belongs to  $\tilde{B}$ , as  $\tilde{B}$  is closed in  $\tilde{A}$ . This contradiction finishes the proof of the  $\sim$ -unital case.

Assume next that  $B$  is not  $\sim$ -unital in  $A$ . Then  $B$  must have a unit,  $p$  say, which is not a unit in  $A$ , cf. (1.18). Let  $e$  denote the unit of  $\tilde{A}$ , and consider  $C := \mathbb{C}e + B$ , which is a closed subalgebra of  $\tilde{A}$ , cf. the proof of (2.16). Please note that  $C$  is unital in  $\tilde{A}$ , and so  $\sim$ -unital in  $\tilde{A}$ . Let now  $\lambda \in \partial \mathrm{sp}_B(b)$ , and consider  $d := \lambda e - b \in C$ . It suffices to show that  $d$  is not invertible in  $\tilde{A}$ , because then also  $\lambda \in \partial \mathrm{sp}_A(b)$ . Indeed, for  $\lambda \neq 0$ , this follows from (4.10). If  $\lambda = 0$ , this follows from (4.10) and the fact that then  $0 \in \mathrm{sp}_B(b)$  and  $0 \in \mathrm{sp}_A(b)$ . So assume that  $d$  is invertible in  $\tilde{A}$ . By the preceding paragraph,  $d$  is invertible in  $C$ . So let  $g \in C$  be an inverse of  $d$  in  $C$ . Then  $gd = dg = e$ , so multiplication from the left and from the right with  $p$  gives  $pgdp = pdgp = p^2$ , which is the same as  $pg(\lambda p - b) = (\lambda p - b)gp = p$  (as  $p$  is the unit in  $B$ ), so that  $pg = gp \in B$  is the inverse of  $\lambda p - b$  in  $B$ , cf. (4.1). This contradicts  $\lambda \in \partial \mathrm{sp}_B(b)$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

This result has two corollaries guaranteeing equality of spectra under certain conditions. The first condition is on the spectrum in the closed subalgebra, the second one is on the spectrum in the whole Banach algebra.

(10.2). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $B$  be a closed subalgebra of a Banach algebra  $A$ , and let  $b \in B$  such that  $\text{sp}_B(b)$  has no interior (e.g. is real). Then*

$$\text{sp}_B(b) \setminus \{0\} = \text{sp}_A(b) \setminus \{0\}.$$

*If  $B$  furthermore is  $\sim$ -unital in  $A$ , then*

$$\text{sp}_B(b) = \text{sp}_A(b).$$

PROOF. This follows from the preceding theorem and (4.10).  $\square$

(10.3). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $B$  be a  $\sim$ -unital closed subalgebra of a Banach algebra  $A$ , and let  $b \in B$  such that  $\text{sp}_A(b)$  does not separate the complex plane (e.g. is real). Then*

$$\text{sp}_B(b) = \text{sp}_A(b).$$

PROOF. It suffices to prove that  $\text{sp}_B(b) \subset \text{sp}_A(b)$ , cf. (4.10). So suppose that there exists  $\lambda \in \text{sp}_B(b) \setminus \text{sp}_A(b)$ . Since  $\text{sp}_A(b)$  does not separate the complex plane, there exists a continuous path disjoint from  $\text{sp}_A(b)$ , that connects  $\lambda$  with the point at infinity. It is easily seen that this path meets the boundary of  $\text{sp}_B(b)$  in at least one point, which then also must belong to  $\text{sp}_A(b)$ , by (10.1). This contradiction proves the statement.  $\square$

### § 11. Hermitian Banach \*-Algebras

(11.1). **DEFINITION** (Hermitian \*-algebras). A \*-algebra is said to be Hermitian if each of its Hermitian elements has real spectrum.

(11.2). **PROPOSITION.** *A \*-algebra  $A$  is Hermitian if and only if its unitisation  $\tilde{A}$  is.*

PROOF. For  $a \in A_{sa}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\mathrm{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(\lambda e + a) = \lambda + \mathrm{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(a) = \lambda + \mathrm{sp}_A(a),$$

cf. (4.6). □

(11.3). **THEOREM.** *For a Banach \*-algebra  $A$  the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A$  is Hermitian,
- (ii)  $i \notin \mathrm{sp}(a)$  whenever  $a \in A$  is Hermitian,
- (iii)  $r_\lambda(a) \leq r_\sigma(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ ,
- (iv)  $r_\lambda(b) = r_\sigma(b)$  for all normal  $b \in A$ ,
- (v)  $r_\lambda(b) \leq |b^*b|^{1/2}$  for all normal  $b \in A$ .

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is trivial.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Assume that (ii) holds and that  $r_\lambda(a) > r_\sigma(a)$  for some  $a \in A$ . Upon replacing  $a$  with a suitable multiple, we can assume that  $1 \in \mathrm{sp}(a)$  and  $r_\sigma(a) < 1$ . There then exists a Hermitian element  $b = b^*$  of  $\tilde{A}$  such that  $b^2 = e - a^*a$  (Ford's Square Root Lemma (9.4)). Since  $b$  is invertible (Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem), it follows that

$$(e + a^*)(e - a) = b^2 + a^* - a = b(e + b^{-1}(a^* - a)b^{-1})b.$$

Now the element  $-ib^{-1}(a^* - a)b^{-1}$  is a Hermitian element of  $A$ , so that  $i(e + b^{-1}(a^* - a)b^{-1})$  is invertible by assumption. This implies that  $e - a$  has a left inverse. One can apply a similar argument to

$$(e - a)(e + a^*) = c(e + c^{-1}(a^* - a)c^{-1})c,$$

where  $c$  is a Hermitian element of  $\tilde{A}$  with  $c^2 = e - aa^*$  (by  $r_\sigma(a^*) = r_\sigma(a) < 1$ , cf. (7.4)). Thus  $e - a$  also has a right inverse, so that 1 would not be in the spectrum of  $a$  by (4.1), a contradiction.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) follows from the fact that  $r_\sigma(b) \leq r_\lambda(b)$  for all normal  $b \in A$ , cf. (7.3).

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) is trivial.

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Assume that (v) holds. Let  $a$  be a Hermitian element of  $A$  and let  $\lambda \in \mathrm{sp}(a)$ . We have to show that  $\lambda$  is real. For this purpose, we can assume that  $\lambda$  is non-zero. Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary real number and let  $n$  be an integer  $\geq 1$ . Define  $u := \lambda^{-1}a$  and  $b := (a + i\mu e)^n u$ .



Then  $(\lambda + i\mu)^n$  is in the spectrum of  $b$  (Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem). This implies

$$|\lambda + i\mu|^{2n} \leq r_\lambda(b)^2 \leq |b^*b| \leq |(a^2 + \mu^2 e)^n| \cdot |u^*u|.$$

It follows that

$$|\lambda + i\mu|^2 \leq |(a^2 + \mu^2 e)^n|^{1/n} \cdot |u^*u|^{1/n}$$

for all integers  $n \geq 1$ , so that by (6.5), we have

$$|\lambda + i\mu|^2 \leq r_\lambda(a^2 + \mu^2 e) \leq r_\lambda(a^2) + \mu^2.$$

Decomposing  $\lambda = \alpha + i\beta$  with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  real, one finds

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2\beta\mu \leq r_\lambda(a^2)$$

for all real numbers  $\mu$ , so that  $\beta$  must be zero, i.e.  $\lambda$  must be real.  $\square$

We now have the fundamental result:

(11.4). **THEOREM.** *A C\*-algebra is Hermitian.*

PROOF. For an element  $a$  of a C\*-algebra we have by (5.2) and (7.5):

$$r_\lambda(a) \leq \|a\| = r_\sigma(a). \quad \square$$

(11.5). **THEOREM.** *A closed \*-subalgebra of a Hermitian Banach \*-algebra is Hermitian as well.*

PROOF. One uses the fact that a Banach \*-algebra  $C$  is Hermitian if and only if for every  $a \in C$  one has  $r_\lambda(a) \leq r_\sigma(a)$ .  $\square$

(11.6). **LEMMA.** *Let  $B$  be a Hermitian closed \*-subalgebra of a Banach \*-algebra  $A$ . If an element of  $\tilde{B}$  is invertible in  $\tilde{A}$ , then it is invertible in  $\tilde{B}$ . (Here we use the canonical imbedding of  $\tilde{B}$  in  $\tilde{A}$ , cf. (1.19).)*

PROOF. Let  $b \in \tilde{B}$  be invertible in  $\tilde{A}$ . The element  $b^*b$  is invertible in  $\tilde{A}$  (with inverse  $b^{-1}(b^{-1})^*$ ). Thus  $0 \notin \text{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(b^*b)$ . Hence also  $0 \notin \partial \text{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(b^*b)$ . The element  $b^*b$  is Hermitian, so  $\text{sp}_{\tilde{B}}(b^*b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $\text{sp}_{\tilde{B}}(b^*b) = \partial \text{sp}_{\tilde{B}}(b^*b)$  (relative to  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Now theorem (10.1) implies that  $0 \notin \partial \text{sp}_{\tilde{B}}(b^*b) = \text{sp}_{\tilde{B}}(b^*b)$ , so  $b^*b$  is invertible in  $\tilde{B}$ . Finally, in  $\tilde{B}$ , we have  $b^{-1} = (b^*b)^{-1}b^*$ .  $\square$

In other words:

(11.7). **THEOREM.** *Let  $B$  be a Hermitian closed  $*$ -subalgebra of a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$ . For an element  $b$  of  $B$ , we then have*

$$\mathrm{sp}_B(b) \subset \mathrm{sp}_A(b).$$

From (4.10) it follows now

(11.8). **THEOREM.** *Let  $B$  be a Hermitian closed  $*$ -subalgebra of a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$ . For an element  $b$  of  $B$  we then have*

$$\mathrm{sp}_A(b) \setminus \{0\} = \mathrm{sp}_B(b) \setminus \{0\}.$$

*If furthermore  $B$  is  $\sim$ -unital in  $A$ , then*

$$\mathrm{sp}_A(b) = \mathrm{sp}_B(b).$$

It is clear that (11.6), (11.7) and (11.8) are most of the time used in conjunction with (11.5).

Now for unitary elements.

(11.9). **DEFINITION** (unitary elements). An element  $u$  of a unital  $*$ -algebra is called unitary if  $u^*u = uu^* = e$ . It is easily seen that the unitary elements of a unital  $*$ -algebra form a group under multiplication.

(11.10). **PROPOSITION** (Cayley transform). *Let  $A$  be a Banach  $*$ -algebra and let  $a$  be a Hermitian element of  $\tilde{A}$ . Let  $\mu > r_\lambda(a)$ . Then*

$$u := (a - i\mu e)(a + i\mu e)^{-1}$$

*is a unitary element of  $\tilde{A}$ . One says that  $u$  is a Cayley transform of  $a$ .*

**PROOF.** Please note first that  $a + i\mu e$  is invertible, so  $u$  is well-defined. By commutativity, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u^* &= [(a + i\mu e)^{-1}]^* (a - i\mu e)^* \\ &= (a - i\mu e)^{-1} (a + i\mu e) \\ &= (a + i\mu e)(a - i\mu e)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $u^*u = uu^* = e$ . □

(11.11). **THEOREM.** *A Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is Hermitian if and only if the spectrum of every unitary element of  $\tilde{A}$  is contained in the unit circle.*

PROOF. Assume that  $A$  is Hermitian and let  $u$  be a unitary element of  $\tilde{A}$ . We then have  $r_\lambda(u) = r_\sigma(u) = 1$  by (11.3) (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). It follows that the spectrum of  $u$  is contained in the unit disk. Since also  $u^{-1} = u^*$  is unitary, it follows from  $\text{sp}(u)^{-1} = \text{sp}(u^{-1})$  (Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem) that also  $\text{sp}(u)^{-1}$  is contained in the unit disk. This makes that  $\text{sp}(u)$  is contained in the unit circle.

Conversely, let  $a = a^*$  be a Hermitian element of  $A$  and let  $\mu > r_\lambda(a)$ . Then the Cayley transform  $u := (a - i\mu e)(a + i\mu e)^{-1}$  is a unitary element of  $\tilde{A}$ . By the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem, we have  $\text{sp}(u) = r(\text{sp}(a))$  with  $r$  denoting the Moebius transformation  $r(z) = (z - i\mu)(z + i\mu)^{-1}$ . Thus, if the spectrum of  $u$  is contained in the unit circle, it follows that  $\text{sp}(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

(11.12). **COROLLARY.** *The spectrum of a unitary element of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra is contained in the unit circle.*

## § 12. An Operational Calculus

We next give a version of the operational calculus with an elementary proof avoiding Zorn's Lemma. (See also (15.10).)

(12.1). **THEOREM** (the operational calculus, weak form). *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $a = a^*$  be a Hermitian element of  $A$ . Denote by  $C(\text{sp}(a))_0$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous complex-valued functions on  $\text{sp}(a)$  which vanish at 0. There is a unique  $*$ -algebra homomorphism*

$$C(\text{sp}(a))_0 \rightarrow A$$

*which maps the identical function on  $\text{sp}(a)$  to  $a$ . This mapping is an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras from  $C(\text{sp}(a))_0$  onto the closed subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$ . (Which also is the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$ .) This mapping is called the operational calculus for  $a$ , and is denoted by  $f \mapsto f(a)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathbb{C}[x]_0$  denote the set of polynomials vanishing at 0. The  $*$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} C(\text{sp}(a))_0 \supset \mathbb{C}[x]_0 &\rightarrow A \\ p &\mapsto p(a) \end{aligned}$$

is isometric. Indeed,  $p(a)$  is normal, and one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \|p(a)\| &= r_\lambda(p(a)) && \text{by (7.6)} \\ &= \max \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{sp}(p(a)) \} && \text{by (5.6)} \\ &= \max \{ |p(\mu)| : \mu \in \text{sp}(a) \} && \text{by (4.8)} \\ &= \|p|_{\text{sp}(a)}\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

The Theorem of Weierstrass shows that the  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathbb{C}[x]_0$  is dense in  $C(\text{sp}(a))_0$ . This together with the continuity result (8.4) already implies the uniqueness statement. The above mapping has a unique extension to a continuous mapping from  $C(\text{sp}(a))_0$  to  $A$ . This continuation then is a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism from  $C(\text{sp}(a))_0$  onto the closed subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$ . It is used that the continuation is isometric, which implies that its image is complete, hence closed in  $A$ .  $\square$

(12.2). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $a = a^*$  be a Hermitian element of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . If  $f \in C(\text{sp}(a))_0$  satisfies  $f \geq 0$  on  $\text{sp}(a)$  then  $f(a)$  is Hermitian and  $\text{sp}(f(a)) \subset [0, \infty[$ .*

PROOF. Let  $g \in C(\text{sp}(a))_{\circ}$  with  $f = g^2$  and  $g \geq 0$  on  $\text{sp}(a)$ . Then  $g$  is real-valued, hence Hermitian in  $C(\text{sp}(a))_{\circ}$ . This implies that also  $g(a)$  is Hermitian, which thus has real spectrum. It follows that  $f(a) = g(a)^2$  is Hermitian and that  $\text{sp}(f(a)) = \text{sp}(g(a))^2 \subset [0, \infty[$  by the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem.  $\square$

Next a memorable result, which will be reformulated in (19.5).

(12.3). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $a$  be a Hermitian element of  $A$  with  $\text{sp}(a) \subset [0, \infty[$ . Then  $a$  has a unique Hermitian square root  $a^{1/2}$  in  $A$  with  $\text{sp}(a^{1/2}) \subset [0, \infty[$ . The element  $a^{1/2}$  belongs to the closed subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$ . (Which also is the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$ .)*

PROOF. For existence, choose the function  $f(x) := \sqrt{x}$  in the above and put  $a^{1/2} := f(a)$ . Now uniqueness shall be settled. Let  $b$  be a Hermitian square root of  $a$  with  $\text{sp}(b) \subset [0, \infty[$ . We have to show that  $b = a^{1/2}$ . Let  $c$  be a Hermitian square root of  $a^{1/2}$  in the closed subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a^{1/2}$ . Let  $d$  be a Hermitian square root of  $b$ . Then  $d$  commutes with  $b = d^2$ , hence also with  $a = b^2$ . But then  $d$  also commutes with  $a^{1/2}$  and  $c$ . This is so because  $a^{1/2}$  lies in the closed subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$  (by construction of  $a^{1/2}$ ), and because  $c$  is in the closed subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a^{1/2}$  (by assumption on  $c$ ). We conclude that the Hermitian elements  $a, a^{1/2}, b, c, d$  all commute. One now calculates

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (a - b^2)(a^{1/2} - b) \\ &= (a^{1/2} - b)a^{1/2}(a^{1/2} - b) + (a^{1/2} - b)b(a^{1/2} - b) \\ &= [(a^{1/2} - b)c]^2 + [(a^{1/2} - b)d]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Both terms in the last line have non-negative spectrum. Indeed, both terms are squares of Hermitian elements. Please note here that the product of two Hermitian elements is Hermitian if and only if these commute (1.10). Since both terms are opposites one of another, both must have spectrum  $\{0\}$ , and thence must both be zero, cf. (7.6). The difference of these terms is

$$0 = (a^{1/2} - b)a^{1/2}(a^{1/2} - b) - (a^{1/2} - b)b(a^{1/2} - b) = (a^{1/2} - b)^3.$$

It follows with the  $C^*$ -property:

$$0 = \|(a^{1/2} - b)^4\| = \|(a^{1/2} - b)^2\|^2 = \|a^{1/2} - b\|^4. \quad \square$$

### § 13. Odds and Ends: Questions of Imbedding

This paragraph is concerned with imbedding for example a normal element of a normed  $*$ -algebra in a closed commutative  $*$ -subalgebra. The problem is posed by possible discontinuity of the involution.

(13.1). **DEFINITION** ( $*$ -stable (or self-adjoint) and normal subsets). Let  $S$  be a subset of a  $*$ -algebra. One says that  $S$  is  $*$ -stable or self-adjoint if with each element  $a$ , it also contains the adjoint  $a^*$ . One says that  $S$  is normal, if it is  $*$ -stable and if its elements commute pairwise.

(13.2). **DEFINITION** (the commutant). Let  $S$  be a subset of an algebra  $A$ . One denotes by  $S'$  the commutant of  $S$  in  $A$ , which is defined as the set of those elements of  $A$  which commute with every element in  $S$ .

The reader will easily prove the next two propositions.

(13.3). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $S$  be a subset of an algebra  $A$ . The commutant  $S'$  enjoys the following properties:*

- (i)  $S'$  is a subalgebra of  $A$ ,
- (ii) if  $e$  is a unit in  $A$ , then  $e \in S'$ ,
- (iii) if  $A$  is a normed algebra then  $S'$  is closed,
- (iv) if  $A$  is a  $*$ -algebra, and if  $S$  is  $*$ -stable, then  $S'$  is a  $*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ .

(13.4). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $S, T$  be subsets of an algebra. We then have*

- (i)  $S \subset T \Rightarrow T' \subset S'$ ,
- (ii)  $S \subset T \Rightarrow S'' \subset T''$ ,
- (iii)  $S \subset S''$ , and  $S' = S'''$ ,
- (iv) the elements of  $S$  commute  $\Leftrightarrow S \subset S'$ .

(13.5). **DEFINITION** (the second commutant). Let  $S$  be a subset of an algebra  $A$ . The subset  $S''$  is called the second commutant of  $S$ . It is a subalgebra of  $A$  containing  $S$ . It follows from the preceding proposition that  $S''$  is commutative if the elements in  $S$  commute.

The following result is useful sometimes.

(13.6). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $S$  be a subset of an algebra  $A$ . Let  $B$  denote the second commutant of  $S$  in  $\tilde{A}$ . For  $b \in B \cap A$  we then have*

$$\text{sp}_B(b) = \text{sp}_A(b).$$

PROOF. Let  $b \in B \cap A$ . We know that  $\text{sp}_A(b) = \text{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(b)$ , cf. (4.6). We also have  $\text{sp}_{\tilde{A}}(b) \subset \text{sp}_B(b)$ , cf. (4.10). So assume that  $\lambda e - b$  is invertible in  $\tilde{A}$  with inverse  $d \in \tilde{A}$ . It is enough to prove that  $d \in B$ . So let  $c$  belong to the commutant of  $S$  in  $\tilde{A}$ . We have to show that  $d$  commutes with  $c$ . This however follows from the fact that  $b$  commutes with  $c$ , by (4.4).  $\square$

(13.7). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A$  be a normed  $*$ -algebra, and let  $S$  be a normal subset of  $A$ . The second commutant of  $S$  in  $A$  then is a closed commutative  $*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  containing  $S$ .*

PROOF. (13.5) and (13.3) (iii) & (iv).  $\square$

(13.8). **DEFINITION.** Let  $S$  be a subset of a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$ . The closed  $*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $S$  is defined as the intersection of all closed  $*$ -subalgebras of  $A$  containing  $S$ . By the preceding proposition, it is commutative if  $S$  is normal.

Please note that when the involution in  $A$  is continuous, then the closed  $*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by a subset  $S$  is the closure of the  $*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $S$ . This holds in particular for  $C^*$ -algebras, and we shall make tacit use of this fact in the sequel.

(13.9). **REMARK.** So far we did not use the full version of the axiom of choice. (We did use the countable version of the axiom of choice, however. Namely in using the fact that if  $S$  is a subset of a metric space, then a point in the closure of  $S$  is the limit of a sequence in  $S$ .) The next chapter 2 on the Gelfand transformation will make crucial use of Zorn's Lemma, which is equivalent to the full version of the axiom of choice. The ensuing chapter 3 on positive elements however, is completely independent of chapter 2, and does not require Zorn's Lemma. From a logical point of view, one might well skip the following chapter 2 if the priority is to use Zorn's Lemma as late as possible.





## CHAPTER 2

### The Gelfand Transformation

#### § 14. Multiplicative Linear Functionals

(14.1). **DEFINITION** (multiplicative linear functionals,  $\Delta(A)$ ). If  $A$  is an algebra, then a multiplicative linear functional on  $A$  is a non-zero linear functional  $\tau$  on  $A$  such that  $\tau(ab) = \tau(a)\tau(b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . The set of multiplicative linear functionals on  $A$  is denoted by  $\Delta(A)$ . Multiplicative linear functionals are also called complex homomorphisms.

Please note that if  $A$  is unital with unit  $e$ , then  $\tau(e) = 1$  for all  $\tau \in \Delta(A)$  as  $\tau(e)^2 = \tau(e) \neq 0$ .

(14.2). **PROPOSITION.** *A multiplicative linear functional  $\tau$  on a Banach algebra  $A$  is continuous with  $|\tau| \leq 1$ .*

PROOF. Otherwise there would exist  $a \in A$  with  $|a| < 1$  and  $\tau(a) = 1$ . With  $b := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n$ , we would then get  $a + ab = b$ , and so  $\tau(b) = \tau(a + ab) = \tau(a) + \tau(a)\tau(b) = 1 + \tau(b)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

(14.3). **DEFINITION** (the Gelfand transform of an element). Let  $A$  be an algebra. For  $a \in A$ , one defines

$$\widehat{a}(\tau) := \tau(a) \quad (\tau \in \Delta(A)).$$

The function

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{a} : \Delta(A) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \tau &\mapsto \widehat{a}(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

is called the Gelfand transform of  $a$ .

(14.4). **PROPOSITION** (the Gelfand transformation). *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra with  $\Delta(A) \neq \emptyset$ . The map*

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow \ell^\infty(\Delta(A)) \\ a &\mapsto \widehat{a} \end{aligned}$$

*then is a contractive algebra homomorphism. It is called the Gelfand transformation.*

PROOF. Let  $a, b \in A$ ,  $\tau \in \Delta(A)$ . We then have

$$\widehat{ab}(\tau) = \tau(ab) = \tau(a) \cdot \tau(b) = \widehat{a}(\tau) \cdot \widehat{b}(\tau) = (\widehat{a} \cdot \widehat{b})(\tau).$$

Also  $|\widehat{a}(\tau)| = |\tau(a)| \leq |a|$ , cf. (14.2), whence  $|\widehat{a}|_\infty \leq |a|$ .  $\square$

(14.5). **DEFINITION** (ideals). Let  $A$  be an algebra. A left ideal in  $A$  is a vector subspace  $I$  of  $A$  such that  $aI \subset I$  for all  $a \in A$ . A left ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is called proper if  $I \neq A$ . A left ideal of  $A$  is called a maximal left ideal or simply left maximal if it is proper and not properly contained in any other proper left ideal of  $A$ . Likewise with “right” or “two-sided” instead of “left”. If  $A$  is commutative, we drop these adjectives.

(14.6). **LEMMA.** *In a unital algebra  $A$  with unit  $e$ , the following statements hold:*

- (i) *a left ideal  $I$  in  $A$  is proper if and only if  $e \notin I$ ,*
- (ii) *an element of  $A$  is not left invertible in  $A$  if and only if it lies in some proper left ideal of  $A$ .*

*If  $A$  is a unital Banach algebra, then furthermore:*

- (iii) *if a left ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is proper, so is its closure  $\bar{I}$ ,*
- (iv) *a maximal left ideal of  $A$  is closed.*

PROOF. (i) is obvious. (ii) Assume that  $a$  lies in a proper left ideal  $I$  of  $A$ . If  $a$  was left invertible, one would have  $e = a^{-1}a \in I$ , so that  $I$  would not be proper. Conversely, assume that  $a$  is not left invertible. Consider the left ideal  $I$  given by  $I := \{ba : b \in A\}$ . Then  $e \notin I$ , so that  $a$  lies in the proper left ideal  $I$ . (iii) follows from the fact that the set of left invertible elements of a unital Banach algebra is an open neighbourhood of the unit, cf. (5.4). (iv) follows from (iii).  $\square$

(14.7). **LEMMA.** *A proper left ideal in a unital algebra is contained in a maximal left ideal.*

PROOF. Let  $I$  be a proper left ideal in a unital algebra  $A$ . Let  $Z$  be the set of proper left ideals in  $A$  containing  $I$ , and order  $Z$  by inclusion. It shall be shown that  $Z$  is inductively ordered. So let  $C$  be a chain in  $Z$ . Let  $J := \bigcup C$ . It shall be shown that  $J \in Z$ . The left ideal  $J$  is proper. Indeed one notes that  $J$  does not contain the unit of  $A$ , because otherwise some element of  $Z$  would contain the unit. Now Zorn’s Lemma yields a maximal element of  $Z$ .  $\square$

(14.8). **LEMMA.** *Let  $I$  be a proper two-sided ideal in a unital algebra  $A$ . The unital algebra  $A/I$  then is a division ring if and only if  $I$  is both a maximal left ideal and a maximal right ideal.*

PROOF. Please note first that  $A/I$  is a unital algebra since the two-sided ideal  $I$  is proper, cf. (14.6) (i). For  $b \in A \setminus I$ , consider the left ideal

$$Ab + I := \{ ab + i : a \in A, i \in I \}.$$

It contains  $I$  properly. (Because  $b \in Ab + I$  as  $A$  is unital, and because  $b \notin I$  by the assumption on the element  $b$ .) It is the smallest left ideal  $J$  in  $A$  with  $I \subset J$  and  $b \in J$ . The following statements are equivalent. (We let  $\underline{a} := a + I \in A/I$  for  $a \in A$ .)

- each non-zero element  $b$  of  $A/I$  is left invertible (please note (4.1)),
- for all  $\underline{b} \neq \underline{0}$  in  $A/I$  there exists  $\underline{c}$  in  $A/I$  with  $\underline{c}\underline{b} = \underline{e}$ ,
- for all  $b \in A \setminus I$  there exist  $c \in A, i \in I$  with  $cb + i = e$ ,
- for all  $b \in A \setminus I$  one has  $e \in Ab + I$ ,
- for all  $b \in A \setminus I$  one has  $Ab + I = A$ ,
- for each left ideal  $J$  containing  $I$  properly, one has  $J = A$ ,
- the proper two-sided ideal  $I$  is a maximal left ideal.  $\square$

(14.9). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a unital Banach algebra. The map  $\tau \mapsto \ker \tau$  establishes a bijective correspondence between the set  $\Delta(A)$  of multiplicative linear functionals  $\tau$  on  $A$  and the set of proper two-sided ideals in  $A$  which are both left and right maximal.*

PROOF. If  $\tau \in \Delta(A)$ , then  $\ker \tau$  has co-dimension 1 in  $A$ , so the two-sided ideal  $\ker \tau$  is both left and right maximal. Conversely, for a two-sided ideal  $I$  in  $A$  which is both left and right maximal,  $A/I$  is a Banach algebra by (14.6) (iv), and a division ring by (14.8), so isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$  by the Gelfand-Mazur Theorem (6.2). Let  $\pi$  be such an isomorphism, and put  $\tau(a) := \pi(a + I)$  ( $a \in A$ ). Then  $\tau \in \Delta(A)$  and  $\ker \tau = I$ . To see that the map  $\tau \mapsto \ker \tau$  is injective, let  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Delta(A)$ ,  $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$ . Let  $a \in A$  with  $\tau_1(a) = 1$ ,  $\tau_2(a) \neq 1$ . With  $b := a^2 - a$  we have  $\tau_i(b) = \tau_i(a) \cdot (\tau_i(a) - 1)$ . Thus  $\tau_1(b) = 0$ , and either  $\tau_2(a) = 0$  or else  $\tau_2(b) \neq 0$ . So either  $b \in \ker \tau_1 \setminus \ker \tau_2$  or  $a \in \ker \tau_2 \setminus \ker \tau_1$ .  $\square$

In the remainder of this paragraph, we shall mainly consider commutative Banach algebras. For emphasis, we restate:

(14.10). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $A$  be a unital commutative Banach algebra. The map  $\tau \mapsto \ker \tau$  establishes a bijective correspondence between the set  $\Delta(A)$  of multiplicative linear functionals  $\tau$  on  $A$  and the set of maximal ideals in  $A$ .*

(14.11). **THEOREM** (abstract form of Wiener's Theorem). *Let  $A$  be a unital commutative Banach algebra. An element  $a$  of  $A$  is not invertible in  $A$  if and only if  $\hat{a}$  vanishes at some  $\tau$  in  $\Delta(A)$ .*

PROOF. (14.6)(ii), (14.7), (14.10).  $\square$

(14.12). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra. For  $a \in \tilde{A}$  we then have  $\text{sp}(a) = \widehat{a}(\Delta(\tilde{A}))$ .*

PROOF. The following statements are equivalent.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &\in \text{sp}(a), \\ \lambda e - a &\text{ is not invertible in } \tilde{A}, \\ \widehat{(\lambda e - a)}(\tilde{\tau}) &= 0 \text{ for some } \tilde{\tau} \in \Delta(\tilde{A}), \quad \text{by (14.11)} \\ \lambda &= \widehat{a}(\tilde{\tau}) \text{ for some } \tilde{\tau} \in \Delta(\tilde{A}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

(14.13). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is a commutative Banach algebra without unit, we have  $\text{sp}(a) = \widehat{a}(\Delta(A)) \cup \{0\}$  for all  $a \in A$ . Please note (4.7).*

PROOF. The following statements are equivalent.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &\in \text{sp}(a), \\ \lambda &= \widehat{a}(\tilde{\tau}) \text{ for some } \tilde{\tau} \in \Delta(\tilde{A}), \quad \text{by (14.12)} \\ \text{either } \lambda &= 0 \text{ or } \lambda = \widehat{a}(\tau) \text{ for some } \tau \in \Delta(A), \\ &\text{(according as } \tilde{\tau} \text{ vanishes on all of } A \text{ or not).} \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

(14.14). **COROLLARY.** *For a commutative Banach algebra  $A$  and  $a \in A$ , we have*

$$\underline{\text{sp}(a) \setminus \{0\}} = \widehat{a}(\Delta(A)) \setminus \{0\}.$$

(14.15). **THEOREM.** *For a commutative Banach algebra  $A$  and  $a \in A$ , we have*

$$|\widehat{a}|_{\infty} = r_{\lambda}(a).$$

PROOF. This follows from the preceding corollary by applying the Spectral Radius Formula.  $\square$

(14.16). **COROLLARY.** *For a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and  $a \in A$ , we have*

$$|\widehat{a}|_{\infty} = \|a\|.$$

PROOF. By (7.6) we have  $r_{\lambda}(a) = \|a\|$ .  $\square$

(14.17). **COROLLARY.** *If  $A$  is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $\neq \{0\}$ , then  $\Delta(A) \neq \emptyset$  and the Gelfand transformation  $A \rightarrow \ell^{\infty}(\Delta(A))$  is isometric.*

(14.18). **DEFINITION** (Hermitian linear functionals). A linear functional  $\varphi$  on a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is called Hermitian if  $\varphi(a^*) = \overline{\varphi(a)}$  for all  $a \in A$ .

(14.19). **PROPOSITION.** *A commutative Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is Hermitian precisely when each  $\tau \in \Delta(A)$  is Hermitian.*

PROOF. Let  $a \in A$ . We then have  $\{\tau(a) : \tau \in \Delta(A)\} \setminus \{0\} = \text{sp}(a) \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus, if every  $\tau$  is Hermitian, then  $\text{sp}(a)$  is real for  $a$  Hermitian, so that  $A$  is Hermitian. Conversely, if  $A$  is Hermitian, then each  $\tau$  assumes real values on Hermitian elements. Given any  $c \in A$ , however, we can write  $c = a + ib$  with  $a, b$  Hermitian, so that for  $\tau \in \Delta(A)$  we have  $\tau(c^*) = \tau(a) - i\tau(b) = \overline{\tau(a) + i\tau(b)} = \overline{\tau(c)}$ .  $\square$

(14.20). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $A$  be a Hermitian commutative Banach  $*$ -algebra with  $\Delta(A) \neq \emptyset$ . The Gelfand transformation then is a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism. In particular, the range of the Gelfand transformation then is a  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\ell^\infty(\Delta(A))$  (not only a subalgebra).*

(14.21). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra. For two elements  $a, b$  of  $A$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{sp}(a + b) &\subset \text{sp}(a) + \text{sp}(b) \\ \text{sp}(ab) &\subset \text{sp}(a) \text{sp}(b).\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. This is an application of (14.12). Indeed, if  $\lambda \in \text{sp}(ab)$ , there exists  $\tilde{\tau} \in \Delta(\tilde{A})$  such that  $\tilde{\tau}(ab) = \lambda$ . But then  $\lambda = \tilde{\tau}(a)\tilde{\tau}(b)$  where  $\tilde{\tau}(a) \in \text{sp}(a)$  and  $\tilde{\tau}(b) \in \text{sp}(b)$ .  $\square$

(14.22). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. If  $a, b$  are commuting elements of  $A$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{sp}(a + b) &\subset \text{sp}(a) + \text{sp}(b) \\ \text{sp}(ab) &\subset \text{sp}(a) \text{sp}(b).\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The second commutant  $B$  of  $\{a, b\}$  in  $\tilde{A}$ , cf. (13.5), is a commutative Banach subalgebra of  $\tilde{A}$  containing  $a$  and  $b$ , cf. (13.5) & (13.3) (iii). By (13.6) we have  $\text{sp}_A(c) = \text{sp}_B(c)$  for  $c \in \{a, b, a + b, ab\}$ .  $\square$

For the preceding theorem, see also (6.5) and (6.9).

Finally an application to harmonic analysis:

(14.23). **EXAMPLE.** Consider the Banach  $*$ -algebra  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ , cf. example (3.5). We are interested in knowing the set of multiplicative linear functionals of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . To this end we note that a multiplicative linear functional on  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  is determined by its value at  $\delta_1$ . So let  $\tau$  be a multiplicative linear functional on  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  and put  $\alpha := \tau(\delta_1)$ . We then have  $|\alpha| \leq 1$ . But as  $\delta_{-1}$  is the inverse of  $\delta_1$ , we also have  $\tau(\delta_{-1}) = \alpha^{-1}$  and  $|\alpha^{-1}| \leq 1$ . This makes that  $\alpha$  belongs to the unit circle:  $\alpha = e^{it}$  for

some  $t \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . For an integer  $k$ , we obtain  $\tau(\delta_k) = (\tau(\delta_1))^k = e^{ikt}$ . This implies that for  $a \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ , we have

$$\widehat{a}(\tau) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a(k) e^{ikt}.$$

Conversely, by putting for some  $t \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$

$$\tau(a) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a(k) e^{ikt} \quad (a \in A),$$

a multiplicative linear functional  $\tau$  on  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  is defined. Indeed, with the Theorem of Mertens (9.1), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(ab) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (ab)(k) e^{ikt} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l+m=k} a(l) b(m) e^{ikt} \\ &= \left( \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} a(l) e^{ilt} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} b(m) e^{imt} \right) = \tau(a) \cdot \tau(b). \end{aligned}$$

As a multiplicative linear functional is determined by its value at  $\delta_1$ , it follows that  $\Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}))$  can be identified with  $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . Also, a function on  $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  is the Gelfand transform of an element of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  if and only if it has an absolutely convergent Fourier expansion.

From the abstract form of Wiener's Theorem (14.11), it follows now:

(14.24). **THEOREM** (Wiener). *Let  $f$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  which has an absolutely convergent Fourier expansion. If  $f(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ , then also  $1/f$  has an absolutely convergent Fourier expansion.*

This easy proof of Wiener's Theorem was an early success of the theory of Gelfand.

We shall return to the non-commutative theory in § 33.

### § 15. The Gelfand Topology

In this paragraph, let  $A$  be a Banach algebra.

(15.1). **DEFINITION** (the Gelfand topology, the spectrum). One imbeds the set  $\Delta(A)$  in the unit ball, cf. (14.2), of the dual space of  $A$  equipped with the weak\* topology, cf. the appendix (45.3). The relative topology on  $\Delta(A)$  is called the Gelfand topology. When equipped with the Gelfand topology,  $\Delta(A)$  is called the spectrum of  $A$ .

(15.2). **PROPOSITION.** *We have:*

- (i) *the closure  $\overline{\Delta(A)}$  is a compact Hausdorff space.*
- (ii) *each point in  $\overline{\Delta(A)}$  is either zero or else a multiplicative linear functional.*

PROOF. (i) follows from Alaoglu's Theorem, cf. the appendix (45.4). (ii): every adherence point of  $\Delta(A)$  is a linear and multiplicative functional.  $\square$

(15.3). **THEOREM.** *Either  $\Delta(A)$  is compact or  $\overline{\Delta(A)} = \Delta(A) \cup \{\tau_\infty\}$  where  $\tau_\infty := 0$ , in which case  $\Delta(A)$  is locally compact and  $\overline{\Delta(A)}$  is the one-point compactification of  $\Delta(A)$ .*

(15.4). **COROLLARY.** *If  $A$  is unital, then  $\Delta(A)$  is compact.*

PROOF. We have  $\tau(e) = 1$  for all  $\tau \in \Delta(A)$ , whence  $\sigma(e) = 1$  for all  $\sigma \in \overline{\Delta(A)}$  because the property in question is preserved by pointwise convergence. On the other hand we have  $\tau_\infty(e) = 0$ , so that  $\tau_\infty \notin \overline{\Delta(A)}$ , whence it follows that  $\Delta(A)$  is compact.  $\square$

(15.5). **LEMMA** (the abstract Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma). *If  $\Delta(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then for all  $a \in A$ , we have*

$$\widehat{a} \in C_0(\Delta(A)).$$

PROOF. If  $\Delta(A)$  is not compact, we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \tau_\infty} \widehat{a}(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \tau_\infty} \tau(a) = \tau_\infty(a) = 0. \quad \square$$

From (14.4) it follows:

(15.6). **COROLLARY.** *If  $\Delta(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then the Gelfand transformation  $a \mapsto \widehat{a}$  is a contractive algebra homomorphism*

$$A \rightarrow C_0(\Delta(A)).$$

(15.7). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A$  be a Hermitian commutative Banach  $*$ -algebra with  $\Delta(A) \neq \emptyset$ . The range of the Gelfand transformation then is dense in  $C_0(\Delta(A))$ .*

PROOF. One applies the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, see the appendix (45.5). This requires (14.20).  $\square$

The remaining statements in this paragraph concern commutative  $C^*$ -algebras, as well as their dual objects: locally compact Hausdorff spaces.

Next, the central result in the theory of commutative  $C^*$ -algebras.

(15.8). **THEOREM** (the Commutative Gelfand-Naimark Theorem). *If  $A$  is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $\neq \{0\}$ , then the Gelfand transformation establishes a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism from  $A$  onto  $C_0(\Delta(A))$ .*

PROOF. It follows from (14.17) that  $\Delta(A) \neq \emptyset$  and that the Gelfand transformation is isometric, and therefore injective. The Gelfand transformation is a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism by (14.20). It suffices now to show that it is surjective. Its range is dense (15.7) and on the other hand complete (because  $a \mapsto \hat{a}$  is isometric) and thus closed.  $\square$

Also of great importance is the following result which identifies the spectrum of a normal element  $b$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with the spectrum (15.1) of the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\tilde{A}$  generated by  $b$ ,  $b^*$ , and  $e$ . Please note that the  $C^*$ -subalgebra in question is commutative as  $b$  is normal.

(15.9). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $b$  be a normal element of  $A$ . Denote by  $B$  the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\tilde{A}$  generated by  $b$ ,  $b^*$ , and  $e$ . Then  $\hat{b}$  is a homeomorphism from  $\Delta(B)$  onto  $\text{sp}_A(b) = \text{sp}_B(b)$ .*

PROOF. We have  $\text{sp}_A(b) = \text{sp}_B(b)$  by (11.5) & (11.8). Furthermore, the map  $\hat{b}$  is continuous and maps  $\Delta(B)$  surjectively onto  $\text{sp}_B(b)$ , cf. (14.12). It is also injective: if  $\hat{b}(\tau_1) = \hat{b}(\tau_2)$  then  $\tau_1(p(b, b^*)) = \tau_2(p(b, b^*))$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, \bar{z}]$ . Since the polynomial functions in  $b$  and  $b^*$  are dense in  $B$ , it follows that  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ . The continuous bijective function  $\hat{b}$  is a homeomorphism because its domain  $\Delta(B)$  is compact and its range  $\text{sp}_B(b)$  is Hausdorff.  $\square$

The preceding two theorems together yield the so-called “operational calculus”:



(15.10). **COROLLARY** (the operational calculus). *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $b$  be a normal element of  $A$ . Let  $B$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\tilde{A}$  generated by  $b$ ,  $b^*$ , and  $e$ . For  $f \in C(\text{sp}(b))$ , one denotes by  $f(b)$  the element of  $B$  satisfying*

$$\widehat{f(b)} = f \circ \widehat{b} \in C(\Delta(B)).$$

*The mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} C(\text{sp}(b)) &\rightarrow B \\ f &\mapsto f(b) \end{aligned}$$

*is a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism, called the operational calculus for  $b$ . It is the only  $*$ -algebra homomorphism from  $C(\text{sp}(b))$  to  $B$  mapping the identical function to  $b$  and the constant function 1 to  $e$ . The following Spectral Mapping Theorem holds:*

$$\text{sp}(f(b)) = f(\text{sp}(b)) \quad \left( f \in C(\text{sp}(b)) \right).$$

**PROOF.** The uniqueness statement follows from (8.4) and the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, cf. the appendix (45.5).  $\square$

See also (12.1).

Now for locally compact Hausdorff spaces.

If  $\Omega$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then what is the spectrum of the commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_o(\Omega)$ ? Precisely  $\Omega$ , as the next theorem shows.

(15.11). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then  $\Delta(C_o(\Omega))$  is homeomorphic to  $\Omega$ .*

*Indeed, for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , consider the evaluation  $\varepsilon_\omega$  at  $\omega$  given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_\omega : C_o(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ f &\mapsto \varepsilon_\omega(f) := f(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

*Then the map  $\omega \mapsto \varepsilon_\omega$  is a homeomorphism  $\Omega \rightarrow \Delta(C_o(\Omega))$ , and  $\widehat{f}(\varepsilon_\omega) = f(\omega)$  for all  $f \in C(\Omega)$  and all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Thus, upon identifying  $\varepsilon_\omega \in \Delta(C_o(\Omega))$  with  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the Gelfand transformation on  $C_o(\Omega)$  becomes the identity map.*

Before going to the proof, we note the following corollary.

(15.12). **COROLLARY.** *Two locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega'$  are homeomorphic whenever the  $C^*$ -algebras  $C_o(\Omega)$ ,  $C_o(\Omega')$  are isomorphic as  $*$ -algebras. (Cf. (8.5).) In this sense a locally compact space  $\Omega$  is determined up to a homeomorphism by the  $*$ -algebraic structure of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_o(\Omega)$  alone.*

PROOF OF (15.11). The result for a general locally compact Hausdorff space follows from the special case of a compact Hausdorff space by considering the one-point compactification. Hence we shall assume that  $\Omega$  is a compact Hausdorff space, so  $C_o(\Omega) = C(\Omega)$ . Clearly each  $\varepsilon_\omega$  is a multiplicative linear functional on  $C(\Omega)$ . The map  $\omega \mapsto \varepsilon_\omega$  is injective since  $C(\Omega)$  separates the points of  $\Omega$  (by Urysohn's Lemma). This map is continuous in the weak\* topology because if  $\omega_\alpha \rightarrow \omega$ , then  $f(\omega_\alpha) \rightarrow f(\omega)$  for all  $f \in C(\Omega)$ . It now suffices to show that this map is surjective onto  $\Delta(C(\Omega))$  because a continuous bijection from a compact space to a Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism. By corollary (14.10), the sets  $M_\omega := \{f \in C(\Omega) : f(\omega) = 0\}$ , ( $\omega \in \Omega$ ) are maximal ideals in  $C(\Omega)$ , and it is enough to show that each maximal ideal in  $C(\Omega)$  is of this form. It is sufficient to prove that each maximal ideal  $I$  in  $C(\Omega)$  is contained in some  $M_\omega$ . So let  $I$  be a maximal ideal in  $C(\Omega)$ , and suppose that for each  $\omega \in \Omega$  there exists  $f_\omega \in I$  with  $f_\omega(\omega) \neq 0$ . The open sets  $\{f_\omega \neq 0\}$  ( $\omega \in \Omega$ ) cover  $\Omega$ , so by passing to a finite subcover, we obtain  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in I$  such that  $g := \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{f_i} f_i$  is  $> 0$  on  $\Omega$ . Then  $g \in I$  would be invertible in  $C(\Omega)$ , contradicting proposition (14.6) (ii).  $\square$

It also follows that there is a bijective correspondence between the equivalence classes of commutative C\*-algebras modulo \*-algebra isomorphism and the equivalence classes of locally compact Hausdorff spaces modulo homeomorphism.

## § 16. The Stone-Čech Compactification

(This paragraph can be skipped at first reading. Although this is the right time in a mathematician's education to acquire the present material.)

We first have to fix some terminology.

(16.1). **DEFINITION** (completely regular spaces). A topological space  $\Omega$  is called completely regular if it is Hausdorff, and if for every non-empty proper closed subset  $C$  of  $\Omega$  and every point  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus C$  there exists a continuous function  $f$  on  $\Omega$  taking values in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $f$  vanishes on  $C$  and  $f(\omega) = 1$ .

Please note that every subspace of a completely regular Hausdorff space is itself a completely regular Hausdorff space.

For example every normal Hausdorff space is completely regular by Urysohn's Lemma. In particular, every compact Hausdorff space is completely regular. It follows that every locally compact Hausdorff space is completely regular (by considering the one-point compactification).

(16.2). **DEFINITION** (compactification). A compactification of a Hausdorff space  $\Omega$  is a pair  $(K, \phi)$ , where  $K$  is a compact Hausdorff space, and  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism of  $\Omega$  onto a dense subset of  $K$ . We shall identify  $\Omega$  with its image  $\phi(\Omega) \subset K$ , and simply speak of "the compactification  $K$  of  $\Omega$ ".

Please note that at most completely regular Hausdorff spaces can have a compactification.

For example  $([-1, 1], \tanh)$  is a compactification of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(16.3). **DEFINITION** (equivalence of compactifications). Any two compactifications  $(K_1, \phi_1)$ ,  $(K_2, \phi_2)$  of a Hausdorff space  $\Omega$  are said to be equivalent in case there exists a homeomorphism  $\theta : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$  with  $\theta \circ \phi_1 = \phi_2$ .

(16.4). **DEFINITION** (completely regular algebras). Let  $\Omega$  be a Hausdorff space, and let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C_b(\Omega)$ . One says that  $A$  is completely regular if for every non-empty proper closed subset  $C$  of  $\Omega$  and for every point  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus C$ , there is a function  $f \in A$  taking values in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $f$  vanishes on  $C$  and  $f(\omega) = 1$ . In particular  $\Omega$  is completely regular if and only if the unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_b(\Omega)$  is completely regular.

We can now characterise all compactifications of a completely regular Hausdorff space:

(16.5). **THEOREM** (all compactifications). *Let  $\Omega$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space. The following statements hold.*

- (i) *If  $A$  is a completely regular unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C_b(\Omega)$  then  $(\Delta(A), \varepsilon)$  is a compactification of  $\Omega$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is the map associating with each  $\omega \in \Omega$  the evaluation  $\varepsilon_\omega$  at  $\omega$  of the functions in  $A$ . For  $f \in A$ , there exists a (necessarily unique) function  $g \in C(\Delta(A))$  with  $f = g \circ \varepsilon$ . It is interpreted as the “continuation of  $f$  to the compactification”. It is given as the Gelfand transform of  $f$ .*
- (ii) *If  $(K, \phi)$  is a compactification of  $\Omega$ , then*

$$A_K := \{ g \circ \phi : g \in C(K) \} \subset C_b(\Omega)$$

*is a completely regular unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C_b(\Omega)$ , and the “restriction map”  $C(K) \rightarrow A_K$ ,  $g \mapsto g \circ \phi$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism. The compactification  $(K, \phi)$  then is equivalent to the compactification associated with  $A := A_K$  as in (i).*

- (iii) *Two compactifications  $K, K'$  of  $\Omega$  are equivalent if and only if  $A_K = A_{K'}$ .*

*In other words, the equivalence classes of compactifications  $K$  of  $\Omega$  are in bijective correspondence with the spectra of the completely regular unital  $C^*$ -subalgebras  $A_K$  of  $C_b(\Omega)$ . The  $A_K$  consisting of those functions in  $C_b(\Omega)$  which have a continuation to  $K$ .*

**PROOF.** (i): Let  $A$  be a completely regular unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C_b(\Omega)$ . Then  $\Delta(A)$  is a compact Hausdorff space by (15.4). For  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the evaluation  $\varepsilon_\omega$  at  $\omega$  of the functions in  $A$  is a multiplicative linear functional on  $A$  because it is non-zero as  $A$  is unital in  $C_b(\Omega)$ . The map  $\varepsilon : \omega \mapsto \varepsilon_\omega$  thus takes  $\Omega$  to  $\Delta(A)$ . Moreover the Gelfand transform  $g$  of a function  $f$  in  $A$  satisfies  $f = g \circ \varepsilon$  as  $f(\omega) = \varepsilon_\omega(f) = g(\varepsilon_\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . The set  $\varepsilon(\Omega)$  is dense in  $\Delta(A)$ . For otherwise there would exist a non-zero continuous function  $g$  on  $\Delta(A)$  vanishing on  $\varepsilon(\Omega)$ . (By Urysohn’s Lemma.) The Commutative Gelfand-Naïmark Theorem (15.8) would imply that there exists a unique function  $f \in A$  whose Gelfand transform is  $g$ , and since  $g \neq 0$ , one would have  $f \neq 0$ , contradicting  $f = g \circ \varepsilon = 0$ . The map  $\varepsilon : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta(A)$  is injective because  $A$  separates the points of  $\Omega$ . (As  $A$  is completely regular and  $\Omega$  is Hausdorff.) This map is continuous in the weak\* topology because if  $\omega_\alpha \rightarrow \omega$  then  $f(\omega_\alpha) \rightarrow f(\omega)$  for all  $f \in A$ . To see that  $\varepsilon$  is a homeomorphism from  $\Omega$  onto  $\varepsilon(\Omega)$ , it remains to show that  $\varepsilon : \Omega \rightarrow \varepsilon(\Omega)$  is open. So let  $U$  be a non-empty proper open subset of  $\Omega$ . For  $\omega \in U$ , let  $f \in A$  such that  $f$  vanishes on  $\Omega \setminus U$  and  $f(\omega) = 1$ . Denote by  $g$  the Gelfand transform of  $f$ . The set  $V := g^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$  is an open neighbourhood of  $\varepsilon_\omega$  in  $\Delta(A)$ . Now the set  $\varepsilon(U)$  contains  $V \cap \varepsilon(\Omega)$  and so is a neighbourhood of  $\varepsilon_\omega$  in  $\varepsilon(\Omega)$ . Therefore  $\varepsilon(U)$  is open in  $\varepsilon(\Omega)$ .

(ii): Let  $(K, \phi)$  be a compactification of  $\Omega$ . The map from  $C(K)$  to  $A_K$  given by  $g \mapsto g \circ \phi$ , is interpreted as “restriction to  $\Omega$ ”. It clearly is a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism. It is isometric (and thus injective) by density of  $\phi(\Omega)$  in  $K$ . Hence the range  $A_K$  of this map is complete, and thus a unital and completely regular  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C_b(\Omega)$ . Since the above map is surjective by definition, it is a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism. It shall next be shown that  $(K, \phi)$  is equivalent to the compactification associated with  $A := A_K$  as in (i). For  $x \in K$ , consider  $\theta_x : f \rightarrow g(x)$ , ( $f \in A_K$ ), where  $g$  is the unique function in  $C(K)$  such that  $f = g \circ \phi$ , see above. Then  $\theta_x$  is a multiplicative linear functional on  $A_K$  because it is non-zero as  $A_K$  is unital in  $C_b(\Omega)$ . Now the map  $\theta : K \rightarrow \Delta(A_K)$ ,  $x \mapsto \theta_x$  is the desired homeomorphism  $K \rightarrow \Delta(A_K)$ . Indeed, this map is a homeomorphism by theorem (15.11) because  $A_K$  is essentially the same  $C^*$ -algebra as  $C(K)$ , see above. It is also clear that  $\theta \circ \phi = \varepsilon$ .

(iii) follows essentially from the last statement of (ii).  $\square$

For example if  $\Omega$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space which is not compact, then the one-point compactification of  $\Omega$  is associated with  $\mathbb{C}1_\Omega \oplus C_o(\Omega)$ , as is easily seen.

(16.6). **DEFINITION** (Stone-Čech compactification). Let  $(K, \phi)$  be a compactification of a Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ . Then  $(K, \phi)$  is called a Stone-Čech compactification of  $\Omega$  if every  $f \in C_b(\Omega)$  is of the form  $f = g \circ \phi$  for some  $g \in C(K)$ . The function  $g$  then is uniquely determined by density of  $\phi(\Omega)$  in  $K$ .

In other words, a Stone-Čech compactification of a Hausdorff space  $\Omega$  is a compact Hausdorff space  $K$  containing  $\Omega$  as a dense subset, such that every function  $f \in C_b(\Omega)$  has a (necessarily unique) continuation to  $K$ .

(16.7). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space. There then exists a Stone-Čech compactification of  $\Omega$ , and it is unique within equivalence. It is denoted by  $(\beta\Omega, \varepsilon)$ , or simply by  $\beta\Omega$ .*

PROOF. Apply the preceding theorem (16.5) with  $A := C_b(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

Hence the following memorable result.

(16.8). **COROLLARY.** *A Hausdorff space is completely regular if and only if it is homeomorphic to a subspace of a compact Hausdorff space.*

(16.9). **THEOREM** (the universal property). *Let  $\Omega$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space. The Stone-Čech compactification  $(\beta\Omega, \varepsilon)$  of  $\Omega$  enjoys the following universal property. Let  $K$  be a compact Hausdorff space, and  $\phi$  be a continuous function  $\Omega \rightarrow K$ . Then  $\phi$  has a (necessarily unique) continuation to  $\beta\Omega$ . That is, there exists a (necessarily unique) continuous function  $\tilde{\phi} : \beta\Omega \rightarrow K$  with  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \varepsilon = \phi$ . If  $(K, \phi)$  is a compactification of  $\Omega$ , then  $\tilde{\phi}$  is surjective. This shows among other things that the Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta\Omega$  of  $\Omega$  is the “largest” compactification of  $\Omega$  in the sense that any other compactification of  $\Omega$  is a continuous image of  $\beta\Omega$ .*

**PROOF.** Put  $A := C_b(\Omega)$  and  $B := C(K)$ . Let  $(\beta K, i)$  be the Stone-Čech compactification of  $K$ . Then  $\beta K$  is homeomorphic to  $K$  as  $K$  is compact. The map  $\phi$  induces an “adjoint” map  $\pi : B \rightarrow A$  by putting  $\pi(g) := g \circ \phi$ , ( $g \in B$ ). The map  $\pi$  is a unital  $*$ -algebra homomorphism, and hence induces in turn a “second adjoint” map  $\pi^* : \Delta(A) \rightarrow \Delta(B)$ , by letting  $\pi^*(\tau) := \tau \circ \pi$ , ( $\tau \in \Delta(A)$ ). The map  $\pi^*$  is continuous by the universal property of the weak\* topology, cf. the appendix (45.3). We have  $\pi^* \circ \varepsilon = i \circ \phi$  as for  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $g \in B$ , one computes

$$\begin{aligned} ((\pi^* \circ \varepsilon)(\omega))(g) &= (\pi^*(\varepsilon_\omega))(g) = (\varepsilon_\omega \circ \pi)(g) = \varepsilon_\omega(\pi(g)) = \varepsilon_\omega(g \circ \phi) \\ &= (g \circ \phi)(\omega) = g(\phi(\omega)) = (i(\phi(\omega)))(g) = ((i \circ \phi)(\omega))(g). \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\tilde{\phi} := i^{-1} \circ \pi^*$ . This map is continuous because  $i$  is open. Also  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \varepsilon = i^{-1} \circ \pi^* \circ \varepsilon = \phi$  as required. Finally, if  $(K, \phi)$  is a compactification, then  $\phi(\Omega)$  is dense in  $K$ . Then  $\tilde{\phi}(\beta\Omega)$  is dense in  $K$  the more, and also compact, so that  $\tilde{\phi}(\beta\Omega) = K$ .  $\square$

## CHAPTER 3

### Positive Elements

#### § 17. The Positive Cone in a Hermitian Banach \*-Algebra

(17.1). **REMINDER** (cone). A cone in a real or complex vector space  $V$  is a non-empty subset  $C$  of  $V$  such that for  $c \in C$  and  $\lambda > 0$  also  $\lambda c \in C$ .

(17.2). **DEFINITION** (positive elements). Let  $A$  be a \*-algebra. We shall denote by

$$A_+ := \{ a \in A_{sa} : \text{sp}_A(a) \subset [0, \infty[ \}$$

the set of positive elements in  $A$ . For  $a \in A_+$ , we shall also write  $a \geq 0$ . The set of positive elements in  $A$  is a cone in  $A_{sa}$ .

(17.3). **PROPOSITION**. Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  be a \*-algebra homomorphism from a \*-algebra  $A$  to a \*-algebra  $B$ . If  $a \in A_+$ , then  $\pi(a) \in B_+$ .

PROOF.  $\text{sp}(\pi(a)) \setminus \{0\} \subset \text{sp}(a) \setminus \{0\}$ , cf. (4.9). □

(17.4). **PROPOSITION**. Let  $A$  be a Banach \*-algebra. Let  $B$  be a Hermitian closed \*-subalgebra of  $A$ . (Cf. (11.5).) For  $b \in B$ , we have

$$b \in B_+ \Leftrightarrow b \in A_+.$$

PROOF.  $\text{sp}_B(b) \setminus \{0\} = \text{sp}_A(b) \setminus \{0\}$ , cf. (11.8). □

(17.5). **THEOREM** ((in)stability of  $A_+$  under products). Let  $A$  be a Hermitian Banach \*-algebra. If  $a, b \in A_+$ , then the product  $ab$  is in  $A_+$  if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  commute.

PROOF. For the “only if” part, see (1.10). Assume now that  $a, b \in A_+$  commute. We can then even assume that  $A$  is commutative and unital. (Indeed, the second commutant  $B$  of  $\{a, b\}$  in  $\tilde{A}$  is a commutative unital Hermitian Banach \*-subalgebra of  $\tilde{A}$  containing  $a$  and  $b$ , such that  $\text{sp}_A(c) = \text{sp}_B(c)$  for  $c \in \{a, b, ab\}$ , cf. (11.5), (13.5) & (13.6).) Now the elements  $\frac{1}{n}e + b$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) have Hermitian square roots  $b_n = b_n^*$ , cf. (9.5). The elements  $\frac{1}{n}e + a$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) have Hermitian square roots  $a_n = a_n^*$ . The elements  $a_n b_n$  are Hermitian by commutativity, and so have real spectrum. Hence  $\text{sp}((a_n b_n)^2) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  for all  $n$  (Rational

Spectral Mapping Theorem). The continuity of the spectrum function in a commutative Banach algebra (6.9) shows that

$$ab = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^2 b_n^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n b_n)^2 \geq 0. \quad \square$$

Our next aim is the Shirali-Ford Theorem (17.14). On the way, we shall see that the set of positive elements of a Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is a closed convex cone in  $A_{sa}$ .

(17.6). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A$  be a Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra. For two Hermitian elements  $a = a^*, b = b^*$  of  $A$ , we have*

$$r_\lambda(ab) \leq r_\lambda(a) r_\lambda(b).$$

PROOF. By (11.3) (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii), we have

$$r_\lambda(ab) \leq r_\sigma(ab) = r_\lambda(baab)^{1/2} = r_\lambda(a^2 b^2)^{1/2}$$

where we have made use of the fact that  $r_\lambda(cd) = r_\lambda(dc)$  for  $c, d \in A$ , cf. (6.4). By induction it follows that

$$r_\lambda(ab) \leq r_\lambda\left(a^{(2^n)} b^{(2^n)}\right)^{1/(2^n)} \leq |a^{(2^n)}|^{1/(2^n)} \cdot |b^{(2^n)}|^{1/(2^n)}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . It remains to take the limit for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

(17.7). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is a Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra then  $A_+$  is a convex cone in  $A_{sa}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $a, b$  be positive elements of  $A$ . It shall be shown that  $a + b$  is positive. Upon replacing  $a, b$  by suitable multiples, it suffices to show that  $e + a + b$  is invertible. Since  $e + a$  and  $e + b$  are invertible, we may define  $c := a(e + a)^{-1}, d := b(e + b)^{-1}$ . The Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem yields  $r_\lambda(c) < 1$  and  $r_\lambda(d) < 1$ . The preceding proposition then gives  $r_\lambda(cd) < 1$ , so  $e - cd$  is invertible. We now have  $(e + a)(e - cd)(e + b) = e + a + b$ , so that  $e + a + b$  is invertible.  $\square$

(17.8). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A$  be a Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra. Let  $a = a^*, b = b^*$  be Hermitian elements of  $A$ . We then have*

$$r_\lambda(a + b) \leq r_\lambda(a) + r_\lambda(b),$$

whence also

$$|r_\lambda(a) - r_\lambda(b)| \leq r_\lambda(a - b) \leq |a - b|.$$

It follows that  $r_\lambda$  is uniformly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ .

PROOF. We have

$$r_\lambda(a) e \pm a \geq 0, \quad r_\lambda(b) e \pm b \geq 0,$$

and so, by (17.7)

$$(r_\lambda(a) + r_\lambda(b)) e \pm (a + b) \geq 0,$$



whence

$$r_\lambda(a + b) \leq r_\lambda(a) + r_\lambda(b). \quad \square$$

(17.9). **LEMMA.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra, and let  $a$  be an element of  $A$  with real spectrum. For  $\tau \geq r_\lambda(a)$ , we have*

$$\min \{ \lambda : \lambda \in \text{sp}(a) \} = \tau - r_\lambda(\tau e - a).$$

(Please note that  $\text{sp}(\tau e - a) \subset [0, \infty[$ .) Hence the following statements are equivalent.

- (i)  $\text{sp}(a) \subset [0, \infty[$ ,
- (ii)  $r_\lambda(\tau e - a) \leq \tau$ .

PROOF. For  $\tau \geq r_\lambda(a)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r_\lambda(\tau e - a) &= \max \{ |\alpha| : \alpha \in \text{sp}(\tau e - a) \} \\ &= \max \{ |\alpha| : \alpha \in \tau - \text{sp}(a) \} \\ &= \max \{ |\tau - \lambda| : \lambda \in \text{sp}(a) \} \\ &= \max \{ \tau - \lambda : \lambda \in \text{sp}(a) \} \\ &= \tau - \min \{ \lambda : \lambda \in \text{sp}(a) \}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

(17.10). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is a Hermitian Banach \*-algebra then  $A_+$  is closed in  $A_{sa}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence in  $A_+$  converging to an element  $a$  of  $A_{sa}$ . There then exists  $\tau \geq 0$  such that  $\tau \geq |a_n| \geq r_\lambda(a_n)$  for all  $n$ . It follows that also  $\tau \geq |a| \geq r_\lambda(a)$ . By (17.8) and (17.9), we have

$$r_\lambda(\tau e - a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_\lambda(\tau e - a_n) \leq \tau. \quad \square$$

(17.11). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is a Hermitian Banach \*-algebra then  $A_+$  is a closed convex cone in  $A_{sa}$ .*

PROOF. This is the theorems (17.7) and (17.10).  $\square$

(17.12). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach \*-algebra. Let  $\alpha \geq 2$  and put  $\beta := \alpha + 1$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A$  is Hermitian,
- (ii) for each  $a \in A$  one has  $\text{sp}(a^*a) \subset [-\lambda, \lambda]$ ,  
where  $\lambda := \max \{ (\text{sp}(a^*a) \cap \mathbb{R}_+) \cup \{0\} \}$ ,
- (iii) for all  $a \in A$  with  $r_\sigma(a) < 1$ , one has  $r_\sigma(c) \leq 1$ ,  
where  $c := \alpha^{-1}(\beta a - aa^*a)$ ,
- (iv)  $\text{sp}(a^*a)$  does not contain any  $\lambda < 0$  whenever  $a \in A$ .
- (v)  $e + a^*a$  is invertible in  $\tilde{A}$  for all  $a \in A$ .

(17.13). **REMARK.** In their definition of a  $C^*$ -algebra, Gelfand and N  mark had to assume that  $e + a^*a$  is invertible in  $\tilde{A}$  for all  $a \in A$ . The above theorem reduces this to showing that a  $C^*$ -algebra is Hermitian (11.4). Before going to the proof, we note the following consequence.

(17.14). **THEOREM** (the Shirali-Ford Theorem). *A Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is Hermitian if and only if  $a^*a \geq 0$  for all  $a \in A$ .*

**PROOF OF (17.12).** The proof is a variant of the one in the book of Bonsall and Duncan [20], and uses a polynomial rather than a rational function.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Assume that  $A$  is Hermitian, let  $a \in A$ , and let  $\lambda$  be as in (ii). We obtain  $\text{sp}(a^*a) \subset ]-\infty, \lambda]$  as  $a^*a$  is Hermitian. Let now  $a = b + ic$  where  $b, c \in A_{sa}$ . We then have  $aa^* + a^*a = 2(b^2 + c^2)$ , whence  $\lambda e + aa^* = 2(b^2 + c^2) + (\lambda e - a^*a) \geq 0$  by convexity of  $\tilde{A}_+$  (17.7), so that  $\text{sp}(aa^*) \subset [-\lambda, \infty[$ . One concludes that  $\text{sp}(a^*a) \subset [-\lambda, \lambda]$  as  $\text{sp}(a^*a) \setminus \{0\} = \text{sp}(aa^*) \setminus \{0\}$ , cf. (4.11).

Intermediate step. Consider the polynomial  $p(x) = \alpha^{-2}x(\beta - x)^2$ . If  $c$  is as in (iii), then  $c^*c = p(a^*a)$ , whence  $\text{sp}(c^*c) = p(\text{sp}(a^*a))$ . Please note that  $p(1) = 1$  and hence  $p(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \leq 1$  by monotony.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Assume that (ii) holds. Let  $a \in A$  with  $r_\sigma(a) \leq 1$ . Then  $\text{sp}(a^*a) \subset [-1, 1]$  by (ii). Let  $c$  be as in (iii). Then  $\text{sp}(c^*c) \subset ]-\infty, 1]$  by the intermediate step. Again by (ii), it follows that  $\text{sp}(c^*c) \subset [-1, 1]$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Assume that (iii) holds and that (iv) does not hold. Let  $\delta := -\inf \{ \lambda \in \text{sp}(a^*a) \cap \mathbb{R} : a \in A, r_\sigma(a) < 1 \}$ . We then have  $\delta > 0$ . It follows that there exists  $a \in A$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ , such that  $r_\sigma(a) < 1$ ,  $-\gamma \in \text{sp}(a^*a)$ , and  $\gamma \geq (\alpha/\beta)^2 \delta$ . With  $c$  as in (iii), we then have  $r_\sigma(c) \leq 1$  by assumption. The definition of  $\delta$  and the intermediate step then imply  $p(-\gamma) \geq -\delta$ , that is  $\alpha^{-2}\gamma(\beta + \gamma)^2 \leq \delta$ . Hence, using  $\gamma > 0$ , we obtain the contradiction  $\gamma < (\alpha/\beta)^2 \delta$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) is trivial.

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Assume that (v) holds. Let  $a = a^*$  be a Hermitian element of  $A$ . Then  $-1 \notin \text{sp}(a^2)$ , so that  $i \notin \text{sp}(a)$  by the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem. It follows via (11.3) (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) that  $A$  is Hermitian.  $\square$

(17.15). **LEMMA.** *Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  be a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism from a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  to a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $B$ . If  $B$  is Hermitian, and if*

$$r_\sigma(\pi(a)) = r_\sigma(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in A,$$

*then  $A$  is Hermitian.*

**PROOF.** This follows from (17.12) (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii).  $\square$

(17.16). **THEOREM** (stability of  $A_+$  under \*-congruence). *If  $A$  is a Hermitian Banach \*-algebra, and  $a \in A_+$ , then  $c^*ac \in A_+$  for every  $c \in \tilde{A}$ .*

PROOF. The elements  $\frac{1}{n}e + a$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) have Hermitian square roots  $b_n = b_n^*$  in  $\tilde{A}_{sa}$ , cf. (9.5). It follows that

$$c^*ac = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c^*b_n^2c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_nc)^*(b_nc) \geq 0,$$

cf. (17.14) and (17.10).  $\square$

We also give the next result, in view of its strength, exhibited by the ensuing corollary.

(17.17). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach \*-algebra. Let  $\alpha \geq 2$  and put  $\beta := \alpha + 1$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A$  is Hermitian,
- (ii) for each  $a \in A_{sa}$  one has  $\text{sp}(a^2) \subset [-\lambda, \lambda]$ ,  
where  $\lambda := \max \left( (\text{sp}(a^2) \cap \mathbb{R}_+) \cup \{0\} \right)$ ,
- (iii) for all  $a \in A_{sa}$  with  $r_\lambda(a) < 1$ , one has  $r_\lambda(c) \leq 1$ ,  
where  $c := \alpha^{-1}(\beta a - a^3)$ ,
- (iv) for all  $a \in A_{sa}$  with  $r_\lambda(a) < 1$ , and all  $\lambda \in \text{sp}(a)$ ,  
one has  $|\alpha^{-1}\lambda(\beta - \lambda^2)| \leq 1$ ,
- (v)  $\text{sp}(a^2)$  does not contain any  $\lambda < 0$  for all  $a \in A_{sa}$ .
- (vi)  $e + a^2$  is invertible in  $\tilde{A}$  for all  $a \in A_{sa}$ .

PROOF. The proof follows the lines of the proof of theorem (17.12), cf. (7.2).  $\square$

(17.18). **COROLLARY.** *A Banach \*-algebra  $A$  is Hermitian if (and only if) there exists a compact subset  $S$  of the open unit disc, such that  $a \in A_{sa}$  and  $r_\lambda(a) < 1$  together imply  $\text{sp}(a) \subset ]-1, 1[ \cup S$ .*

PROOF. One applies (iv) of the preceding proposition with  $\alpha \geq 2$  so large that  $\alpha/(\alpha + 2) \geq \max \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in S \}$ . Indeed, let  $a \in A_{sa}$  with  $r_\lambda(a) < 1$ , and let  $\lambda \in \text{sp}(a)$ . If  $\lambda$  does not belong to  $] -1, 1[$ , then it belongs to  $S$ , whence

$$|\lambda| \leq \alpha/(\alpha + 2) = \alpha/(\beta + 1) \leq \alpha/|\beta - \lambda^2|,$$

where  $\beta := \alpha + 1$ . Also, if  $\lambda$  belongs to  $] -1, 1[$ , then  $|\alpha^{-1}\lambda(\beta - \lambda^2)| < 1$  by differential calculus.  $\square$

(17.19). **REMARK.** Some of the preceding results have easy proofs in presence of commutativity, using multiplicative linear functionals. Also, in (17.5), the assumption that  $A$  be Hermitian can be dropped, cf. (14.22). Our proofs leading to these facts however use the Lemma of Zorn, cf. the remark (13.9).

### § 18. The Polar Factorisation of Invertible Elements

The present paragraph can serve as a motivation for the orthogonal decomposition (18.8) & (19.13), and can be skipped without prejudice to the sequel.

(18.1). **DEFINITION** (polar factorisation). If  $A$  is a Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra, and if  $a \in \tilde{A}$  is invertible, then a pair  $(u, p) \in \tilde{A} \times \tilde{A}$  shall be called a polar factorisation of  $a$ , when

$$a = up, \quad \text{with } u \text{ unitary in } \tilde{A}, \text{ and } p \text{ positive in } \tilde{A}.$$

We stress that the above is a good definition only for invertible elements.

(18.2). **THEOREM** (existence and uniqueness of a polar factorisation). *Let  $A$  be a Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra. An invertible element  $a$  of  $\tilde{A}$  has a unique polar factorisation  $(u, |a|)$ . The element  $|a|$  is the unique positive square root of  $a^*a$  in  $\tilde{A}$ . It is called the absolute value of  $a$ . (Though this notation clashes with the notation for the norm of  $a$ .)*

PROOF. If  $(u, p)$  is a polar factorisation of  $a$ , then  $a^*a = p^2$ . Indeed  $a^*a = (up)^*(up) = pu^*up = p^2$  since  $p = p^*$  (as  $p$  is positive), and because  $u^*u = e$  (as  $u$  is unitary). In other words  $p$  must be a positive square root of  $a^*a$ . We shall show next existence and uniqueness of a positive square root of  $a^*a$  in  $\tilde{A}$ .

The Shirali-Ford Theorem (17.14) says that since  $\tilde{A}$  is Hermitian, we have  $a^*a \geq 0$ . In particular  $\text{sp}(a^*a) \subset [0, \infty[$ . Also, with  $a$ , the elements  $a^*$  and  $a^*a$  are invertible (with respective inverses  $(a^{-1})^*$  and  $a^{-1}(a^{-1})^*$ ). Thus  $\text{sp}(a^*a) \subset ]0, \infty[$ . It follows from theorem (9.5) that  $a^*a$  has a unique square root with spectrum contained in  $]0, \infty[$ . Furthermore this square root is Hermitian, cf. the same theorem. We shall denote this square root with  $|a|$ . Then  $|a|$  is an invertible positive element of  $\tilde{A}$  with  $|a|^2 = a^*a$ . Please note also that this square root also is unique within all positive elements of  $\tilde{A}$ , cf. the same theorem.

Since  $|a|$  is invertible, the equation  $a = u|a|$  implies  $u = a|a|^{-1}$ . In particular,  $u$  is uniquely determined, and so there is at most one polar factorisation of  $a$ . We can also use this formula to define  $u$ . We note that  $u := a|a|^{-1}$  satisfies  $u^*u = e$  because

$$u^*u = (|a|^{-1})^* a^* a |a|^{-1} = (|a|^{-1})^* |a|^2 |a|^{-1} = e.$$

We also note that  $u$  is invertible, as  $a$  is invertible by assumption. So  $u$  has  $u^*$  as a left inverse, as well as some right inverse. It follows from (4.1) that  $u^*$  is an inverse of  $u$ , i.e. the element  $u$  is unitary. In other words, a polar factorisation of  $a$  exists, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

The reader will recognise the terms below from Hilbert space theory.

(18.3). **DEFINITION** (idempotents and involutory elements). Let  $A$  be an algebra. One says that  $p \in A$  is an idempotent, if  $p^2 = p$ . One says that  $a \in \tilde{A}$  is involutory, if  $a^2 = e$ , that is, if  $a = a^{-1}$ .

(18.4). **DEFINITION** (projections and reflections). Let  $A$  be a  $*$ -algebra.

One says that  $p \in A$  is a projection, if  $p$  is a Hermitian idempotent:  $p^* = p = p^2$ .

Two projections  $p$  and  $q$  in  $A$  are called orthogonal, if  $pq = 0$ . Then also  $qp = 0$  by taking adjoints.

Two projections  $p$  and  $q$  in  $\tilde{A}$  are called complementary, if they are orthogonal, and if  $p + q = e$ .

One says that  $u \in \tilde{A}$  is a reflection, if  $u$  is both Hermitian and unitary:  $u = u^* = u^{-1}$ .

(18.5). **EXAMPLE.** If  $p$  and  $q$  are two complementary projections in a unital  $*$ -algebra, then  $p - q$  is a reflection. Indeed, one computes:

$$(p-q)^*(p-q) = (p-q)(p-q)^* = (p-q)^2 = p^2 + q^2 - pq - qp = p + q = e.$$

(18.6). **THEOREM** (the structure of reflections). *Let  $u$  be a reflection in a unital  $*$ -algebra. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i)  $u$  is involutory:  $u^2 = e$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{sp}(u) \subset \{-1, 1\}$ ,
- (iii) we have  $u = p - q$ , where the elements  $p := \frac{1}{2}(e + u)$  and  $q := \frac{1}{2}(e - u)$  are complementary projections.

PROOF. The element  $u$  is involutory because  $u = u^* = u^{-1}$  implies that  $u^2 = e$ . It follows from the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem that  $\text{sp}(u) \subset \{-1, 1\}$ . Next, the elements  $p$  and  $q$  as in (iii) obviously are Hermitian, and we have  $p - q = u$  as well as  $p + q = e$ . To show that  $p$  and  $q$  are idempotents, we note that

$$\left(\frac{e \pm u}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{e^2 + u^2 \pm 2u}{4} = \frac{2e \pm 2u}{4} = \frac{e \pm u}{2}.$$

To show that the projections  $p$  and  $q$  are orthogonal, we calculate:

$$pq = \frac{1}{2}(e + u) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(e - u) = \frac{1}{4}(e^2 - u^2) = 0. \quad \square$$

(18.7). **COROLLARY.** *In a unital  $*$ -algebra, the reflections are precisely the differences of complementary projections.*

(18.8). **THEOREM** (orthogonal decomposition). *If  $A$  is a Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra, and if  $a$  is an invertible Hermitian element of  $\tilde{A}$ , there exists a unique decomposition  $a = a_+ - a_-$ , with  $a_+$  and  $a_-$  positive elements of  $\tilde{A}$  satisfying  $a_+ a_- = a_- a_+ = 0$ , namely  $a_+ = \frac{1}{2}(|a| + a)$  and  $a_- = \frac{1}{2}(|a| - a)$ .*

PROOF. To show uniqueness, let  $0 \leq a_+, a_- \in \tilde{A}$  with  $a = a_+ - a_-$ , and  $a_+ a_- = a_- a_+ = 0$ . Then  $a^2 = (a_+ - a_-)^2 = (a_+ + a_-)^2$ , whence  $a_+ + a_- \geq 0$  (17.7) is a positive square root of  $a^2 = a^*a$ . This makes that  $a_+ + a_- = |a|$ , the absolute value of  $a$ , cf. theorem (18.2). The elements  $a_+$  and  $a_-$  now are uniquely determined by the linear system  $a_+ - a_- = a$ ,  $a_+ + a_- = |a|$ , namely  $a_+ = \frac{1}{2}(|a| + a)$ ,  $a_- = \frac{1}{2}(|a| - a)$ .

Now for existence. By theorem (18.2), there exists a unique unitary  $u \in \tilde{A}$  such that  $a = u|a|$ . It has also been noted in the statement of this theorem that  $|a|$  is the unique positive square root of  $a^*a = a^2$  in  $\tilde{A}$ . Please note that with  $a$  and  $u$ , also the elements  $a^2$  and  $|a| = u^{-1}a$  are invertible. (The set of invertible elements of  $\tilde{A}$  forming a group.)

We need to show that the elements  $a$ ,  $|a|$ ,  $|a|^{-1}$ ,  $u$  commute pairwise. Theorem (9.5) says that  $|a|$  is in the closed subalgebra of  $\tilde{A}$  generated by  $a^*a = a^2$ . It follows that if  $c \in \tilde{A}$  commutes with  $a^2$ , then  $c$  also commutes with  $|a|$ , and thus also with  $|a|^{-1}$ , cf. (4.4). Choosing  $c := a$ , we see that  $a$  commutes with  $|a|$  and  $|a|^{-1}$ . Hence  $a$  also commutes with  $u = a|a|^{-1}$ . Choosing  $c := u$ , we see that  $u$  commutes with  $|a|$  and  $|a|^{-1}$ . The elements  $a$ ,  $|a|$ ,  $|a|^{-1}$ ,  $u$  thus commute pairwise.

Our intuition says that  $u$  should be Hermitian because  $a$  is so. Indeed  $u = a \cdot |a|^{-1}$  is Hermitian by the commutativity of  $a$  and  $|a|^{-1}$ , cf. (1.10). This makes that  $u$  is a Hermitian unitary element, that is: a reflection, and thus of the form  $u = p - q$  with  $p$  and  $q$  two complementary projections in  $\tilde{A}$ , cf. (18.6). We can now put  $a_+ := p|a|$ ,  $a_- := q|a|$ . Please note that a projection in  $\tilde{A}$  is positive by the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem. So, to see that the elements  $a_+$  and  $a_-$  are positive, we need to show that our two projections commute with  $|a|$ , cf. theorem (17.5). This however follows from the commutativity of  $u$  and  $|a|$  by the special form of our projections, see theorem (18.6) (iii). The commutativity of our two projections with  $|a|$  is used again in the following two calculations:  $a_+ a_- = p|a|q|a| = pq|a|^2 = 0$ , and  $a_- a_+ = q|a|p|a| = qp|a|^2 = 0$  by the orthogonality of our two projections. Also  $a = u|a| = (p - q)|a| = a_+ - a_-$ .  $\square$

A stronger result holds in  $C^*$ -algebras, cf. theorem (19.13) below.

We have again avoided the Gelfand transformation, see our remarks (13.9) and (17.19).

### § 19. The Order Structure in a C\*-Algebra

In this paragraph, let  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  be a C\*-algebra.

(19.1). **THEOREM.** *We have  $A_+ \cap (-A_+) = \{0\}$ .*

PROOF. For  $a \in A_+ \cap (-A_+)$ , one has  $\text{sp}(a) = \{0\}$ , whence  $\|a\| = r_\lambda(a) = 0$ , cf. (7.6), so that  $a = 0$ .  $\square$

(19.2). **DEFINITION** (proper convex cone). A convex cone  $C$  in a real vector space is called proper if  $C \cap (-C) = \{0\}$ .

The positive part  $A_+$  of  $A$  thus is a proper closed convex cone in  $A_{sa}$ .

(19.3). **DEFINITION** (ordered vector space). Let  $B$  be a real vector space and let  $C$  be a proper convex cone in  $B$ . By putting

$$a \leq b :\Leftrightarrow b - a \in C \quad (a, b \in B),$$

one defines an order relation in  $B$ . In this way  $B$  becomes an ordered vector space in the following sense:

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad a \leq b &\Rightarrow a + c \leq b + c & (a, b, c \in B) \\ (ii) \quad a \leq b &\Rightarrow \lambda a \leq \lambda b & (a, b \in B, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+). \end{aligned}$$

The Hermitian part  $A_{sa}$  thus is an ordered vector space.

(19.4). **PROPOSITION.** *For  $a \in A_{sa}$  we have  $-\|a\|e \leq a \leq \|a\|e$  (in  $\tilde{A}$ ).*

(19.5). **THEOREM** ( $a^{1/2}$ ). *A positive element  $a \in A$  has a unique positive square root, which is denoted by  $a^{1/2}$ . It belongs to the closed subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$ . (Which also is the C\*-subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$ .)*

PROOF. This is a restatement of theorem (12.3).  $\square$

(19.6). **THEOREM.** *For  $a \in A$ , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $a \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $a = b^2$  for some  $b$  in  $A_+$ ,
- (iii)  $a = c^*c$  for some  $c$  in  $A$ .

PROOF. (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): the Shirali-Ford Theorem (17.14).  $\square$

(19.7). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  be a \*-algebra homomorphism from  $A$  to a \*-algebra  $B$ . Then  $\pi$  is injective if and only if  $a \in A_+$  and  $\pi(a) = 0$  imply  $a = 0$ .*

PROOF. If  $0 \neq b \in \ker \pi$ , then  $0 \neq b^*b \in \ker \pi \cap A_+$  by the  $C^*$ -property (2.8) and the preceding theorem.  $\square$

(19.8). **DEFINITION.** The absolute value of  $a \in A$  is defined as

$$|a| := (a^*a)^{1/2}.$$

This is compatible with theorem (18.2) above.

(19.9). **THEOREM.** If  $\pi$  is a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism from  $A$  to another  $C^*$ -algebra, then

$$\pi(|a|) = |\pi(a)| \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

PROOF. It suffices to note that  $\pi(|a|)$  is the positive square root of  $\pi(a)^*\pi(a)$ . Indeed  $\pi(|a|) \geq 0$  as  $|a| \geq 0$ , cf. (17.3), and  $\pi(|a|)^2 = \pi(|a|^2) = \pi(a^*a) = \pi(a)^*\pi(a)$ .  $\square$

(19.10). **PROPOSITION.** For  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\| |a| \| = \| a \| \quad \text{as well as} \quad r_\sigma(a) = r_\lambda(|a|).$$

PROOF. One calculates with (6.3):

$$\| |a| \|^2 = r_\lambda(|a|)^2 = r_\lambda(|a|^2) = r_\lambda(a^*a) = r_\sigma(a)^2 = \| a \|^2. \quad \square$$

(19.11). **PROPOSITION.** For  $a, b \in A_{sa}$ , if  $0 \leq a \leq b$ , then  $\| a \| \leq \| b \|$ .

PROOF. If  $0 \leq a \leq b$  then  $b \leq \| b \| e$  and  $b \pm a \geq 0$ . It follows that  $\| b \| e \pm a \geq 0$ , whence  $\| b \| \geq r_\lambda(a) = \| a \|$ .  $\square$

(19.12). **COROLLARY.** For  $a, b \in A$ , if  $|a| \leq |b|$ , then also  $\| a \| \leq \| b \|$ .

PROOF. If  $|a| \leq |b|$ , then  $\| |a| \| \leq \| |b| \|$ , cf. (19.11), which is the same as  $\| a \| \leq \| b \|$ , cf. (19.10).  $\square$

(19.13). **THEOREM** (the orthogonal decomposition). For a Hermitian element  $a = a^*$  of  $A$ , one defines

$$a_+ := \frac{1}{2}(|a| + a) \quad \text{and} \quad a_- := \frac{1}{2}(|a| - a).$$

We then have

- (i)  $a_+, a_- \in A_+$ ,
- (ii)  $a = a_+ - a_-$ ,
- (iii)  $a_+ a_- = a_- a_+ = 0$ ,

and these properties characterise  $a_+, a_-$  uniquely.

This is compatible with theorem (18.8) above.



PROOF. We shall first show uniqueness. So let  $a_1, a_2 \in A_+$  with  $a = a_1 - a_2$  and  $a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1 = 0$ . We obtain  $|a|^2 = a^2 = (a_1 - a_2)^2 = (a_1 + a_2)^2$ , whence  $|a| = a_1 + a_2$  by the uniqueness of the positive square root in  $A$ , and so  $a_1 = a_+$ ,  $a_2 = a_-$ .

We shall next prove that  $a_+$  and  $a_-$  do enjoy these properties. (ii) is clear. (iii) holds because for example  $a_+ a_- = \frac{1}{4}(|a| + a)(|a| - a) = \frac{1}{4}(|a|^2 - a^2) = 0$ . For the proof of (i) we make use of the weak form of the operational calculus (12.1). Let “abs” denote the function  $t \rightarrow |t|$  on  $\text{sp}(a)$ , and let “id” denote the identical function on  $\text{sp}(a)$ . We have  $a = \text{id}(a)$  by a defining property of the operational calculus. We also have  $|a| = (a^* a)^{1/2} = (a^2)^{1/2} = \text{abs}(a)$  by (12.2) together with the uniqueness of the positive square root. Now consider the functions on  $\text{sp}(a)$  given by  $f_+ := \frac{1}{2}(\text{abs} + \text{id})$  and  $f_- := \frac{1}{2}(\text{abs} - \text{id})$ . We then have  $f_+(a), f_-(a) \in A_+$  by (12.2). From the homomorphic nature of the operational calculus, we have  $a = f_+(a) - f_-(a)$  as well as  $f_+(a)f_-(a) = f_-(a)f_+(a) = 0$ . It follows from the uniqueness property shown above that  $f_+(a) = a_+$  and  $f_-(a) = a_-$ , and the statement follows.  $\square$

(19.14). **COROLLARY.** *The positive part  $A_+$  of  $A$  is a generic convex cone in  $A_{sa}$ , i.e.  $A_{sa} = A_+ + (-A_+)$ .*

(19.15). **COROLLARY.** *For a Hermitian element  $a = a^*$  of  $A$ , we have*

$$-|a| \leq a \leq |a|.$$

We now turn to special C\*-algebras.

(19.16). **PROPOSITION.** *For a locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ , consider the C\*-algebra  $A := C_0(\Omega)$ . Let  $f \in A$ . Then the two meanings of  $|f|$  coincide. (One being the absolute value in the C\*-algebra  $A$ , the other being the absolute value of the function.)*

PROOF. Let  $|f|$  be the absolute value of the function  $f$ . Then  $|f| \in A_+$  because  $|f| = \sqrt{|f|}\sqrt{|f|}$ . (Please note that  $\sqrt{|f|}$  is a Hermitian element of  $C_0(\Omega)$ .) From  $|f|^2 = \overline{f}f$ , one sees that  $|f|$  is a positive square root of  $\overline{f}f$ . The statement now follows from the uniqueness of the positive square root.  $\square$

(19.17). **COROLLARY.** *For a locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ , the order in  $C_0(\Omega)_{sa}$  is the pointwise order.*

For the C\*-algebra  $B(H)$  with  $H$  a Hilbert space, we have:

(19.18). **THEOREM.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $a \in B(H)$ . The following properties are equivalent.*

- (i)  $a \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\langle ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in H$ .

PROOF. Both assumptions imply that  $a$  is Hermitian.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). If  $a \geq 0$ , there exists  $b \in B(H)_{sa}$  such that  $a = b^2$ , and so

$$\langle ax, x \rangle = \langle b^2 x, x \rangle = \langle bx, bx \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Assume that  $\langle ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in H$  and let  $\lambda < 0$ . Then

$$\|(a - \lambda \mathbf{1})x\|^2 = \|ax\|^2 - 2\lambda \langle ax, x \rangle + \lambda^2 \|x\|^2 \geq \lambda^2 \|x\|^2.$$

This implies that  $a - \lambda \mathbf{1}$  has a bounded left inverse. In particular, the null space of  $a - \lambda \mathbf{1}$  is  $\{0\}$ . It follows that the range of  $a - \lambda \mathbf{1}$  is dense in  $H$ , as  $a - \lambda \mathbf{1}$  is Hermitian. So the left inverse of  $a - \lambda \mathbf{1}$  has a continuation to a bounded linear operator defined on all of  $H$ . By taking adjoints, it follows that  $a - \lambda \mathbf{1}$  also is right invertible, and thus invertible by (4.1).  $\square$

(19.19). **THEOREM** (the order completeness of  $B(H)_{sa}$ ). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  be an increasing net of Hermitian operators in  $B(H)_{sa}$  which is bounded above in  $B(H)_{sa}$ . There then exists in  $B(H)_{sa}$  the supremum  $a$  of the  $a_i$  ( $i \in I$ ). We have*

$$ax = \lim_{i \in I} a_i x \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

PROOF. For  $x \in H$ , the net  $(\langle a_i x, x \rangle)_{i \in I}$  is increasing and bounded above, so that  $\lim_{i \in I} \langle a_i x, x \rangle = \sup_{i \in I} \langle a_i x, x \rangle$  exists and is finite. By polarisation, we may define a Hermitian sesquilinear form  $\varphi$  on  $H$  via

$$\varphi(x, y) = \lim_{i \in I} \langle a_i x, y \rangle \quad (x, y \in H).$$

This Hermitian form is seen to be bounded in the sense that

$$\sup_{\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1} |\varphi(x, y)| < \infty.$$

Hence there is a unique  $a \in B(H)_{sa}$  with

$$\langle ax, y \rangle = \varphi(x, y) = \lim_{i \in I} \langle a_i x, y \rangle \quad \text{for all } x, y \in H.$$

For  $x \in H$  we get  $\langle ax, x \rangle = \sup_{i \in I} \langle a_i x, x \rangle$ , and it follows by the preceding theorem that  $a$  is the supremum of the set  $\{a_i : i \in I\}$ . It remains to be shown that the net  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  converges pointwise to  $a$ . For this purpose, we use that  $a - a_i \geq 0$  for all  $i \in I$ . By (17.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a - a_i)^2 &= (a - a_i)^{1/2} (a - a_i) (a - a_i)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (a - a_i)^{1/2} \|a - a_i\| (a - a_i)^{1/2} = \|a - a_i\| (a - a_i). \end{aligned}$$

Whence, for  $x \in H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a - a_i)x\|^2 &= \langle (a - a_i)^2 x, x \rangle \\ &\leq \|a - a_i\| \langle (a - a_i)x, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The statement follows because any section  $(\|a - a_i\|)_i \geq i_0$  is decreasing by (19.11), and thus bounded.  $\square$

We return to abstract C\*-algebras with the following theorem. It will play a decisive role in the next paragraph.

(19.20). **THEOREM** (monotony of the inverse operation). *Let  $a, b \in \tilde{A}_{sa}$  with  $0 \leq a \leq b$  and with  $a$  invertible. Then  $b$  is invertible with  $0 \leq b^{-1} \leq a^{-1}$ .*

PROOF. Since  $a \geq 0$  is invertible, we have  $a \geq \alpha e$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , so that also  $b \geq \alpha e$ , from which it follows that  $b \geq 0$  is invertible. Also  $b^{-1} \geq 0$  by the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem. On one hand, we have

$$0 \leq a \leq b = b^{1/2} e b^{1/2}$$

whence, by (17.16),

$$0 \leq b^{-1/2} a b^{-1/2} \leq e$$

so that

$$\|b^{-1/2} a b^{-1/2}\| = r_\lambda(b^{-1/2} a b^{-1/2}) \leq 1.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|a^{1/2} b^{-1} a^{1/2}\| \leq \|a^{1/2} b^{-1/2}\| \cdot \|b^{-1/2} a^{1/2}\|.$$

By the isometry of the involution and the C\*-property, it follows

$$\|a^{1/2} b^{-1} a^{1/2}\| \leq \|a^{1/2} b^{-1/2}\|^2 = \|b^{-1/2} a b^{-1/2}\| \leq 1.$$

This implies

$$0 \leq a^{1/2} b^{-1} a^{1/2} \leq e$$

which is the same as  $b^{-1} \leq a^{-1}$ , by (17.16) again.  $\square$

And now for something completely different:

(19.21). **DEFINITION** (positive linear functionals). A linear functional  $\varphi$  on a  $*$ -algebra is called positive if  $\varphi(a^*a) \geq 0$  for all elements  $a$ .

A linear functional  $\varphi$  on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is positive if and only if  $\varphi(a) \geq 0$  for all  $a \in A_+$ , cf. (19.6).

(19.22). **THEOREM.** *Every positive linear functional on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is Hermitian and continuous.*

PROOF. Let  $\varphi$  be a positive linear functional on  $A$ . The fact that  $\varphi$  is Hermitian (14.18) follows from the orthogonal decomposition (19.13). It shall next be shown that  $\varphi$  is bounded on the positive part of the unit ball of  $A$ . If it were not, one could choose a sequence  $(a_n)$  in the positive part of the unit ball of  $A$  such that  $\varphi(a_n) \geq n^2$  for all  $n$ . The elements

$$a := \sum_n \frac{1}{n^2} a_n, \quad b_m := \sum_{n \leq m} \frac{1}{n^2} a_n, \quad a - b_m = \sum_{n \geq m+1} \frac{1}{n^2} a_n$$

would all lie in the closed convex cone  $A_+$ . It would follow that

$$\varphi(a) \geq \varphi(b_m) = \sum_{n \leq m} \frac{\varphi(a_n)}{n^2} \geq m$$

for all  $m$ , a contradiction. We obtain

$$\mu := \sup \{ \varphi(a) : a \in A_+, \|a\| \leq 1 \} < \infty.$$

For  $b$  in  $A_{sa}$ , we have  $-|b| \leq b \leq |b|$ , cf. (19.15), whence

$$|\varphi(b)| \leq \varphi(|b|) \leq \mu \| |b| \| = \mu \|b\|.$$

For  $c$  in  $A$  and  $c = a + ib$  with  $a, b \in A_{sa}$ , we have  $\|a\|, \|b\| \leq \|c\|$ , and so  $|\varphi(c)| \leq 2\mu \|c\|$ .  $\square$

## § 20. The Canonical Approximate Unit in a C\*-Algebra

(20.1). **DEFINITION.** A two-sided approximate unit in a normed algebra  $A$  is a net  $(e_j)_{j \in J}$  in  $A$ , such that

$$\lim_{j \in J} e_j a = \lim_{j \in J} a e_j = a \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

(20.2). **THEOREM.** Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra. We put

$$J(A) := \{j \in A_+ : \|j\| < 1\}.$$

Then  $(j)_{j \in J(A)}$  is a two-sided approximate unit in  $A$ . It is called the canonical approximate unit.

PROOF. It shall first be shown that  $J(A)$  is upward directed. Let  $a, b \in J(A)$ . The elements  $a_1 := a(e-a)^{-1}$ ,  $b_1 := b(e-b)^{-1}$  then belong to  $A_+$  by the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem. Now consider  $c := (a_1 + b_1)(e + (a_1 + b_1))^{-1}$ . Then  $c$  is in  $J(A)$ . Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e - (e + (a_1 + b_1))^{-1} \\ &= (e + (a_1 + b_1))(e + (a_1 + b_1))^{-1} - (e + (a_1 + b_1))^{-1} \\ &= (e + (a_1 + b_1) - e)(e + (a_1 + b_1))^{-1} = c. \end{aligned}$$

From the monotony of the inverse operation (19.20) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} c &= e - (e + (a_1 + b_1))^{-1} \geq e - (e + a_1)^{-1} \\ &\geq e - (e + a(e-a)^{-1})^{-1} \\ &\geq e - ((e-a+a)(e-a)^{-1})^{-1} \\ &\geq e - (e-a) = a \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $c \geq b$ , which proves that  $J(A)$  is upward directed. It shall be shown next that  $(j)_{j \in J(A)}$  is a two-sided approximate unit in  $A$ . We claim that it suffices to show that for each  $a \in A_+$  one has

$$\lim_{j \in J(A)} \|a(e-j)a\| = 0.$$

To see this, we note the following. For  $j \in J(A)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a - ja\|^2 &= \|(e-j)a\|^2 \\ &= \|a(e-j)^2a\| = \|a(e-j)^{1/2}(e-j)(e-j)^{1/2}a\| \\ &\leq \|a(e-j)^{1/2}(e-j)^{1/2}a\| = \|a(e-j)a\|. \end{aligned}$$

One also uses that  $A$  is linearly spanned by  $A_+$  by the orthogonal decomposition (19.13). The isometry of the involution is used to pass from multiplication on the left to multiplication on the right.

So let  $a \in A_+$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Put  $\alpha := 2(1 + \|a\|)/\varepsilon > 0$  and consider  $j_o := \alpha a(e + \alpha a)^{-1}$  which belongs to  $J(A)$  by the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem. For  $j \in J(A)$  with  $j \geq j_o$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq a(e - j)a &\leq a(e - j_o)a = a^2(e - \alpha a(e + \alpha a)^{-1}) \\ &= a^2(e + \alpha a)^{-1} \\ &\leq \alpha^{-1}a \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem. Hence

$$\|a(e - j)a\| \leq \alpha^{-1}\|a\| = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot \frac{\|a\|}{1 + \|a\|} < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

Finally an expression for the quotient norm which will be used in the next paragraph. (Cf. the appendix (44.1).)

(20.3). **LEMMA.** *Let  $I$  be a  $*$ -stable (13.1) closed two-sided ideal in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . For  $a \in A$  we then have*

$$\inf_{c \in I} \|a + c\| = \lim_{j \in J(I)} \|a - aj\|.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $a \in A$ . Denote  $\alpha := \inf_{c \in I} \|a + c\|$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $c \in I$  with  $\|a + c\| < \alpha + \varepsilon/2$ . Then choose  $j_o \in J(I)$  such that  $\|c - cj\| < \varepsilon/2$  whenever  $j \in J(I)$ ,  $j \geq j_o$ . Under these circumstances we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a - aj\| &\leq \|(a + c)(e - j)\| + \|c - cj\| \\ &\leq \|a + c\| + \|c - cj\| < \alpha + \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

## § 21. Quotients and Images of C\*-Algebras

(21.1). **REMINDER** (unital homomorphism). *Let  $A, B$  be unital algebras. Recall from (1.15) that an algebra homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B$  is called unital if it maps unit to unit.*

(21.2). **PROPOSITION** (unitisation of a homomorphism). *Let  $A, B$  be normed algebras, and let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  be a non-zero algebra homomorphism with dense range. The mapping  $\pi$  then has a (necessarily unique) extension to a unital algebra homomorphism  $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ . We shall say that  $\tilde{\pi}$  is the unitisation of  $\pi$ . If  $\pi$  is injective, so is  $\tilde{\pi}$ .*

PROOF. If  $A$  has a unit  $e_A$ , then  $\pi(e_A) \neq 0$  is a unit in  $B$ , by density of  $\pi(A)$  in  $B$ . If  $A$  has no unit, we extend  $\pi$  to a unital algebra homomorphism  $\tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$  by requiring that unit be mapped to unit. Injectivity then is preserved because the unit in  $\tilde{B}$  is linearly independent of  $\pi(A)$ , as  $\pi(A)$  has no unit by injectivity.  $\square$

(21.3). **LEMMA**. *Let  $A, B$  be C\*-algebras. Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  be an injective \*-algebra homomorphism. For  $a \in A$ , we then have*

$$\pi(a) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow a \geq 0.$$

PROOF. We know that  $a \geq 0 \Rightarrow \pi(a) \geq 0$ , cf. (17.3). Let now  $a \in A$  with  $\pi(a) \geq 0$ . Then  $\pi(a) = |\pi(a)| = \pi(|a|)$ , cf. (19.9). Hence  $a = |a| \geq 0$  by injectivity of  $\pi$ , as was to be shown.  $\square$

(21.4). **LEMMA**. *Let  $A$  be a unital Banach \*-algebra. Let  $B$  be a Hermitian unital Banach \*-algebra. Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$  be a unital \*-algebra homomorphism. Assume that*

$$\pi(b) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow b \geq 0 \quad \text{for every } b \in A_{sa}.$$

*Then*

$$r_\sigma(\pi(a)) = r_\sigma(a) \quad \text{for every } a \in A.$$

PROOF. We have  $r_\lambda(\pi(a)) \leq r_\lambda(a)$  for all  $a \in A$  because

$$\text{sp}_B(\pi(a)) \setminus \{0\} \subset \text{sp}_A(a) \setminus \{0\},$$

cf. (4.9). Let now  $b$  be a Hermitian element of  $A$ . Then

$$r_\lambda(\pi(b)) e_B \pm \pi(b) \geq 0$$

as  $B$  is Hermitian. Our assumption then implies

$$r_\lambda(\pi(b)) e_A \pm b \geq 0,$$

whence  $r_\lambda(b) \leq r_\lambda(\pi(b))$ . Collecting inequalities, we find  $r_\lambda(\pi(b)) = r_\lambda(b)$  for every Hermitian element  $b$  of  $A$ , which is enough to prove the statement.  $\square$

(21.5). **THEOREM.** *An injective  $*$ -algebra homomorphism  $\pi$  from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  to a pre- $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  is isometric. As a consequence,  $\pi(A)$  is complete.*

PROOF. We can assume that  $B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, and that  $\pi$  has dense range and is non-zero. Then the unitisation  $\tilde{\pi}$  is well-defined and injective by proposition (21.2). The preceding two lemmata imply that  $r_\sigma(\pi(a)) = r_\sigma(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ , which is the same as  $\|\pi(a)\| = \|a\|$  for all  $a \in A$ , cf. (7.5).  $\square$

(21.6). **REMARK.** This is a strong improvement of (8.5) in so far as it allows us to conclude that  $\pi(A)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

(21.7). **THEOREM** (factorisation). *Let  $I$  be a  $*$ -stable (13.1) closed two-sided ideal in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then  $A/I$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.*

PROOF. It is clear that  $A/I$  is a Banach  $*$ -algebra, cf. the appendix (44.2). Lemma (20.3) gives the following expression for the square of the quotient norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|a + I\|^2 &= \inf_{c \in I} \|a + c\|^2 \\ &= \lim_{j \in J(I)} \|a(e - j)\|^2 \\ &= \lim_{j \in J(I)} \|(e - j)a^*a(e - j)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{j \in J(I)} \|a^*a(e - j)\| = \inf_{c \in I} \|a^*a + c\| = \|a^*a + I\|. \end{aligned}$$

The statement follows now from (2.9).  $\square$

(21.8). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  to a pre- $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $A/\ker \pi$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. The  $*$ -algebra isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} A/\ker \pi &\rightarrow \pi(A) \\ a + \ker \pi &\mapsto \pi(a) \end{aligned}$$

*is isometric, and hence the range  $\pi(A)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.*



PROOF. It follows from (8.4) that  $\ker \pi$  is closed so that  $A/\ker \pi$  is a C\*-algebra by the preceding result. The statement follows then from (21.5).  $\square$

In other words:

(21.9). **THEOREM** (factorisation of homomorphisms). *Let  $\pi$  be a \*-algebra homomorphism from a C\*-algebra  $A$  to a pre-C\*-algebra  $B$ . Then  $A/\ker \pi$  and  $\pi(A)$  are C\*-algebras as well. The \*-algebra homomorphism  $\pi$  factors to an isomorphism of C\*-algebras from  $A/\ker \pi$  to  $\pi(A)$ .*

In particular, the C\*-algebras form a subcategory of the category of \*-algebras, whose morphisms are the \*-algebra homomorphisms.



## Part 2

# Representations and Positive Linear Functionals



## CHAPTER 4

### General Properties of Representations

#### § 22. Continuity Properties of Representations

For a complex vector space  $V$ , we shall denote by  $\text{End}(V)$  the algebra of linear operators on  $V$ . Here “End” stands for endomorphism.

(22.1). **DEFINITION** (representation in a pre-Hilbert space). If  $A$  is a  $*$ -algebra, and if  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is a pre-Hilbert space, then a representation of  $A$  in  $H$  is an algebra homomorphism

$$\pi : A \rightarrow \text{End}(H)$$

such that

$$\langle \pi(a)x, y \rangle = \langle x, \pi(a^*)y \rangle$$

for all  $x, y \in H$  and for all  $a \in A$ . One defines the range of  $\pi$  as

$$R(\pi) := \pi(A) = \{\pi(a) \in \text{End}(H) : a \in A\}.$$

One says that  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is the representation space of  $\pi$ . (Recall that all the pre-Hilbert spaces in this book shall be complex pre-Hilbert spaces.)

(22.2). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be a representation of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then  $\pi(a)$  is bounded for all  $a \in A$ . It follows that  $\pi$  is a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B(H)$ .*

PROOF. Decompose  $\pi(a) = \pi(b) + i\pi(c)$ , where  $b, c \in A_{sa}$  and use the Hellinger-Toeplitz Theorem, which says that a symmetric operator, defined on all of a Hilbert space, is bounded, cf. e.g. Kreyszig [14, 10.1-1].  $\square$

We shall now be interested in a criterion that guarantees continuity of the operators  $\pi(a)$  for a representation  $\pi$  acting in a pre-Hilbert space merely: (22.5) - (22.7).

(22.3). **DEFINITION** (positive linear functionals). A linear functional  $\varphi$  on a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is called positive if  $\varphi(a^*a) \geq 0$  for all  $a \in A$ .

If  $\pi$  is a representation of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in a pre-Hilbert space  $H$ , then for all  $x \in H$ , the linear functional given by

$$a \mapsto \langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle \quad (a \in A)$$

is a positive linear functional. Indeed, for  $x \in H$  and  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\langle \pi(a^*a)x, x \rangle = \langle \pi(a)x, \pi(a)x \rangle \geq 0.$$

(22.4). **DEFINITION** (weak continuity). Let  $\pi$  be a representation of a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in a pre-Hilbert space  $H$ . We shall say that  $\pi$  is weakly continuous on a subset  $B$  of  $A$ , if for all  $x \in H$ , the positive linear functional

$$a \mapsto \langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle$$

is continuous on  $B$ . We shall say that the representation  $\pi$  is weakly continuous, if  $\pi$  is weakly continuous on all of  $A$ .

(22.5). **THEOREM.** Let  $\pi$  be a representation of a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in a pre-Hilbert space  $H$ . Assume that  $\pi$  is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ . Then the operators  $\pi(a)$  ( $a \in A$ ) all are bounded with

$$\|\pi(a)\| \leq r_\sigma(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

PROOF. Let  $a \in A$  and  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| \leq 1$  be fixed. For  $n \geq 0$ , put

$$r_n := \left( \|\pi(a)x\|^2 \right)^{(2^n)} \quad \text{and} \quad s_n := \langle \pi((a^*a)^{(2^n)})x, x \rangle.$$

We then have  $r_n \leq s_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Indeed, this obviously holds for  $n = 0$ . Let now  $n$  be any integer  $\geq 0$ . The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n|^2 &= \left| \langle \pi((a^*a)^{(2^n)})x, x \rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq \langle \pi((a^*a)^{(2^n)})x, \pi((a^*a)^{(2^n)})x \rangle \|x\|^2 \\ &\leq \langle \pi((a^*a)^{(2^{n+1})})x, x \rangle = s_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $r_n \leq s_n$ , then  $s_n = |s_n|$ , and hence

$$r_{n+1} = r_n^2 \leq s_n^2 = |s_n|^2 \leq s_{n+1}.$$

By induction it follows that  $r_n \leq s_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Let now  $c > 0$  be such that

$$|\langle \pi(b)x, x \rangle| \leq c \|b\|$$

for all  $b \in A_{sa}$ . For  $n \geq 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(a)x\| &\leq \left[ \langle \pi((a^*a)^{(2^n)})x, x \rangle^{1/(2^n)} \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq c^{1/(2^{n+1})} \cdot \left[ \left| (a^*a)^{(2^n)} \right|^{1/(2^n)} \right]^{1/2} \rightarrow r_\sigma(a). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|\pi(a)x\| \leq r_\sigma(a).$$

□

(22.6). **DEFINITION** ( $\sigma$ -contractive linear maps). A linear map  $\pi$  from a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  to a normed space shall be called  $\sigma$ -contractive if

$$|\pi(a)| \leq r_\sigma(a)$$

holds for all  $a \in A$ .

(22.7). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be a  $\sigma$ -contractive linear map from a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  to a normed space. We then have:*

- (i)  $\pi$  is contractive in the auxiliary  $*$ -norm on  $A$ .
- (ii)  $|\pi(a)| \leq r_\lambda(a) \leq |a|$  for all Hermitian  $a \in A$ .
- (iii)  $\pi$  is contractive on  $A_{sa}$ .

PROOF. (i) follows from the fact that  $r_\sigma(a) \leq \|a\|$  holds for all  $a \in A$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the auxiliary  $*$ -norm. (ii) follows from the fact that for a Hermitian element  $a$  of  $A$ , one has  $r_\sigma(a) = r_\lambda(a)$ , cf. (7.2).  $\square$

(22.8). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is a normed  $*$ -algebra with isometric involution, then every  $\sigma$ -contractive linear map from  $A$  to a normed space is contractive.*

(22.9). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is a commutative  $*$ -subalgebra of a Banach  $*$ -algebra, then every  $\sigma$ -contractive linear map from  $A$  to a normed space is contractive.*

PROOF. This follows from the fact that in a Banach  $*$ -algebra, one has  $r_\sigma(b) \leq r_\lambda(b)$  for all normal elements  $b$ , cf. (7.3).  $\square$

(22.10). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A$  be a normed  $*$ -algebra. For the following statements, we have*

$$(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (v) \Rightarrow (vi).$$

- (i) the involution in  $A$  is continuous,
- (ii) the involution maps sequences converging to 0 to bounded sequences,
- (iii) the map  $a \mapsto a^*a$  is continuous at 0,
- (iv) the function  $r_\sigma$  is continuous at 0,
- (v) the function  $r_\sigma$  is bounded in a neighbourhood of 0,
- (vi) every  $\sigma$ -contractive linear map from  $A$  to a normed space is continuous.

Now for slightly stronger assumptions: weakly continuous representations in Hilbert spaces.

(22.11). **THEOREM.** *Let  $H, H_o$  be normed spaces, and let  $C$  be a subset of the normed space  $B(H, H_o)$  of bounded linear operators  $H \rightarrow H_o$ . If  $H$  is complete, then  $C$  is bounded in  $B(H, H_o)$  if and only if*

$$\sup_{T \in C} |\ell(Tx)| < \infty$$

*for every  $x$  in  $H$  and every continuous linear functional  $\ell$  on  $H_o$ .*

PROOF. The uniform boundedness principle is applied twice. At the first time, one imbeds  $H_o$  isometrically into its second dual.  $\square$

(22.12). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be a weakly continuous representation of a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then  $\pi$  is continuous. Furthermore, for a normal element  $b$  of  $A$ , we have*

$$\|\pi(b)\| \leq r_\lambda(b) \leq |b|.$$

*It follows that if  $A$  is commutative, then  $\pi$  is contractive.*

PROOF. The linear functionals  $A \ni a \mapsto \langle \pi(a)x, y \rangle$  ( $x, y \in H$ ) are continuous by polarisation. One then applies the preceding theorem with  $C := \{\pi(a) \in B(H) : |a| \leq 1\}$  to the effect that  $\pi$  is continuous. The remaining statements follow from (8.10).  $\square$

Next the case of Banach  $*$ -algebras.

(22.13). **LEMMA.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a positive linear functional on a unital Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$ . For  $a \in A_{sa}$ , we then have*

$$|\varphi(a)| \leq \varphi(e) r_\lambda(a) \leq \varphi(e) |a|.$$

*In particular,  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $A_{sa}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $b = b^*$  be a Hermitian element of  $A$  with  $r_\lambda(b) < 1$ . There exists by Ford's Square Root Lemma (9.4) a Hermitian square root  $c$  of  $e - b$ . One thus has  $c^*c = c^2 = e - b$ , and it follows that

$$0 \leq \varphi(c^*c) = \varphi(e - b),$$

whence  $\varphi(b)$  is real (because  $\varphi(e) = \varphi(e^*e)$  is), and

$$\varphi(b) \leq \varphi(e).$$

Upon replacing  $b$  with  $-b$ , we obtain

$$|\varphi(b)| \leq \varphi(e).$$

Let now  $a = a^*$  be an arbitrary Hermitian element of  $A$ . Let  $\gamma > r_\lambda(a)$ . From the above it follows

$$|\varphi(\gamma^{-1}a)| \leq \varphi(e),$$

whence

$$|\varphi(a)| \leq \varphi(e) \gamma,$$



which implies

$$|\varphi(a)| \leq \varphi(e) r_\lambda(a). \quad \square$$

(22.14). **THEOREM.** *A representation of a Banach \*-algebra in a pre-Hilbert space is continuous.*

PROOF. Let  $\pi$  be a representation of a Banach \*-algebra  $A$  in a pre-Hilbert space  $H$ . Extend  $\pi$  linearly to a representation  $\tilde{\pi}$  of  $\tilde{A}$  in  $H$  by requiring that  $\tilde{\pi}(e) = \mathbb{1}$  if  $A$  has no unit. By the preceding lemma, the positive linear functionals

$$a \rightarrow \langle \tilde{\pi}(a)x, x \rangle$$

are continuous on  $\tilde{A}_{sa}$  for all  $x \in H$ . This implies that  $\pi$  is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ . It follows by (22.5) that the operators  $\pi(a)$  are bounded on  $H$ . We obtain that  $R(\pi)$  is a pre-C\*-algebra, so that  $\pi$  is continuous by theorem (8.8).  $\square$

(22.15). **COROLLARY.** *A representation of a commutative Banach \*-algebra in a pre-Hilbert space is contractive.*

PROOF. This follows now from (22.12).  $\square$

(22.16). **COROLLARY.** *A representation of a Banach \*-algebra with isometric involution in a pre-Hilbert space is contractive.*

PROOF. This follows now from (22.5) and (22.8).  $\square$

In particular, we have:

(22.17). **COROLLARY.** *A representation of a C\*-algebra in a pre-Hilbert space is contractive.*

(22.18). **DEFINITION** (faithful representation). A representation of a \*-algebra in a pre-Hilbert space is called faithful if it is injective.

(22.19). **THEOREM.** *A faithful representation of a C\*-algebra in a Hilbert space is isometric.*

PROOF. (21.5).  $\square$

(22.20). **THEOREM.** *If  $\pi$  is a representation of a C\*-algebra  $A$  in a Hilbert space, then  $A/\ker \pi$  and  $R(\pi)$  are C\*-algebras as well. The representation  $\pi$  factors to a C\*-algebra isomorphism from  $A/\ker \pi$  onto  $R(\pi)$ .*

PROOF. (21.9).  $\square$

For further continuity results, see (25.12) - (25.16).

(22.21). **REMARK** (concerning (22.10)). Theodore W. Palmer shows in the second volume of his work [29, 11.1.4] that in a Banach  $*$ -algebra, the function  $r_\sigma$  is continuous at 0. This however requires methods above the scope of this book, and we prove the result only for Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebras, cf. (29.8).

(22.22). **REMARK.** For most purposes of representation theory, the condition of isometry of the involution can be weakened to requiring that  $|a^*a| \leq |a|^2$  holds for all elements.

### § 23. Cyclic and Non-Degenerate Representations

In this paragraph, let  $\pi$  be a representation of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ .

(23.1). **DEFINITION** (invariant subspaces). A subspace  $M$  of  $H$  is called invariant under  $\pi$ , if  $\pi(a)x \in M$  for every  $x \in M$ , and every  $a \in A$ .

(23.2). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $M$  is a subspace of  $H$  invariant under  $\pi$ , so is  $M^\perp$ .*

PROOF. Let  $M$  be a subspace of  $H$  invariant under  $\pi$ . Let  $y \in M^\perp$ , i.e.  $\langle y, x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . For  $a \in A$  we then have

$$\langle \pi(a)y, x \rangle = \langle y, \pi(a^*)x \rangle = 0$$

for all  $x \in M$ , so that also  $\pi(a)y \in M^\perp$ . □

This is why invariant subspaces are also called reducing subspaces.

(23.3). **DEFINITION** (subrepresentations). If  $M$  is a closed subspace of  $H$  invariant under  $\pi$ , let  $\pi_M$  denote the representation of  $A$  in  $M$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_M : A &\rightarrow B(M) \\ a &\mapsto \pi(a)|_M. \end{aligned}$$

The representation  $\pi_M$  is called a subrepresentation of  $\pi$ .

(23.4). **DEFINITION** (commutants). One denotes by

$$\pi' := \{ c \in B(H) : c\pi(a) = \pi(a)c \text{ for all } a \in A \}$$

the commutant of  $\pi$ . Similarly, let  $S \subset B(H)$ . The set of all operators in  $B(H)$  which commute with every operator in  $S$  is called the commutant of  $S$  and is denoted by  $S'$ . (Cf. § 13.)

(23.5). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $M$  be a closed subspace of  $H$  and let  $p$  be the projection on  $M$ . Then  $M$  is invariant under  $\pi$  if and only if  $p \in \pi'$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $p \in \pi'$ . For  $x \in M$  and  $a \in A$ , we then have  $\pi(a)x = \pi(a)px = p\pi(a)x \in M$ , so that  $M$  is invariant under  $\pi$ . Conversely, assume that  $M$  is invariant under  $\pi$ . For  $x \in H$  and  $a \in A$ , we get  $\pi(a)px \in M$ , whence  $p\pi(a)px = \pi(a)px$ , so that  $p\pi(a)p = \pi(a)p$  for all  $a \in A$ . By applying the involution in  $B(H)$ , and replacing  $a$  by  $a^*$ , we obtain that also  $p\pi(a)p = p\pi(a)$ , whence  $p\pi(a) = \pi(a)p$ , i.e.  $p \in \pi'$ . □

(23.6). **DEFINITION** (non-degenerate representations). The representation  $\pi$  is called non-degenerate if the closed invariant subspace

$$N := \{ x \in H : \pi(a)x = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A \}$$

is  $\{0\}$ . Consider the closed invariant subspace

$$R := \overline{\text{span}} \{ \pi(a)x \in H : a \in A, x \in H \}.$$

We have  $R^\perp = N$ , so that  $\pi$  is non-degenerate if and only if  $R$  is all of  $H$ . Also  $H = R \oplus N$ , or  $\pi = \pi_R \oplus \pi_N$ . This says that  $\pi$  is the direct sum of a non-degenerate representation and a null representation. In particular, by passing to the subrepresentation  $\pi_R$ , we can always assume that  $\pi$  is non-degenerate.

PROOF. It suffices to prove that  $R^\perp = N$ . Let  $a \in A$  and  $x \in H$ . For  $y \in N$ , we find

$$\langle \pi(a)x, y \rangle = \langle x, \pi(a^*)y \rangle = 0,$$

so  $N \subset R^\perp$ . On the other hand, for  $y \in R^\perp$ , we find

$$\langle \pi(a)y, x \rangle = \langle y, \pi(a^*)x \rangle = 0,$$

which shows that  $\pi(a)y \perp H$ , or  $y \in N$ , so  $R^\perp \subset N$ .  $\square$

(23.7). **DEFINITION** (cyclic representations). One says that  $\pi$  is cyclic if  $H$  contains a non-zero vector  $x$  such that

$$\{ \pi(a)x \in H : a \in A \}$$

is dense in  $H$ . The vector  $x$  then is called a cyclic vector. In particular, a cyclic representation is non-degenerate.

Of great importance is the following observation.

(23.8). **LEMMA** (cyclic subspaces). *Assume that  $\pi$  is non-degenerate, and that  $c$  is a non-zero vector in  $H$ . Consider the closed invariant subspace*

$$M := \overline{\pi(A)c}.$$

*Then  $c$  belongs to  $M$ . In particular,  $\pi_M$  is cyclic with cyclic vector  $c$ . One says that  $M$  is a cyclic subspace of  $H$ .*

PROOF. Let  $p$  denote the projection upon  $M$ . We then have  $p \in \pi'$ . Thus, for all  $a \in A$ , we get

$$\pi(a)(1 - p)c = (1 - p)\pi(a)c = 0,$$

which implies that  $(1 - p)c = 0$  as  $\pi$  is non-degenerate.  $\square$

(23.9). **DEFINITION** (direct sum of Hilbert spaces). Let  $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of Hilbert spaces. The direct sum  $\oplus_{i \in I} H_i$  consists of all families  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  such that  $x_i \in H_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) and  $\{\|x_i\|\}_{i \in I} \in \ell^2(I)$ . The element  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  then is denoted by  $\oplus_{i \in I} x_i$ . The direct sum  $\oplus_{i \in I} H_i$  is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \oplus_{i \in I} x_i, \oplus_{i \in I} y_i \rangle := \sum_{i \in I} \langle x_i, y_i \rangle \quad (\oplus_{i \in I} x_i, \oplus_{i \in I} y_i \in \oplus_{i \in I} H_i).$$

PROOF. Let  $\oplus_{i \in I} x_i, \oplus_{i \in I} y_i \in \oplus_{i \in I} H_i$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{i \in I} \|x_i + y_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} &\leq \left( \sum_{i \in I} (\|x_i\| + \|y_i\|)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i \in I} \|x_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left( \sum_{i \in I} \|y_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\oplus_{i \in I} H_i$  is a vector space. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} |\langle x_i, y_i \rangle| &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \|x_i\| \cdot \|y_i\| \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i \in I} \|x_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{i \in I} \|y_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\oplus_{i \in I} H_i$  is a pre-Hilbert space. Let  $(\oplus_{i \in I} x_{i,n})_n$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\oplus_{i \in I} H_i$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. There then is an  $n_0 \geq 0$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{i \in I} \|x_{i,m} - x_{i,n}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } m, n \geq n_0.$$

From this we conclude that for each  $i \in I$ , the sequence  $(x_{i,n})_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H_i$ , and therefore converges to an element  $x_i$  of  $H_i$ . With  $F$  a finite subset of  $I$ , we have

$$\left( \sum_{i \in F} \|x_{i,m} - x_{i,n}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } m, n \geq n_0,$$

so that by letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\left( \sum_{i \in F} \|x_i - x_{i,n}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0,$$

and since  $F$  is an arbitrary finite subset of  $I$ , it follows

$$\left( \sum_{i \in I} \|x_i - x_{i,n}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

This not only shows that  $\oplus_{i \in I} (x_i - x_{i,n})$ , and hence  $\oplus_{i \in I} x_i$ , belong to  $\oplus_{i \in I} H_i$ , but also that  $(\oplus_{i \in I} x_{i,n})_n$  converges in  $\oplus_{i \in I} H_i$  to  $\oplus_{i \in I} x_i$ , so that  $\oplus_{i \in I} H_i$  is complete.  $\square$

(23.10). **DEFINITION** (direct sum of operators). Consider a family of bounded linear operators  $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$  in Hilbert spaces  $H_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) respectively. Assume that

$$\sup_{i \in I} \|b_i\| < \infty.$$

One then defines

$$(\oplus_{i \in I} b_i)(\oplus_{i \in I} x_i) := \oplus_{i \in I} (b_i x_i) \quad (\oplus_{i \in I} x_i \in \oplus_{i \in I} H_i).$$

Then  $\oplus_{i \in I} b_i$  is a bounded linear operator in  $\oplus_{i \in I} H_i$  with norm

$$\|\oplus_{i \in I} b_i\| = \sup_{i \in I} \|b_i\|.$$

PROOF. With

$$c := \sup_{i \in I} \|b_i\|,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\oplus_{i \in I} b_i)(\oplus_{i \in I} x_i)\|^2 &= \sum_{i \in I} \|b_i x_i\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \|b_i\|^2 \cdot \|x_i\|^2 \\ &\leq c^2 \cdot \sum_{i \in I} \|x_i\|^2 = c^2 \cdot \|\oplus_{i \in I} x_i\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\|\oplus_{i \in I} b_i\| \leq c$ . The converse inequality is obvious.  $\square$

(23.11). **COROLLARY** (direct sum of representations). Consider a family  $\{\pi_i\}_{i \in I}$  of representations of  $A$  in Hilbert spaces  $H_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) respectively. If  $\{\|\pi_i(a)\|\}_{i \in I}$  is bounded for each  $a \in A$ , we may define the direct sum

$$\oplus_{i \in I} \pi_i : a \mapsto \oplus_{i \in I} \pi_i(a) \quad (a \in A).$$

Hence the direct sum of a family of  $\sigma$ -contractive representations of a normed  $*$ -algebra is well-defined and  $\sigma$ -contractive.

(23.12). **REMARK.** If the family  $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a family of pairwise orthogonal subspaces of a Hilbert space  $H$ , we imbed the direct sum  $\oplus_{i \in I} H_i$  in  $H$ .

It is easily seen that a direct sum of non-degenerate representations is non-degenerate. In the converse direction we have:

(23.13). **THEOREM.** If  $\pi$  is non-degenerate and if  $H \neq \{0\}$ , then  $\pi$  is the direct sum of cyclic subrepresentations.

PROOF. Let  $Z$  denote the set of sets  $F$  which consist of mutually orthogonal, cyclic (23.8) closed invariant subspaces  $M$  of  $H$ . Then  $\pi_M$  is cyclic for each  $M \in F$ . The set  $Z$  is not empty since for  $0 \neq c \in H$ , we may consider  $M_c := \overline{\pi(A)c}$ , so that  $F := \{M_c\} \in Z$  by (23.8). Furthermore,  $Z$  is inductively ordered by inclusion. Thus, Zorn's Lemma guarantees the existence of a maximal set  $F$ . Consider now  $H_1 := \bigoplus_{M \in F} M$ . We claim that  $H_1 = H$ . Indeed, if  $0 \neq c \in H_1^\perp$ , then  $M_c := \overline{\pi(A)c}$  is a cyclic closed invariant subspace of  $H$  by (23.8), and  $F \cup \{M_c\}$  would be a set in  $Z$  strictly larger than the maximal set  $F$ . It follows that  $\pi = \bigoplus_{M \in F} \pi_M$ .  $\square$

Our next aim is lemma (23.17), which needs some preparation.

(23.14). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $I$  be an isometric linear operator defined on a dense subspace of a Hilbert space  $H_1$ , with dense range in a Hilbert space  $H_2$ . Then the closure  $U$  of  $I$  is a unitary operator from  $H_1$  onto  $H_2$ .*

PROOF. The range of  $U$  is a complete, hence closed subspace of  $H_2$ , containing the dense range of  $I$ .  $\square$

(23.15). **DEFINITION** (spatial equivalence). Let  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  be two representations of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in Hilbert spaces  $H_1, H_2$  respectively. The representations  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are called spatially equivalent if there exists a unitary operator  $U$  from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$  such that

$$U\pi_1(a) = \pi_2(a)U \quad (a \in A).$$

(23.16). **DEFINITION** (intertwining operators,  $C(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ ). Let  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  be two representations of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in Hilbert spaces  $H_1, H_2$  respectively. One says that a bounded linear operator  $b$  from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$  intertwines  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ , if

$$b\pi_1(a) = \pi_2(a)b \quad (a \in A).$$

The set of all bounded linear operators intertwining  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  is denoted by  $C(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ . Please note that  $\pi' = C(\pi, \pi)$ , cf. (23.4).

The following result is almost miraculous.

(23.17). **LEMMA.** *Let  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  be cyclic representations of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in Hilbert spaces  $H_1, H_2$  with cyclic vectors  $c_1, c_2$ . For  $a \in A$ , let*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(a) &:= \langle \pi_1(a)c_1, c_1 \rangle, \\ \varphi_2(a) &:= \langle \pi_2(a)c_2, c_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

*If  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ , then  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are spatially equivalent. Furthermore, there then exists a unitary operator in  $C(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  taking  $c_1$  to  $c_2$ .*

PROOF. One defines an operator  $I$  by putting

$$Iz := \pi_2(a)c_2 \text{ when } z = \pi_1(a)c_1.$$

It shall be shown that  $I$  is well-defined and isometric. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Iz, Iz \rangle &= \langle \pi_2(a)c_2, \pi_2(a)c_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi_2(a^*a)c_2, c_2 \rangle \\ &= \varphi_2(a^*a) = \varphi_1(a^*a) \\ &= \langle \pi_1(a^*a)c_1, c_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi_1(a)c_1, \pi_1(a)c_1 \rangle = \langle z, z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $I$  is well-defined because if for  $a, b \in A$  one has  $\pi_1(a)c_1 = \pi_1(b)c_1$ , then  $\pi_1(a-b)c_1 = 0$ , whence also  $\pi_2(a-b)c_2 = 0$ , i.e.  $\pi_2(a)c_2 = \pi_2(b)c_2$ . Furthermore  $I$  is densely defined in  $H_1$  with range dense in  $H_2$ . It follows that the closure  $U$  of  $I$  is a unitary operator from  $H_1$  onto  $H_2$ , cf. (23.14). It shall be shown that this operator  $U$  intertwines  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ . Indeed, if  $z = \pi_1(a)c_1$ , we have for all  $b \in A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2(b)Iz &= \pi_2(b)\pi_2(a)c_2 \\ &= \pi_2(ba)c_2 \\ &= I\pi_1(ba)c_1 \\ &= I\pi_1(b)\pi_1(a)c_1 = I\pi_1(b)z. \end{aligned}$$

By continuity of  $U$  and  $\pi(b)$  (22.2), it follows that

$$\pi_2(b)U = U\pi_1(b) \quad (b \in A),$$

so that  $U$  intertwines  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ . It remains to be shown that  $Uc_1 = c_2$ . For  $a \in A$ , we have

$$U\pi_1(a)c_1 = \pi_2(a)c_2,$$

whence

$$\pi_2(a)Uc_1 = \pi_2(a)c_2.$$

Since  $\pi_2$  is cyclic, and thereby non-degenerate, it follows that  $Uc_1 = c_2$ .  $\square$



## CHAPTER 5

### States

#### § 24. The GNS Construction

(24.1). **INTRODUCTION.** If  $\pi$  is a representation of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ , then for  $x \in H$ , the positive linear functional

$$a \mapsto \langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle \quad (a \in A)$$

is called a coefficient of  $\pi$ . (In analogy with matrix coefficients.)

In this paragraph as well as in the next one, we shall be occupied with inverting this relationship.

That is, given a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  and a positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $A$  satisfying certain conditions, we shall construct a representation  $\pi_\varphi$  of  $A$  in such a way that  $\varphi$  is a coefficient of  $\pi_\varphi$ . The present paragraph serves as a rough foundation for the ensuing one which puts the results into sweeter words.

(24.2). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $\varphi$  is a positive linear functional on a  $*$ -algebra  $A$ , then*

$$\langle a, b \rangle_\varphi := \varphi(b^*a) \quad (a, b \in A)$$

*defines a positive Hilbert form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$  on  $A$  in the sense of the following definition.*

(24.3). **DEFINITION** (positive Hilbert forms [34, p. 349]). A positive Hilbert form on a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is a positive semidefinite sesquilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $A$  such that

$$\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle b, a^*c \rangle \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in A.$$

Please note that then also

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a, b \rangle &= \overline{\langle b, a \rangle}, & (\text{the sesquilinear form is Hermitian}) \\ |\langle a, b \rangle|^2 &\leq \langle a, a \rangle \cdot \langle b, b \rangle. & (\text{Cauchy-Schwarz inequality}) \end{aligned}$$

(24.4). **DEFINITION.** Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be a positive Hilbert form on a  $*$ -algebra  $A$ . Denote by  $I$  the isotropic subspace of the inner product space  $(A, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , that is  $I = \{ b \in A : \langle b, c \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } c \in A \}$ . The isotropic subspace  $I$  is a left ideal in  $A$  because  $\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle b, a^*c \rangle$ . Denote by  $\underline{A}$  the quotient space  $A/I$ . For  $a \in A$ , let  $\underline{a} := a + I \in \underline{A}$ . In  $\underline{A}$  one defines an inner product by

$$\langle \underline{a}, \underline{b} \rangle := \langle a, b \rangle \quad (a, b \in A).$$

In this way  $\underline{A}$  becomes a pre-Hilbert space (as  $I = \{ b \in A : \langle b, b \rangle = 0 \}$  by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality).

(24.5). **DEFINITION.** Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be a positive Hilbert form on a  $*$ -algebra  $A$ . For  $a \in A$ , the translation operator

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(a) : \underline{A} &\rightarrow \underline{A} \\ \underline{b} &\mapsto \pi(a)\underline{b} := \underline{ab} \end{aligned}$$

is well defined because  $I$  is a left ideal. One thus obtains a representation  $\pi$  of  $A$  in the pre-Hilbert space  $\underline{A}$ . It is called the representation associated with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . If all of the operators  $\pi(a)$  ( $a \in A$ ) are bounded, then by continuation we get a representation of  $A$  in the completion of  $\underline{A}$ . This latter representation is called the GNS representation associated with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

(24.6). **DEFINITION.** Assume that the Hilbert form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  derives from a positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $A$ , as in (24.2). One denotes by  $H_\varphi$  the completion of  $\underline{A}$ . One says that the representation associated with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$  is the representation associated with  $\varphi$ . If the operators  $\pi(a)$  ( $a \in A$ ) are bounded, one denotes by  $\pi_\varphi$  the GNS representation associated with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$ . It is then called the GNS representation associated with  $\varphi$ .

The acronym GNS stands for Gelfand, Naïmark and Segal, the originators of the theory.

(24.7). **DEFINITION** (weak continuity on  $A_{sa}$ ). A positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  shall be called weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$  if for each  $b \in A$ , the positive linear functional  $a \mapsto \varphi(b^*ab)$  is continuous on  $A_{sa}$ .

(24.8). **THEOREM.** Let  $\varphi$  be a positive linear functional on a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then  $\varphi$  is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$  if and only if the representation associated with  $\varphi$  is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ . In this case, the GNS representation  $\pi_\varphi$  exists and is a  $\sigma$ -contractive representation of  $A$  in  $H_\varphi$ . Cf. (22.5), (22.6).

PROOF. For  $a, b \in A$  we have  $\varphi(b^*ab) = \langle \pi(a)\underline{b}, \underline{b} \rangle_\varphi$ . □

(24.9). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach  $*$ -algebra. Then every positive linear functional on  $A$  is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ .*

PROOF. This follows now from theorem (22.14).  $\square$

(24.10). **DEFINITION** (variation [36, p. 307]). A positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to have finite variation if

$$|\varphi(a)|^2 \leq v \varphi(a^*a) \quad (a \in A)$$

for some  $v \geq 0$ . If this is the case, we shall say that

$$v(\varphi) := \inf \{ v \geq 0 : |\varphi(a)|^2 \leq v \varphi(a^*a) \text{ for all } a \in A \}$$

is the variation of  $\varphi$ . We then also have

$$|\varphi(a)|^2 \leq v(\varphi) \varphi(a^*a) \quad (a \in A).$$

(24.11). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\pi$  be a representation of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in a pre-Hilbert space  $H$ . For  $x \in H$ , consider the positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $A$  defined by*

$$\varphi(a) := \langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle \quad (a \in A).$$

*Then  $\varphi$  is Hermitian and has finite variation  $v(\varphi) \leq \langle x, x \rangle$ .*

PROOF. For  $a \in A$ , we have

$$|\varphi(a)|^2 = |\langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle|^2 \leq \|\pi(a)x\|^2 \|x\|^2 = \varphi(a^*a) \langle x, x \rangle,$$

so that  $v(\varphi) \leq \langle x, x \rangle$ . Finally  $\varphi$  is Hermitian (14.18), because for  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\varphi(a^*) = \langle \pi(a^*)x, x \rangle = \langle x, \pi(a)x \rangle = \overline{\langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle} = \overline{\varphi(a)}. \quad \square$$

(24.12). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ . For  $x \in H$ , consider the positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $A$  defined by*

$$\varphi(a) := \langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle \quad (a \in A).$$

*The variation of  $\varphi$  then is*

$$v(\varphi) = \langle x, x \rangle.$$

PROOF. The preceding result shows that  $v(\varphi) \leq \langle x, x \rangle$ . In order to prove the opposite inequality, consider the closed subspace  $M := \overline{\pi(A)x}$  of  $H$ . Then  $x \in M$  because  $\pi$  is non-degenerate, cf. (23.8). There thus exists a sequence  $(a_n)$  in  $A$  such that

$$x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(a_n)x.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left| \varphi(a_n) \right|^2 - \|x\|^2 \cdot \varphi(a_n^* a_n) \right| \\ &= \left| \left| \langle \pi(a_n)x, x \rangle \right|^2 - \|x\|^2 \cdot \|\pi(a_n)x\|^2 \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left| \langle \pi(a_n)x, x \rangle \right|^2 - \|x\|^4 \right| + \|x\|^2 \cdot \left| \|\pi(a_n)x\|^2 - \|x\|^2 \right| \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left| \varphi(a_n) \right|^2 - \|x\|^2 \cdot \varphi(a_n^* a_n) \right| = 0,$$

by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \pi(a_n)x, x \rangle = \|x\|^2,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\pi(a_n)x\| = \|x\|. \quad \square$$

(24.13). **DEFINITION** (reproducing vector). Let  $\varphi$  be a positive linear functional on a  $*$ -algebra  $A$ . A reproducing vector for  $\varphi$  is a vector  $c_\varphi$  in  $H_\varphi$  such that

$$\varphi(a) = \langle \underline{a}, c_\varphi \rangle_\varphi \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

It is then uniquely determined by  $\varphi$ .

(24.14). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a positive linear functional on a unital  $*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then  $\underline{e}$  is the reproducing vector for  $\varphi$ .*

PROOF. For  $a \in A$  we have

$$\varphi(a) = \varphi(e^* a) = \langle \underline{a}, \underline{e} \rangle_\varphi. \quad \square$$

(24.15). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a positive linear functional on a  $*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then  $\varphi$  has a reproducing vector if and only if  $\varphi$  has finite variation. In the affirmative case, we have*

$$v(\varphi) = \langle c_\varphi, c_\varphi \rangle_\varphi.$$

PROOF. If  $\varphi$  has a reproducing vector  $c_\varphi$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that

$$\left| \varphi(a) \right|^2 = \left| \langle \underline{a}, c_\varphi \rangle_\varphi \right|^2 \leq \|\underline{a}\|^2 \cdot \|c_\varphi\|^2 = \varphi(a^* a) \cdot \|c_\varphi\|^2,$$

which shows that

$$v(\varphi) \leq \|c_\varphi\|^2. \quad (*)$$

Conversely assume that  $\varphi$  has finite variation. For  $a \in A$  we obtain

$$\left| \varphi(a) \right| \leq v(\varphi)^{1/2} \cdot \varphi(a^* a)^{1/2} = v(\varphi)^{1/2} \cdot \|\underline{a}\|.$$

Thus  $\varphi$  vanishes on the isotropic subspace of  $(A, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi)$ , and so  $\varphi$  may be considered as a bounded linear functional of norm  $\leq v(\varphi)^{1/2}$  on the pre-Hilbert space  $\underline{A}$ . Hence there exists a vector  $c_\varphi$  with

$$\|c_\varphi\| \leq v(\varphi)^{1/2} \quad (**)$$

in  $H_\varphi$  such that

$$\varphi(a) = \langle \underline{a}, c_\varphi \rangle_\varphi$$

for all  $a \in A$ . We have

$$v(\varphi) = \langle c_\varphi, c_\varphi \rangle_\varphi$$

by the inequalities (\*) and (\*\*).  $\square$

(24.16). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a positive linear functional of finite variation on a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$ , which is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ . Let  $c_\varphi$  be the reproducing vector of  $\varphi$ . We then have*

$$\pi_\varphi(a)c_\varphi = \underline{a} \quad (a \in A).$$

*In particular  $\pi_\varphi$  is cyclic with cyclic vector  $c_\varphi$  (if  $\varphi \neq 0$ ), and*

$$\varphi(a) = \langle \pi_\varphi(a)c_\varphi, c_\varphi \rangle_\varphi \quad (a \in A).$$

PROOF. For  $a, b \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \underline{b}, \pi_\varphi(a)c_\varphi \rangle_\varphi &= \langle \pi_\varphi(a^*)\underline{b}, c_\varphi \rangle_\varphi \\ &= \langle \underline{a^*b}, c_\varphi \rangle_\varphi = \varphi(a^*b) = \langle \underline{b}, \underline{a} \rangle_\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\pi_\varphi(a)c_\varphi = \underline{a}$  for all  $a \in A$ . One then inserts this into the defining relation of a reproducing vector.  $\square$

(24.17). **COROLLARY.** *Every positive linear functional of finite variation on a Banach  $*$ -algebra is continuous.*

PROOF. Let  $\varphi$  be a positive linear functional of finite variation on a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then  $\varphi$  is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$  by (24.9). Hence the GNS representation  $\pi_\varphi$  exists, cf. (24.8), and by (24.16) we have

$$\varphi(a) = \langle \pi_\varphi(a)c_\varphi, c_\varphi \rangle_\varphi.$$

for all  $a \in A$ . The continuity of  $\pi_\varphi$ , cf. (22.14), now shows that  $\varphi$  is continuous.  $\square$

(24.18). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a normed  $*$ -algebra. The set of positive linear functionals of finite variation on  $A$  which are weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ , is a convex cone, and the variation  $v$  is additive and  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -homogeneous on this convex cone.*

PROOF. It is easily seen that  $v$  is  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -homogeneous. Let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be two positive linear functionals of finite variation on  $A$ , which are weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ . The sum  $\varphi$  then also is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ . Let  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  be the GNS representations associated with  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ . Consider the direct sum  $\pi := \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$ . It is a non-degenerate representation. Let  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  be the reproducing vectors of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  respectively. For the vector  $c := c_1 \oplus c_2$ , one finds

$$\langle \pi(a)c, c \rangle_\varphi = \langle \pi_1(a)c_1, c_1 \rangle_{\varphi_1} + \langle \pi_2(a)c_2, c_2 \rangle_{\varphi_2} = \varphi_1(a) + \varphi_2(a) = \varphi(a).$$

Therefore, according to (24.12), the variation of  $\varphi$  is

$$v(\varphi) = \langle c, c \rangle_\varphi = \langle c_1, c_1 \rangle_{\varphi_1} + \langle c_2, c_2 \rangle_{\varphi_2} = v(\varphi_1) + v(\varphi_2). \quad \square$$

### § 25. States on Normed \*-Algebras

In this paragraph, let  $A$  be a normed \*-algebra.

(25.1). **DEFINITION** (states,  $S(A)$ ). A positive linear functional  $\psi$  on  $A$  shall be called a state if it is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ , and has finite variation  $v(\psi) = 1$ . The set of states on  $A$  is denoted by  $\underline{S(A)}$ .

(25.2). **DEFINITION** (quasi-states,  $QS(A)$ ). A quasi-state on  $A$  shall be a positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $A$  of finite variation  $v(\varphi) \leq 1$ , which is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ . The set of quasi-states on  $A$  is denoted by  $\underline{QS(A)}$ .

(25.3). **THEOREM.** *The sets  $S(A)$  and  $QS(A)$  are convex.*

PROOF. (24.18). □

(25.4). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate  $\sigma$ -contractive representation of  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ . If  $x$  is a unit vector in  $H$ , then*

$$\psi(a) := \langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle \quad (a \in A)$$

*defines a state on  $A$ .*

PROOF. (22.7), (24.12). □

(25.5). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\psi$  be a state on  $A$ . The GNS representation  $\pi_\psi$  then is a  $\sigma$ -contractive cyclic representation in the Hilbert space  $H_\psi$ . The reproducing vector  $c_\psi$  is a cyclic unit vector for  $\pi_\psi$ . We have*

$$\psi(a) = \langle \pi_\psi(a)c_\psi, c_\psi \rangle_\psi \quad (a \in A).$$

PROOF. (24.8), (24.15) and (24.16). □

(25.6). **COROLLARY.** *A quasi-state  $\varphi$  on  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -contractive and Hermitian. In the auxiliary \*-norm we have  $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$ . In particular,  $\varphi$  is contractive on  $A_{sa}$ .*

PROOF. This follows now from (24.11) and (22.7). □

(25.7). **DEFINITION.** We imbed the set  $QS(A)$  of quasi-states on  $A$  in the unit ball of the dual space of the real normed space  $A_{sa}$ . The space  $QS(A)$  is compact in the weak\* topology. (By Alaoglu's Theorem, cf. the appendix (45.4).)

(25.8). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be a cyclic  $\sigma$ -contractive representation of  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ . There then exists a state  $\psi$  on  $A$  such that  $\pi$  is spatially equivalent to  $\pi_\psi$ . Indeed, each cyclic unit vector  $c$  of  $\pi$  gives rise to such a state  $\psi$  by putting*

$$\psi(a) := \langle \pi(a)c, c \rangle \quad (a \in A).$$

*There then exists a unitary operator intertwining  $\pi$  and  $\pi_\psi$  taking  $c$  to the reproducing vector  $c_\psi$ .*

PROOF. This follows from (23.17) because

$$\langle \pi(a)c, c \rangle = \psi(a) = \langle \pi_\psi(a)c_\psi, c_\psi \rangle_\psi. \quad \square$$

(25.9). **THEOREM.** *The set of states on  $A$  parametrises the class of cyclic  $\sigma$ -contractive representations of  $A$  in Hilbert spaces up to spatial equivalence. More precisely, if  $\psi$  is a state on  $A$ , then  $\pi_\psi$  is a  $\sigma$ -contractive cyclic representation of  $A$ . Conversely, if  $\pi$  is a  $\sigma$ -contractive cyclic representation of  $A$  in a Hilbert space, there exists a state  $\psi$  on  $A$  such that  $\pi$  is spatially equivalent to  $\pi_\psi$ .*

Also of importance is the following uniqueness theorem. (Indeed there is another way to define the GNS representation associated with a state.)

(25.10). **THEOREM.** *The GNS representation  $\pi_\psi$ , associated with a state  $\psi$  on  $A$ , is determined up to spatial equivalence by the condition*

$$\psi(a) = \langle \pi_\psi(a)c_\psi, c_\psi \rangle_\psi \quad (a \in A),$$

*in the following sense. If  $\pi$  is another cyclic representation of  $A$  in a Hilbert space, with cyclic vector  $c$ , such that*

$$\psi(a) = \langle \pi(a)c, c \rangle \quad (a \in A),$$

*then  $\pi$  is spatially equivalent to  $\pi_\psi$ , and there exists a unitary operator intertwining  $\pi$  and  $\pi_\psi$ , taking  $c$  to  $c_\psi$ .*

PROOF. (23.17).  $\square$

(25.11). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $\psi$  is a state on  $A$ , then*

$$\psi(a) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in A_+.$$

PROOF. For  $a \in A_+$ , we have  $\pi_\psi(a) \in B(H)_+$ , cf. (17.3), which then has a Hermitian square root  $b$  by (19.5), so

$$\psi(a) = \langle \pi_\psi(a)c_\psi, c_\psi \rangle_\psi = \langle bc_\psi, bc_\psi \rangle_\psi \geq 0. \quad \square$$



The remaining items (25.12) - (25.16) give a sort of justification for our terminology “state” for important classes of normed \*-algebras.

(25.12). **DEFINITION** (normed \*-algebras with continuous states). We shall say that  $A$  has continuous states, or that  $A$  is a normed \*-algebra with continuous states, if every state on  $A$  is continuous.

In practice,  $A$  has continuous states as the next proposition shows. (For a counterexample, see (30.8).)

(25.13). **PROPOSITION.**  *$A$  has continuous states whenever any of the three following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) *the involution in  $A$  is continuous,*
- (ii)  *$A$  is complete, that is,  $A$  is a Banach \*-algebra,*
- (iii)  *$A$  is a commutative \*-subalgebra of a Banach \*-algebra.*

PROOF. (22.10), (24.17) and (22.9). □

We shall prove later that any \*-subalgebra of a Hermitian Banach \*-algebra  $A$  has continuous states, cf. (29.8). (The condition that  $A$  be Hermitian can actually be dropped, cf. (22.21), but we shall not prove this.)

(25.14). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  has continuous states, then every  $\sigma$ -contractive representation  $\pi$  of  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $H$  is continuous.*

PROOF. Let  $x$  be a vector in the unit ball of  $H$ . The positive linear functional

$$\varphi(a) := \langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle \quad (a \in A)$$

then is a quasi-state (by (22.7) as well as (24.11)), and hence is continuous. This says that  $\pi$  is weakly continuous in the Hilbert space  $H$ , and therefore continuous, cf. (22.12). □

(25.15). **COROLLARY.** *If  $A$  has continuous states, then the GNS representations associated with states on  $A$  are continuous.*

(25.16). **REMARK.** It is well known that a linear functional  $\psi$  on a normed space is continuous if and only if  $\ker \psi$  is closed, cf. e.g. [13, 3.5.3], and thence if and only if  $\psi$  has closed graph.

### § 26. Presence of a Unit or Approximate Unit

(26.1). **PROPOSITION.** *A positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on a unital  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is Hermitian and has finite variation  $v(\varphi) = \varphi(e)$ .*

PROOF. For  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\varphi(a^*) = \varphi(a^*e) = \langle e, a \rangle_\varphi = \overline{\langle a, e \rangle_\varphi} = \overline{\varphi(e^*a)} = \overline{\varphi(a)},$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(a)|^2 &= |\varphi(e^*a)|^2 = |\langle a, e \rangle_\varphi|^2 \\ &\leq \langle a, a \rangle_\varphi \langle e, e \rangle_\varphi = \varphi(e^*e) \varphi(a^*a) = \varphi(e) \varphi(a^*a). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

(26.2). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $A$  be a unital normed  $*$ -algebra with continuous states. Then a state on  $A$  can be defined as a continuous positive linear functional  $\psi$  on  $A$  with  $\psi(e) = 1$ .*

(26.3). **THEOREM.** *A positive linear functional on a unital Banach  $*$ -algebra is continuous. A state on a unital Banach  $*$ -algebra can be defined as an automatically continuous positive linear functional  $\psi$  with  $\psi(e) = 1$ .*

PROOF. This follows from (26.1) and (24.17).  $\square$

(26.4). **PROPOSITION** (extensibility). *A positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on a  $*$ -algebra  $A$  without unit can be extended to a positive linear functional  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $\tilde{A}$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is Hermitian and has finite variation. In this case,  $\varphi$  is called extensible. One then can choose for  $\tilde{\varphi}(e)$  any real value  $\geq v(\varphi)$ , and the choice  $\tilde{\varphi}(e) = v(\varphi)$  is minimal.*

PROOF. Let  $\varphi$  be Hermitian and have finite variation. Extend  $\varphi$  to a linear functional  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $\tilde{A}$  with  $\tilde{\varphi}(e) = \gamma \geq v(\varphi)$ . For  $a \in A$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}((\lambda e + a)^*(\lambda e + a)) &= \tilde{\varphi}(|\lambda|^2 e + \bar{\lambda}a + \lambda a^* + a^*a) \\ &= \gamma |\lambda|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\lambda} \varphi(a)) + \varphi(a^*a). \end{aligned}$$

With  $\lambda =: r \exp(i\alpha)$ ,  $a =: \exp(i\alpha)b$ , the above expression becomes

$$\gamma r^2 + 2r\varphi(b) + \varphi(b^*b).$$

This is non-negative because by construction we have

$$|\varphi(b)|^2 \leq v(\varphi) \varphi(b^*b) \leq \gamma \varphi(b^*b).$$

The choice  $\tilde{\varphi}(e) = v(\varphi)$  is minimal as a positive linear functional  $\psi$  on  $\tilde{A}$  extending  $\varphi$  satisfies  $v(\varphi) \leq v(\psi) = \psi(e)$ .  $\square$

(26.5). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a quasi-state on a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  without unit. If  $A$  is a pre- $C^*$ -algebra and if the completion of  $A$  contains a unit, assume that furthermore  $\varphi$  is a state. By extending  $\varphi$  to a linear functional  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $\tilde{A}$  with  $\tilde{\varphi}(e) := 1$ , we obtain a state  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $\tilde{A}$  which extends  $\varphi$ . Every state on  $\tilde{A}$  is of this form.*

PROOF. Recall that a quasi-state is Hermitian by (25.6), and thus extensible. We shall show that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is continuous on  $\tilde{A}_{sa}$ . It is clear that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is continuous on  $\tilde{A}_{sa}$  with respect to the norm  $|\lambda| + \|a\|$ , ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in A_{sa}$ ). Thus, if  $A$  is not a pre- $C^*$ -algebra, then  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is continuous on  $\tilde{A}_{sa}$  by definition (2.15). Assume now that  $A$  is a pre- $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $B$  be the completion of  $A$ . If  $B$  contains no unit, we apply (7.8) to the effect that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is continuous on  $\tilde{A}_{sa}$  with respect to the norm as in (2.14). Also the last statement is fairly obvious in the above two cases. If  $B$  does have a unit, then  $A$  is dense in  $\tilde{A}$  by (3.7). We see that the states on  $A$  and  $\tilde{A}$  are related by continuation. (This uses the continuity of the involution.) This way of extending states is compatible with the way described in the proposition, by (26.1). The statement follows immediately.  $\square$

(26.6). **DEFINITION** (approximate units). Let  $A$  be a normed algebra. A left approximate unit in  $A$  is a net  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $A$  such that

$$a = \lim_{i \in I} e_i a \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

Analogously one defines a right approximate unit, a one-sided approximate unit, as well as a two-sided approximate unit. A one-sided or two-sided approximate unit  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $A$  is called bounded if the net  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  is bounded in  $A$ .

Recall that a  $C^*$ -algebra has a bounded two-sided approximate unit contained in the open unit ball, cf. (20.2).

(26.7). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a continuous positive linear functional on a normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  with continuous involution and bounded one-sided approximate unit  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ . Then  $\varphi$  has finite variation.*

PROOF. Let  $c$  denote a bound of the approximate unit and let  $d$  denote the norm of the involution. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(e_i a)|^2 &= |\langle a, e_i^* \rangle_\varphi|^2 \leq \langle a, a \rangle_\varphi \langle e_i^*, e_i^* \rangle_\varphi \\ &\leq \varphi(e_i e_i^*) \varphi(a^* a) \leq |\varphi| d c^2 \varphi(a^* a). \end{aligned}$$

Hence in the case of a bounded left approximate unit

$$|\varphi(a)|^2 \leq d c^2 |\varphi| \varphi(a^* a).$$

If  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  is a bounded right approximate unit, then  $(e_i^*)_{i \in I}$  is a bounded left approximate unit by continuity of the involution.  $\square$

(26.8). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a normed  $*$ -algebra with isometric involution and with a bounded one-sided approximate unit in the closed unit ball. If  $\varphi$  is a continuous positive linear functional on  $A$ , then  $\varphi$  has finite variation*

$$v(\varphi) = |\varphi|.$$

PROOF. By the preceding proof we have  $v(\varphi) \leq |\varphi|$ . For the converse inequality, please note that  $\pi_\varphi$  is contractive by (24.8) & (22.8). By (24.16):

$$|\varphi(a)| = |\langle \pi_\varphi(a) c_\varphi, c_\varphi \rangle_\varphi|$$

for all  $a \in A$ , whence, by (24.15):

$$|\varphi(a)| \leq |\pi_\varphi(a)| \cdot \|c_\varphi\|^2 \leq |a| \cdot v(\varphi). \quad \square$$

(26.9). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $A$  be a normed  $*$ -algebra with isometric involution and with a bounded one-sided approximate unit in the closed unit ball. Then a state on  $A$  can be defined as a continuous positive linear functional of norm 1.*

PROOF. This follows from the fact that  $A$  has continuous states, cf. (25.13) (i).  $\square$

For the preceding two results, see also (27.7) below.

(26.10). **COROLLARY.** *A positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra is continuous and has finite variation*

$$v(\varphi) = \|\varphi\|.$$

*It follows that a state on a  $C^*$ -algebra can be defined as an automatically continuous positive linear functional of norm 1.*

PROOF. This follows now from (19.22) and (20.2).  $\square$

Now for the unital case.

(26.11). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $A$  be a normed  $*$ -algebra with isometric involution and with unit  $e$  of norm 1. If  $\varphi$  is a continuous positive linear functional on  $A$ , then*

$$|\varphi| = \varphi(e).$$

PROOF. This is a trivial consequence of (26.1) and (26.8).  $\square$

The next two results are frequently used.

(26.12). **THEOREM.** *A continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is positive if and only if*

$$\|\varphi\| = \varphi(e).$$

PROOF. The “only if” part follows from the preceding corollary (26.11). Suppose now that  $\|\varphi\| = \varphi(e)$ . We can then assume that also  $\|\varphi\| = 1$ . If  $a \in A$  and  $\varphi(a^*a)$  is not  $\geq 0$ , there exists a (large) closed disc  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z_0 - z| \leq \rho\}$  which contains  $\text{sp}(a^*a)$ , but does not contain  $\varphi(a^*a)$ . (Please note that  $\text{sp}(a^*a) \subset [0, \infty[$  by the Shirali-Ford Theorem (17.14).) Consequently  $\text{sp}(z_0e - a^*a)$  is contained in the disc  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \rho\}$ , and so  $\|z_0e - a^*a\| = r_\lambda(z_0e - a^*a) \leq \rho$ , cf. (7.6). Hence the contradiction

$$|z_0 - \varphi(a^*a)| = |\varphi(z_0e - a^*a)| \leq \|\varphi\| \cdot \|z_0e - a^*a\| \leq \rho. \quad \square$$

(26.13). **COROLLARY.** *A state on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra can be defined as a continuous linear functional  $\psi$  such that*

$$\|\psi\| = \psi(e) = 1.$$

PROOF. This follows now from (26.1).  $\square$

(26.14). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a normed  $*$ -algebra with a bounded left approximate unit  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ . Let  $\pi$  be a continuous non-degenerate representation of  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ . We then have*

$$\lim_{i \in I} \pi(e_i)x = x \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

PROOF. Suppose first that  $x \in H$  is of the form  $x = \pi(a)y$  for some  $a \in A$ ,  $y \in H$ . By continuity of  $\pi$  it follows that

$$\lim_{i \in I} \|\pi(e_i)x - x\| = \lim_{i \in I} \|\pi(e_i a - a)y\| = 0.$$

Thus  $\lim_{i \in I} \pi(e_i)z = z$  for all  $z$  in the dense subspace

$$Z := \text{span} \{ \pi(a)y \in H : a \in A, y \in H \}.$$

Denote by  $c$  a bound of the representation, and by  $d$  a bound of the approximate unit. Given  $x \in H$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $z \in Z$  with

$$\|x - z\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3(1 + cd)}.$$

Then let  $i \in I$  such that for all  $j \in I$  with  $j \geq i$  one has

$$\|\pi(e_j)z - z\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

For all such  $j$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\pi(e_j)x - x\| \\ & \leq \|\pi(e_j)x - \pi(e_j)z\| + \|\pi(e_j)z - z\| + \|z - x\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We next give a description of an important class of states obtained from the Riesz Representation Theorem, cf. the appendix (46.4).

(26.15). **LEMMA.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. For a state  $\psi$  on  $C_o(\Omega)$  there exists a unique inner regular Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega$  such that*

$$\psi(f) = \int f d\mu \quad \text{for all } f \in C_o(\Omega).$$

PROOF. This follows from the Riesz Representation Theorem, cf. the appendix (46.4). Please note (19.17) and (26.10).  $\square$

(26.16). **DEFINITION** ( $M_1(\Omega)$ ). If  $\Omega$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, one denotes by  $\underline{M_1(\Omega)}$  the set of inner regular Borel probability measures on  $\Omega$ .

(26.17). **THEOREM.** *For a state  $\psi$  on a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , there exists a unique measure  $\mu \in M_1(\Delta(A))$  such that*

$$\psi(a) = \int \hat{a} d\mu \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

PROOF. We note that  $A \neq \{0\}$  because  $\psi$  is a state on  $A$ . It follows that the Gelfand transform  $a \mapsto \hat{a}$  establishes an isomorphism of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  onto the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_o(\Delta(A))$ , cf. (15.8). This already implies uniqueness. By defining

$$\hat{\psi}(\hat{a}) := \psi(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in A,$$

we get a state  $\hat{\psi}$  on  $C_o(\Delta(A))$ . By lemma (26.15) there exists a measure  $\mu \in M_1(\Delta(A))$  such that

$$\psi(a) = \hat{\psi}(\hat{a}) = \int \hat{a} d\mu \quad \text{for all } a \in A. \quad \square$$

We shall return to this theme in § 30.

The impatient reader can go from here to Part 3 of the book, on the spectral theory of representations.

### § 27. The Theorem of Varopoulos

Our next objective is the Theorem of Varopoulos (27.6). As it is little used, we do not give a complete proof. We state without proof two closely related Factorisation Theorems of Paul J. Cohen and Nicholas Th. Varopoulos. We give them here in a form needed later.

(27.1). **THEOREM** (Paul J. Cohen). *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra with a bounded right approximate unit. Then every element of  $A$  is a product of two elements of  $A$ .*

(27.2). **THEOREM** (Nicholas Th. Varopoulos). *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra with a bounded right approximate unit. For a sequence  $(a_n)$  in  $A$  converging to 0, there exists a sequence  $(b_n)$  in  $A$  converging to 0 as well as  $c \in A$  with  $a_n = b_n c$  for all  $n$ .*

For proofs, see e.g. [20, § 11] or [29, section 5.2].

(27.3). **THEOREM**. *Let  $A$  be a Banach  $*$ -algebra with a bounded right approximate unit. A positive Hilbert form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $A$ , cf. (24.3), is bounded in the sense that there exists  $\gamma \geq 0$  such that*

$$|\langle a, b \rangle| \leq \gamma \cdot |a| \cdot |b| \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A.$$

PROOF. It shall first be shown that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is continuous in the first variable. So let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence in  $A$  converging to 0. By the Factorisation Theorem of N. Th. Varopoulos (theorem (27.2) above), there exists a sequence  $(b_n)$  converging to 0 in  $A$  as well as  $c \in A$ , such that  $a_n = b_n c$  for all  $n$ . Thus, for arbitrary  $d \in A$ , we obtain

$$\langle a_n, d \rangle = \langle b_n c, d \rangle = \langle \pi(b_n) \underline{c}, \underline{d} \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

by continuity of  $\pi$  (theorem (22.14)). It follows that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is separately continuous in both variables (as  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is Hermitian). An application of the uniform boundedness principle then shows that there exists  $\gamma \geq 0$  such that

$$|\langle a, b \rangle| \leq \gamma \cdot |a| \cdot |b| \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A. \quad \square$$

(27.4). **THEOREM**. *Let  $A$  be a normed  $*$ -algebra with a bounded right approximate unit  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be a bounded non-zero positive Hilbert form on  $A$ . The corresponding GNS representation  $\pi$  then is cyclic. Furthermore, there exists a cyclic vector  $c$  for  $\pi$ , such that the positive linear functional of finite variation  $a \mapsto \langle \pi(a)c, c \rangle$ , ( $a \in A$ ) induces the positive Hilbert form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . (Cf. (24.2).) The vector  $c$  can be chosen to be any adherence point of the net  $(\underline{e}_i)_{i \in I}$  in the weak topology.*

PROOF. Since the representation associated with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ , it has an “extension”  $\pi$  acting on the completion of  $\underline{A}$ . Then, by boundedness of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , the net  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  is bounded and therefore has an adherence point in the weak topology on the completion of  $\underline{A}$ . Let  $c$  be any such adherence point. By going over to a subnet, we can assume that  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  converges weakly to  $c$ . It shall be shown that  $\pi(a)c = \underline{a}$  for all  $a \in A$ , which implies that  $c$  is cyclic for  $\pi$ . It is enough to show that for  $b \in A$ , one has  $\langle \pi(a)c, \underline{b} \rangle = \langle \underline{a}, \underline{b} \rangle$ . So one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(a)c, \underline{b} \rangle &= \langle c, \pi(a)^* \underline{b} \rangle = \lim_{i \in I} \langle e_i, \pi(a)^* \underline{b} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{i \in I} \langle \pi(a)e_i, \underline{b} \rangle = \lim_{i \in I} \langle ae_i, b \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle = \langle \underline{a}, \underline{b} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the continuity of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Consider now the positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $A$  defined by

$$\varphi(a) := \langle \pi(a)c, c \rangle \quad (a \in A).$$

We have

$$\varphi(a^*a) = \langle \pi(a^*a)c, c \rangle = \|\pi(a)c\|^2 = \|\underline{a}\|^2 = \langle \underline{a}, \underline{a} \rangle = \langle a, a \rangle$$

whence, after polarisation,

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \varphi(b^*a) \quad (a, b \in A). \quad \square$$

We see from the proofs that so far it is the bounded right approximate units which are effective.

(27.5). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach  $*$ -algebra with a bounded two-sided approximate unit  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be a non-zero positive Hilbert form on  $A$ . The corresponding GNS representation  $\pi$  then is cyclic. Furthermore, there exists a cyclic vector  $c$  for  $\pi$ , such that the positive linear functional of finite variation  $a \mapsto \langle \pi(a)c, c \rangle$ , ( $a \in A$ ) induces the positive Hilbert form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The vector  $c$  can be chosen to be the limit in norm of the net  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ .*

PROOF. Only the last statement needs to be proved. The representation  $\pi$  is continuous by (22.14), and as in the preceding proof, we have  $e_i = \pi(e_i)c$  for all  $i \in I$ . Since  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  also is a bounded left approximate unit, (26.14) implies that  $e_i = \pi(e_i)c \rightarrow c$  because  $\pi$  is cyclic by the preceding theorem, and thus non-degenerate.  $\square$

(27.6). **THEOREM** (Varopoulos, Shirali). *A positive linear functional on a Banach  $*$ -algebra with a bounded one-sided approximate unit has finite variation and thence also is continuous, cf. (24.17).*



PROOF. In the case of a bounded right approximate unit, the result follows from the theorems (27.3) and (27.4) by an application of Cohen's Factorisation Theorem (27.1). In presence of a bounded left approximate unit, one changes the multiplication to  $(a, b) \mapsto ba$ , and uses that the functional turns out to be Hermitian, cf. (24.11).  $\square$

This result is due to Varopoulos for the special case of a continuous involution. It was extended to the general case by Shirali.

(27.7). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach  $*$ -algebra with isometric involution and with a bounded one-sided approximate unit in the closed unit ball. Every positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $A$  is continuous and has finite variation*

$$v(\varphi) = |\varphi|.$$

*It follows that a state on  $A$  can be defined as an automatically continuous positive linear functional of norm 1.*

PROOF. This follows now from (26.8) and (26.9).  $\square$

For  $C^*$ -algebras, we don't need this result, see (26.10).



## CHAPTER 6

### The Enveloping C\*-Algebra

#### § 28. C\*(A)

In this paragraph, let  $A$  be a normed  $*$ -algebra.

(28.1). **PROPOSITION.** *A state  $\psi$  on  $A$  satisfies*

$$\psi(a^*a)^{1/2} \leq r_\sigma(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

PROOF. Theorem (25.5) implies that

$$\psi(a^*a)^{1/2} = \langle \pi_\psi(a^*a)c_\psi, c_\psi \rangle_\psi^{1/2} = \|\pi_\psi(a)c_\psi\| \leq r_\sigma(a). \quad \square$$

(28.2). **DEFINITION** ( $\|\cdot\|_o$ ). For  $a \in A$ , one defines

$$\|a\|_o := \sup_{\psi \in S(A)} \psi(a^*a)^{1/2} \leq r_\sigma(a).$$

If  $S(A) = \emptyset$ , one puts  $\|a\|_o := 0$  for all  $a \in A$ .

We shall show that  $\|\cdot\|_o$  is a seminorm with a certain minimality property.

(28.3). **THEOREM.** *If  $\pi$  is a representation of  $A$  in a pre-Hilbert space  $H$ , which is weakly continuous on  $A_{S(A)}$ , then*

$$\|\pi(a)\| \leq \|a\|_o \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

PROOF. We may assume that  $H$  is a Hilbert space  $\neq \{0\}$  and that  $\pi$  is non-degenerate. Let  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ . Consider the state  $\psi$  on  $A$  defined by

$$\psi(a) := \langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle \quad (a \in A).$$

We obtain

$$\|\pi(a)x\| = \langle \pi(a)x, \pi(a)x \rangle^{1/2} = \psi(a^*a)^{1/2} \leq \|a\|_o. \quad \square$$

(28.4). **DEFINITION** (the universal representation,  $\pi_u$ ). We define

$$\pi_u := \bigoplus_{\psi \in S(A)} \pi_\psi, \quad H_u := \bigoplus_{\psi \in S(A)} H_\psi.$$

One says that  $\pi_u$  is the universal representation of  $A$  and that  $H_u$  is the universal Hilbert space of  $A$ . The universal representation is  $\sigma$ -contractive by (23.11).

(28.5). **THEOREM.** *For  $a \in A$ , we have*

$$\|\pi_u(a)\| = \|a\|_o.$$

PROOF. The representation  $\pi_u$  is  $\sigma$ -contractive as noted before, so that, by (28.3),

$$\|\pi_u(a)\| \leq \|a\|_o$$

for all  $a \in A$ . In order to show the converse inequality, let  $a \in A$  be fixed. Let  $\psi \in S(A)$ . It suffices then to prove that  $\psi(a^*a) \leq \|\pi_u(a)\|^2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a^*a) &= \langle \pi_\psi(a^*a)c_\psi, c_\psi \rangle_\psi = \langle \pi_\psi(a)c_\psi, \pi_\psi(a)c_\psi \rangle_\psi \\ &= \|\pi_\psi(a)c_\psi\|^2 \leq \|\pi_\psi(a)\|^2 \leq \|\pi_u(a)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

(28.6). **DEFINITION** (the Gelfand-Naïmark seminorm). We see from the preceding theorem that  $\|\cdot\|_o$  is a seminorm on  $A$ . The seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_o$  is called the Gelfand-Naïmark seminorm. It satisfies

$$\|a\|_o \leq r_\sigma(a) \leq \|a\| \quad (a \in A),$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the auxiliary  $*$ -norm on  $A$ .

(28.7). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  has continuous states, then the Gelfand-Naïmark seminorm is continuous on  $A$ . If  $A$  furthermore is commutative, then the Gelfand-Naïmark seminorm is dominated by the norm in  $A$ .*

PROOF. This follows from (25.14) and (8.10).  $\square$

A basic object in representation theory is the following enveloping C\*-algebra. It is useful for pulling down results.

(28.8). **DEFINITION** (the enveloping C\*-algebra,  $C^*(A)$ ). The  $*$ -radical of  $A$  is defined to be the  $*$ -stable (13.1) two-sided ideal on which  $\|\cdot\|_o$  vanishes. It shall be denoted by  $*\text{-rad}(A)$ . We introduce a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $A/*\text{-rad}(A)$  by defining

$$\|a + *\text{-rad}(A)\| := \|a\|_o \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Then  $(A/*\text{-rad}(A), \|\cdot\|)$  is isomorphic as a normed  $*$ -algebra to the range of the universal representation, and thus is a pre-C\*-algebra. Let  $(C^*(A), \|\cdot\|)$  denote the completion. It is called the enveloping C\*-algebra of  $A$ . We put

$$j : A \rightarrow C^*(A), \quad a \mapsto a + *\text{-rad}(A).$$

(28.9). **PROPOSITION.** *The  $*$ -algebra homomorphism  $j : A \rightarrow C^*(A)$  is  $\sigma$ -contractive and its range is dense in  $C^*(A)$ .*

(28.10). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  has continuous states, then the mapping  $j : A \rightarrow C^*(A)$  is continuous. If furthermore  $A$  is commutative, then  $j$  is contractive.*

PROOF. This follows from (28.7).  $\square$

We now come to a group of statements which collectively might be called the “universal property” of the enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra.

(28.11). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. The assignment*

$$\pi := \pi_o \circ j$$

*establishes a bijection from the set of all representations  $\pi_o$  of  $C^*(A)$  in  $H$  onto the set of all  $\sigma$ -contractive representations  $\pi$  of  $A$  in  $H$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\pi_o$  be a representation of  $C^*(A)$  in  $H$ . It then is contractive, and it follows that  $\pi := \pi_o \circ j$  is a  $\sigma$ -contractive representation of  $A$  in  $H$ . Conversely, let  $\pi$  be a  $\sigma$ -contractive representation of  $A$  in  $H$ . We then have  $\|\pi(a)\| \leq \|a\|_o$  by (28.3). It follows that  $\pi$  vanishes on  $*\text{-rad}(A)$  and thus induces a representation  $\pi_o$  of  $C^*(A)$  such that  $\pi = \pi_o \circ j$ . To show injectivity, let  $\rho_o, \sigma_o$  be two representations of  $C^*(A)$  in  $H$  with  $\rho_o \circ j = \sigma_o \circ j$ . Then  $\rho_o$  and  $\sigma_o$  agree on the dense set  $j(A)$ , hence everywhere.  $\square$

(28.12). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\pi$  be a representation of  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ , which is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ . Let  $\pi_o$  be the corresponding representation of  $C^*(A)$ . Then  $R(\pi_o)$  is the closure of  $R(\pi)$ . It follows that*

- (i)  $\pi$  is non-degenerate if and only if  $\pi_o$  is so,
- (ii)  $\pi$  is cyclic if and only if  $\pi_o$  is so,
- (iii)  $\pi_o' = \pi'$ .

PROOF. The range of  $\pi_o$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra by (22.20). Furthermore  $R(\pi)$  is dense in  $R(\pi_o)$  by (28.9), from which it then follows that  $R(\pi_o)$  is the closure of  $R(\pi)$ .  $\square$

(28.13). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $\psi$  is a state on  $A$ , then*

$$|\psi(a)| \leq \|a\|_o \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

PROOF. We have

$$|\psi(a)| \leq \psi(a^*a)^{1/2} \leq \sup_{\varphi \in S(A)} \varphi(a^*a)^{1/2} = \|a\|_o. \quad \square$$

(28.14). **COROLLARY.** *If  $\varphi$  is a positive linear functional of finite variation on  $A$ , which is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ , then*

$$|\varphi(a)| \leq v(\varphi) \|a\|_o \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

(28.15). **PROPOSITION.** *By putting*

$$\varphi := \varphi_o \circ j$$

*we establish a bijection from the set of positive linear functionals  $\varphi_o$  on  $C^*(A)$  onto the set of positive linear functionals  $\varphi$  of finite variation on  $A$ , which are weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ . Then  $v(\varphi) = v(\varphi_o)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\varphi_o$  be a positive linear functional on  $C^*(A)$ . Then  $\varphi_o$  is continuous by (19.22) and consequently has finite variation by (26.7) as  $C^*(A)$  has a bounded two-sided approximate unit (20.2). It follows that  $\varphi := \varphi_o \circ j$  is a positive linear functional on  $A$ , which is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$  because  $j$  is contractive on  $A_{sa}$ . For  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(a)|^2 &= |(\varphi_o \circ j)(a)|^2, \\ \varphi(a^*a) &= (\varphi_o \circ j)(a^*a), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $v(\varphi) = v(\varphi_o|_{j(A)})$ . By continuity of  $\varphi_o$  on  $C^*(A)$  and by continuity of the involution in  $C^*(A)$ , it follows that  $v(\varphi) = v(\varphi_o)$ . Conversely, let  $\varphi$  be a positive linear functional of finite variation on  $A$ , which is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ . By (28.14),  $\varphi$  also is bounded with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_o$ . It therefore induces a positive linear functional  $\varphi_o$  on  $C^*(A)$  such that  $\varphi = \varphi_o \circ j$ . To show injectivity, let  $\varphi_o, \varphi_o'$  be positive linear functionals on  $C^*(A)$  with  $\varphi_o \circ j = \varphi_o' \circ j$ . Then  $\varphi_o$  and  $\varphi_o'$  coincide on the dense set  $j(A)$ , hence everywhere, by automatic continuity of positive linear functionals on C\*-algebras (19.22).  $\square$

(28.16). **DEFINITION.** We imbed the set  $QS(A)$  of quasi-states on  $A$  in the unit ball of the dual space of the real normed space  $A_{sa}$ . The space  $QS(A)$  is compact in the weak\* topology. (By Alaoglu's Theorem, cf. the appendix (45.4).)

(28.17). **THEOREM.** *The assignment*

$$\varphi := \varphi_o \circ j$$

*is an affine homeomorphism from the set  $QS(C^*(A))$  of quasi-states  $\varphi_o$  on  $C^*(A)$  onto the set  $QS(A)$  of quasi-states  $\varphi$  on  $A$ , and hence from the set  $S(C^*(A))$  onto the set  $S(A)$ .*

PROOF. This assignment is continuous by the universal property of the weak\* topology, cf. the appendix (45.3). The assignment, being a continuous bijection from the compact space  $QS(C^*(A))$  onto the Hausdorff space  $QS(A)$ , is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

The next two results put the “extension processes” for states and their GNS representations in a useful relationship.

(28.18). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\psi$  be a state on  $A$ , and let  $\psi_o$  be the corresponding state on  $C^*(A)$ . Let  $(\pi_\psi)_o$  denote the representation of  $C^*(A)$  corresponding to the GNS representation  $\pi_\psi$ . We then have*

$$\psi_o(b) = \langle (\pi_\psi)_o(b)c_\psi, c_\psi \rangle_\psi \quad \text{for all } b \in C^*(A).$$

PROOF. Consider the state  $\psi_1$  on  $C^*(A)$  defined by

$$\psi_1(b) := \langle (\pi_\psi)_o(b)c_\psi, c_\psi \rangle_\psi \quad (b \in C^*(A)).$$

We get

$$\psi_1(j(a)) = \langle (\pi_\psi)_o(j(a))c_\psi, c_\psi \rangle_\psi = \langle \pi_\psi(a)c_\psi, c_\psi \rangle_\psi = \psi(a),$$

for all  $a \in A$ , so that  $\psi_1 = \psi_o$ .  $\square$

(28.19). **COROLLARY.** *For a state  $\psi$  on  $A$ , the representation  $\pi_{(\psi_o)}$  is spatially equivalent to  $(\pi_\psi)_o$ , and there exists a unitary operator intertwining  $\pi_{(\psi_o)}$  and  $(\pi_\psi)_o$  taking  $c_{(\psi_o)}$  to  $c_\psi$ .*

PROOF. (23.17).  $\square$

(28.20). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  has continuous states, then  $*\text{-rad}(A)$  is closed in  $A$ .*

PROOF. This is a consequence of (28.7). For Banach  $*$ -algebras, this also follows from (8.6).  $\square$

We close this paragraph with a discussion of  $*$ -semisimple normed  $*$ -algebras.

(28.21). **DEFINITION** ( $*$ -semisimple normed  $*$ -algebras). We will say that  $A$  is  $*$ -semisimple if  $*\text{-rad}(A) = \{0\}$ .

Please note that  $A$  is  $*$ -semisimple if and only if its universal representation is faithful.

(28.22). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  has a faithful representation in a pre-Hilbert space, which is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ , then  $A$  is  $*$ -semisimple.*

PROOF. A representation which is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$  vanishes on the  $*$ -radical by (28.3).  $\square$

(28.23). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  is  $*$ -semisimple, then  $(A, \|\cdot\|_o)$  is a pre- $C^*$ -algebra and  $C^*(A)$  is its completion.*

(28.24). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  is  $*$ -semisimple, then the involution in  $A$  has closed graph. In particular, it then follows that  $A_{sa}$  is closed in  $A$ .*

PROOF. This follows from (8.7).  $\square$

(28.25). **COROLLARY.** *The involution in a  $*$ -semisimple Banach  $*$ -algebra is continuous.*



### § 29. The Theorems of Raĭkov and of Gelfand & Naĭmark

Our next objective is a result of Raĭkov (29.4), of which the Gelfand-Naĭmark Theorem (29.5) is a special case.

(29.1). **THEOREM.** *Let  $C$  be a convex cone in a real vector space  $B$ . Let  $f_o$  be a linear functional on a subspace  $M_o$  of  $B$  such that  $f_o(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in M_o \cap C$ . Assume that  $M_o + C = B$ . The functional  $f_o$  then has a linear extension  $f$  to  $B$  such that  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in C$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $f_o$  has been extended to a linear functional  $f_1$  on a subspace  $M_1$  of  $B$  such that  $f_1(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in M_1 \cap C$ . Assume that  $M_1 \neq B$ . Let  $y \in B$ ,  $y \notin M_1$  and put  $M_2 := \text{span}(M_1 \cup \{y\})$ . Define

$$E' := M_1 \cap (y - C), \quad E'' := M_1 \cap (y + C).$$

The sets  $E'$  and  $E''$  are non-void because  $y, -y \in M_o + C$  (by the assumption that  $M_o + C = B$ ). If  $x' \in E'$ , and  $x'' \in E''$ , then  $y - x' \in C$  and  $x'' - y \in C$ , whence  $x'' - x' \in C$ , so that  $f_1(x') \leq f_1(x'')$ . It follows that with

$$a := \sup_{x' \in E'} f_1(x'), \quad b := \inf_{x'' \in E''} f_1(x'')$$

one has  $-\infty < a \leq b < \infty$ . With  $a \leq c \leq b$  we then have

$$f_1(x') \leq c \leq f_1(x'') \quad (x' \in E', x'' \in E'').$$

Define a linear functional  $f_2$  on  $M_2 = \text{span}(M_1 \cup \{y\})$  by

$$f_2(x + \alpha y) := f_1(x) + \alpha c \quad (x \in M_1, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}).$$

It shall be shown that  $f_2(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in M_2 \cap C$ . So let  $x \in M_1$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . There then exist  $\beta > 0$  and  $z \in M_1$  such that either  $x + \alpha y = \beta(z + y)$  or  $x + \alpha y = \beta(z - y)$ . Thus, if  $x + \alpha y \in C$ , then either  $z + y \in C$  or  $z - y \in C$ . If  $z + y \in C$ , then  $-z \in E'$ , so that  $f_1(-z) \leq c$ , or  $f_1(z) \geq -c$ , whence  $f_2(z + y) \geq 0$ . If  $z - y \in C$ , then  $z \in E''$ , so that  $f_1(z) \geq c$ , whence  $f_2(z - y) \geq 0$ . Thus  $f_1$  has been extended to a linear functional  $f_2$  on the subspace  $M_2$  properly containing  $M_1$ , such that  $f_2(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in M_2 \cap C$ .

One defines

$$\begin{aligned} Z := \{ (M, f) : M \text{ is a subspace of } B \text{ containing } M_o, \\ f \text{ is a linear functional on } M \text{ extending } f_o \\ \text{such that } f(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in M \cap C \}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Zorn's Lemma yields a maximal element  $(M, f)$  of  $Z$  (with the obvious ordering). It follows from the above that  $M = B$ .  $\square$

(29.2). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is a Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra, then a state on a closed  $*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  can be extended to a state on all of  $A$ .*

PROOF. Let  $D$  be a closed  $*$ -subalgebra of the Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$ . Put  $E := \mathbb{C}e + D$ , where  $e$  is the unit in  $\tilde{A}$ . Then  $E$  is a Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra, cf. (11.5) as well as the proof of (2.16). Let  $\psi$  be a state on  $D$ . Putting  $\tilde{\psi}(e) := 1$  and extending linearly, we get a state  $\tilde{\psi}$  on  $E$  which extends  $\psi$ , cf. the proof of (26.4), as well as (26.3). We want to apply the preceding theorem with  $B := \tilde{A}_{sa}$ ,  $C := \tilde{A}_+$ ,  $M_o := E_{sa}$ ,  $f_o := \tilde{\psi}|_{E_{sa}}$ . The assumptions are satisfied because  $\tilde{\psi}$  is Hermitian by (25.6),  $\tilde{A}_+$  is convex by (17.7),  $\tilde{\psi}(b) \geq 0$  for all  $b \in E_+$  by (25.11),  $E_+ = \tilde{A}_+ \cap E_{sa}$  by (17.4), and  $E_{sa} + \tilde{A}_+ = \tilde{A}_{sa}$  because for  $a \in \tilde{A}_{sa}$  one has  $r_\lambda(a)e + a \geq 0$ . By the preceding extension theorem, it follows that  $\tilde{\psi}|_{E_{sa}}$  has an extension to a linear functional  $f$  on  $\tilde{A}_{sa}$  such that  $f(a) \geq 0$  for all  $a \in \tilde{A}_+$ . Let  $\tilde{\varphi}$  be the linear extension of  $f$  to  $\tilde{A}$ . We then have  $\tilde{\varphi}(a^*a) \geq 0$  for all  $a \in \tilde{A}$  because  $a^*a \in \tilde{A}_+$  by the Shirali-Ford Theorem (17.14). Moreover,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a state on  $\tilde{A}$  because  $\tilde{\varphi}(e) = \tilde{\psi}(e) = 1$ , cf. (26.3). Let  $\varphi$  be the restriction of  $\tilde{\varphi}$  to  $A$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a quasi-state extending  $\psi$ , and thus a state.  $\square$

(29.3). **THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra, and let  $a$  be a Hermitian element of  $A$ . The following properties are equivalent.*

- (i)  $a \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\pi_u(a) \geq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\psi(a) \geq 0$  for all  $\psi \in S(A)$ .

PROOF. The implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) hold for normed  $*$ -algebras in general. Indeed (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) follows from (17.3) while (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) follows from  $\pi_u(a) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle \pi_u(a)x, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in H_u$ , cf. (19.18). The closed subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  generated by  $a$  is a commutative Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra by (11.5). One notes that every multiplicative linear functional  $\tau$  on  $B$  is a state. Indeed, as  $\tau$  is Hermitian (14.19), we have  $|\tau(b)|^2 = \tau(b^*b)$  for all  $b \in B$ , so that  $\tau$  is positive and has variation 1. Furthermore,  $\tau$  is continuous by (14.2). The proof of (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) goes as follows. If  $\psi(a) \geq 0$  for all  $\psi$  in  $S(A)$  then by the preceding theorem also  $\psi(a) \geq 0$  for all  $\psi$  in  $S(B)$ . In particular  $\tau(a) \geq 0$  for all  $\tau \in \Delta(B)$ . It follows from (14.14) that  $a \in B_+$ , whence  $a \in A_+$  by (4.10).  $\square$

We can now give the following result of Raïkov with the ensuing Gelfand-Naïmark Theorem.

(29.4). **THEOREM** (Raĭkov). *For a Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$ , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A$  is Hermitian,
- (ii)  $\|\pi_u(a)\| = r_\sigma(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ ,
- (iii)  $\|a\|_o = r_\sigma(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ .

PROOF. (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii): We know that  $\|a\|_o = \|\pi_u(a)\|$ , cf. (28.5). (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows from (17.15) and (7.5). (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): It is easily seen that the Gelfand-Naĭmark seminorm on  $\tilde{A}$  coincides on  $A$  with  $\|\cdot\|_o$ , cf. (26.5). One can thus assume that  $A$  is unital. Clearly  $\pi_u$  then is unital (1.15). If  $A$  is Hermitian, then the preceding theorem and lemma (21.4) imply that for  $a \in A$ , one has  $r_\sigma(\pi_u(a)) = r_\sigma(a)$ , or  $\|\pi_u(a)\| = r_\sigma(a)$ , cf. (7.5).  $\square$

(29.5). **THEOREM** (the Gelfand-Naĭmark Theorem). *If  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, then*

$$\|\pi_u(a)\| = \|a\|_o = \|a\| \quad \text{for all } a \in A,$$

*so that the universal representation of  $A$  establishes an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras from  $A$  onto a  $C^*$ -algebra of bounded linear operators in a Hilbert space. Furthermore  $C^*(A)$  can be identified with  $A$ .*

PROOF. This follows now from  $r_\sigma(a) = \|a\|$ , cf. (7.5).  $\square$

(29.6). **PROPOSITION.** *A Banach  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is Hermitian if and only if the Pták function  $r_\sigma$  is a seminorm.*

PROOF. The “only if” part is clear by  $r_\sigma(a) = \|a\|_o$  if  $A$  is Hermitian. Conversely assume that  $r_\sigma$  is a seminorm. In order to show that  $A$  is Hermitian, we can go over to the closed subalgebra generated by a Hermitian element, cf. (4.10). Hence we may assume that  $A$  is commutative. By assumption we have  $r_\sigma(a+b) \leq r_\sigma(a) + r_\sigma(b)$ , whence also  $|r_\sigma(a) - r_\sigma(b)| \leq r_\sigma(a-b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . We also have  $r_\sigma(a^*a) = r_\sigma(a)^2$  and  $r_\sigma(a^*) = r_\sigma(a)$  (by (7.4)), as well as  $r_\sigma(ab) \leq r_\sigma(a)r_\sigma(b)$  (by (7.9)) for all  $a, b \in A$ . It follows that the set  $I$  on which  $r_\sigma$  vanishes is a  $*$ -stable two-sided ideal in  $A$ . Furthermore, it follows that  $\|a+I\| := r_\sigma(a)$  ( $a \in A$ ) is well-defined and makes  $A/I$  into a pre- $C^*$ -algebra. Upon completing  $A/I$ , we get a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism  $\pi$  from  $A$  to a  $C^*$ -algebra such that  $r_\sigma(a) = \|\pi(a)\|$  for all  $a \in A$ . Now (17.15) implies that  $A$  is Hermitian.  $\square$

(29.7). **PROPOSITION.** *On a Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra, the Pták function  $r_\sigma$  is uniformly continuous.*

PROOF. (29.4), (25.13) (ii), (28.7), (22.10) (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi).  $\square$

As an immediate consequence, we obtain:

(29.8). **COROLLARY.** *If  $A$  is a  $*$ -subalgebra of a Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra, then every  $\sigma$ -contractive linear map from  $A$  to a normed space is continuous. In particular,  $A$  has continuous states.*

(See also (22.21) as well as the remark following (25.13).)

Now for the commutative case.

(29.9). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is a commutative Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra with  $\Delta(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then for  $a \in A$ , we have*

$$\|a\|_o = |\hat{a}|_\infty.$$

PROOF.  $r_\sigma(a) = r_\lambda(a) = |\hat{a}|_\infty$  by (11.3) (iv) & (14.15).  $\square$

(29.10). **COROLLARY.** *If  $A$  is a commutative Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra with  $\Delta(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $A$  is  $*$ -semisimple if and only if the Gelfand transformation  $A \rightarrow C_o(\Delta(A))$  is injective.*

(29.11). **COROLLARY.** *If  $A$  is a commutative Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra with  $\Delta(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $C^*(A)$  is isomorphic as a  $C^*$ -algebra to  $C_o(\Delta(A))$ .*

PROOF. By theorem (29.9),  $(A/{}^* \text{-rad}(A), \|\cdot\|)$  is isomorphic as a pre- $C^*$ -algebra to  $\{\hat{a} \in C_o(\Delta(A)) : a \in A\}$ , and the latter set is dense in  $C_o(\Delta(A))$ , cf. (15.7).  $\square$

### § 30. Commutativity

In this paragraph, let  $A$  be a commutative normed  $*$ -algebra.

(30.1). **DEFINITION** ( $\Delta_{bsa}^*(A)$ ). We denote by  $\Delta_{bsa}^*(A)$  the set of Hermitian multiplicative linear functionals on  $A$ , which are bounded on  $A_{sa}$ . Please note that

$$\Delta_{bsa}^*(A) = S(A) \cap \Delta(A).$$

As in § 15 we obtain:

(30.2). **DEFINITION.** We equip the set  $\Delta_{bsa}^*(A)$  with the topology inherited from  $QS(A)$ . It becomes in this way a locally compact Hausdorff space.

(30.3). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  is a Hermitian commutative Banach  $*$ -algebra, then  $\Delta_{bsa}^*(A) = \Delta(A)$ , also in the sense of topological spaces.*

(30.4). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $\Delta_{bsa}^*(A) \neq \emptyset$ , the Gelfand transformation*

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow C_o(\Delta_{bsa}^*(A)) \\ a &\mapsto \widehat{a} \end{aligned}$$

*then is a  $\sigma$ -contractive  $*$ -algebra homomorphism, whose range is dense in  $C_o(\Delta_{bsa}^*(A))$ . (We shall abbreviate  $\widehat{a}|_{\Delta_{bsa}^*(A)}$  to  $\widehat{a}$ ).*

**PROOF.** One applies the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, cf. the appendix (45.5).  $\square$

(30.5). **PROPOSITION.** *The homeomorphism  $\varphi_o \mapsto \varphi_o \circ j$  (28.17) from  $S(C^*(A))$  onto  $S(A)$  restricts to a homeomorphism from  $\Delta(C^*(A))$  onto  $\Delta_{bsa}^*(A)$ .*

(30.6). **COROLLARY.** *If  $\Delta_{bsa}^*(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $C^*(A)$  is isomorphic as a  $C^*$ -algebra to  $C_o(\Delta_{bsa}^*(A))$ . Furthermore, for  $a \in A$ , we have*

$$\|a\|_o = |\widehat{a}|_{\Delta_{bsa}^*(A)}|_{\infty}.$$

*It follows that  $A$  is  $*$ -semisimple if and only if the Gelfand transformation  $A \rightarrow C_o(\Delta_{bsa}^*(A))$  is injective.*

(30.7). **THEOREM** (the abstract Bochner Theorem). *The formula*

$$\psi(a) = \int \widehat{a} d\mu \quad (a \in A)$$

*establishes an affine bijection from  $M_1(\Delta_{bsa}^*(A))$  onto  $S(A)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\psi$  be a state on  $A$ . Let  $\psi_o$  be the corresponding state on  $C^*(A)$ . By (26.17), there exists a unique measure  $\mu_o \in M_1(\Delta(C^*(A)))$  such that

$$\psi_o(b) = \int \widehat{b} d\mu_o \quad \text{for all } b \in C^*(A).$$

Let  $\mu$  be the image measure of  $\mu_o$  under the homeomorphism  $\tau_o \mapsto \tau_o \circ j$  from  $\Delta(C^*(A))$  onto  $\Delta_{bsa}^*(A)$ . (For the concept of image measure, see the appendix (46.5) - (46.8).) For  $a \in A$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a) &= \psi_o(j(a)) \\ &= \int \widehat{j(a)} d\mu_o \\ &= \int \widehat{j(a)}(\tau_o) d\mu_o(\tau_o) \\ &= \int \tau_o(j(a)) d\mu_o(\tau_o) \\ &= \int \widehat{a}(\tau_o \circ j) d\mu_o(\tau_o) \\ &= \int \widehat{a}(\tau) d\mu(\tau) = \int \widehat{a} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Uniqueness of  $\mu$  follows from the fact that the functions  $\widehat{a}$  ( $a \in A$ ) are dense in  $C_o(\Delta_{bsa}^*(A))$ , cf. (30.4).

Conversely, let  $\mu$  be a measure in  $M_1(\Delta_{bsa}^*(A))$  and consider the linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $A$  defined by

$$\varphi(a) := \int \widehat{a} d\mu \quad (a \in A).$$

Then  $\varphi$  is a positive linear functional on  $A$  because for  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\varphi(a^*a) = \int |\widehat{a}|^2 d\mu \geq 0.$$

The positive linear functional  $\varphi$  is contractive on  $A_{sa}$  because all the  $\tau \in \Delta_{bsa}^*(A)$  are contractive on  $A_{sa}$  as they are states. Indeed

$$|\varphi(b)| \leq \int |\tau(b)| d\mu(\tau) \leq |b| \quad \text{for all } b \in A_{sa}.$$

Furthermore, we have  $v(\varphi) \leq 1$  by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$|\varphi(a)|^2 = \left| \int \widehat{a} d\mu \right|^2 \leq \int |\widehat{a}|^2 d\mu \cdot \int 1^2 d\mu = \varphi(a^*a) \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

Thus, it follows that  $\varphi = \lambda\psi$  with  $\psi$  a state on  $A$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . By the preceding, there exists a unique measure  $\nu \in M_1(\Delta_{bsa}^*(A))$  such that

$$\psi(a) = \int \widehat{a} d\nu \quad (a \in A).$$

We obtain

$$\varphi(a) = \int \widehat{a} d(\lambda\nu).$$

as well as

$$\varphi(a) = \int \widehat{a} d\mu.$$

By density of the functions  $\widehat{a}$  in  $C_o(\Delta_{bsa}^*(A))$ , it follows  $\mu = \lambda\nu$ , whence  $\lambda = 1$ , so that  $\varphi = \psi$  is a state on  $A$ .  $\square$

See also (38.1) & (38.2) below.

(30.8). **COUNTEREXAMPLE.** There exists a commutative unital normed  $*$ -algebra  $A$  such that each Hermitian multiplicative linear functional on  $A$  is contractive on  $A_{sa}$ , yet discontinuous, and thereby a discontinuous state.

**PROOF.** We take  $A := \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]$  as  $*$ -algebra, cf. (1.5). (We shall define a norm later on.) Let  $\tau$  be a Hermitian multiplicative linear functional on  $A$ . If we put  $u := \tau(\delta_1)$ , then

$$\tau(a) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n)u^n \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

With  $e := \delta_0$  the unit in  $A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u|^2 &= u\bar{u} = \tau(\delta_1)\overline{\tau(\delta_1)} = \tau(\delta_1)\tau(\delta_1^*) \\ &= \tau(\delta_1)\tau(\delta_{-1}) = \tau(\delta_1\delta_{-1}) = \tau(e) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

which makes that  $u = \tau(\delta_1)$  belongs to the unit circle. Conversely, if  $u$  is in the unit circle then

$$\tau(a) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n)u^n \quad (a \in A)$$

defines a Hermitian multiplicative linear functional on  $A$ . In other words, the Hermitian multiplicative linear functionals  $\tau$  on  $A$  correspond to the points  $u := \tau(\delta_1)$  in the unit circle.

We shall now introduce a norm on  $A$ . Let  $\gamma \geq 2$  be fixed. Then

$$|a| := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a(n)| \gamma^n$$

defines an algebra norm on  $A$ . (We leave the verification to the reader.) If  $a \in A_{sa}$ , then  $a(-n) = \overline{a(n)}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so for  $a \in A_{sa}$  we have

$$|a| = |a(0)| + \sum_{n \geq 1} |a(n)| \left( \gamma^n + \frac{1}{\gamma^n} \right).$$

Let  $\tau$  be a Hermitian multiplicative linear functional on  $A$ . Then  $\tau(\delta_n) = (\tau(\delta_1))^n$  is in the unit circle for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so for  $a \in A_{sa}$  we find

$$|\tau(a)| \leq |a(0)| + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} |a(n)|.$$

We see that if  $a \in A_{sa}$ , then  $|\tau(a)| \leq |a|$ , so  $\tau$  is contractive on  $A_{sa}$ . However

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_n| &= \gamma^n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow -\infty, \\ |\tau(\delta_n)| &= 1 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\tau$  is discontinuous. □

(30.9). **REMARK.** This gives an example of a commutative normed \*-algebra which cannot be imbedded in a Banach \*-algebra, see (25.13) (iii). See also (6.7), (7.3), and (22.21). The above normed \*-algebra also serves as a counterexample for the latter statements with normed \*-algebras instead of Banach \*-algebras. Please note that the above commutative unital normed \*-algebra does not carry any continuous Hermitian multiplicative linear functional.



## CHAPTER 7

### Irreducible Representations

#### § 31. General \*-Algebras: Indecomposable Functionals

Let throughout  $A$  be a \*-algebra and  $H \neq \{0\}$  a Hilbert space.

(31.1). **DEFINITION** (irreducible representations). A representation of  $A$  in  $H$  is called irreducible if it is non-zero and if it has no closed reducing subspaces other than  $\{0\}$  and  $H$ .

(31.2). **PROPOSITION.** *A non-zero representation  $\pi$  of  $A$  in  $H$  is irreducible if and only if every non-zero vector in  $H$  is cyclic for  $\pi$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $\pi$  is irreducible. Then  $\pi$  must be non-degenerate, cf. (23.6). Let  $x$  be a non-zero vector in  $H$  and consider  $M = \overline{R(\pi)x}$ . Then  $x \in M$ , because  $\pi$  is non-degenerate, cf. (23.8). In particular,  $M$  is a closed reducing subspace  $\neq \{0\}$ , so that  $M = H$ . The proof of the converse implication is left to the reader.  $\square$

(31.3). **LEMMA** (Schur's Lemma). *A non-zero representation  $\pi$  of  $A$  in  $H$  is irreducible if and only if  $\pi' = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $\pi' = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ . Let  $M$  be a closed reducing subspace of  $\pi$  and let  $p$  be the projection on  $M$ . Then  $p$  belongs to  $\pi'$  by (23.5), whence either  $p = 0$  or  $p = \mathbf{1}$ . Assume conversely that  $\pi$  is irreducible. Then  $\pi'$  is a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$ . Consider a Hermitian element  $a = a^*$  of  $\pi'$  and let  $C$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\pi'$  generated by  $a$  and  $\mathbf{1}$ . In order to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that  $\Delta(C)$  contains only one point. So assume that  $\Delta(C)$  contains more than one point. There then exist non-zero  $c_1, c_2 \in C$  with  $c_1 c_2 = 0$  (by Urysohn's Lemma). Choosing  $x \in H$  with  $c_2 x \neq 0$ , we obtain the contradiction  $c_1 H = \overline{c_1 \pi(A) c_2 x} \subset \overline{\pi(A) c_1 c_2 x} = \{0\}$ .  $\square$

(31.4). **COROLLARY.** *If  $A$  is commutative, then a non-zero representation  $\pi$  of  $A$  in  $H$  is irreducible if and only if  $H$  is one-dimensional.*

PROOF. We have  $R(\pi) \subset \pi'$ .  $\square$

An irreducible representation of a non-commutative \*-algebra need not be one-dimensional, cf. e.g. (36.11) below.

(31.5). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible representation of  $A$  in  $H$ . Assume that  $x, y$  are vectors in  $H$  with*

$$\langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle = \langle \pi(a)y, y \rangle \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

*There then exists a complex number  $u$  of modulus 1 with  $x = uy$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that both  $x$  and  $y$  are non-zero. Then  $x, y$  are cyclic vectors for  $\pi$  and there exists a unitary operator  $U \in \pi' = C(\pi, \pi)$  taking  $x$  to  $y$ , cf. (23.17). Since  $\pi$  is irreducible, it follows from Schur's Lemma (31.3) that  $U = u1$  with  $u \in \mathbb{C}, |u| = 1$ . Assume next that  $x = 0, y \neq 0$ . The equation

$$0 = \langle \pi(a)x, x \rangle = \langle \pi(a)y, y \rangle \quad (a \in A)$$

would then imply that the vector  $y \neq 0$  was orthogonal to all the vectors  $\pi(a)y$  ( $a \in A$ ), so that  $y$  could not be a cyclic vector.  $\square$

(31.6). **LEMMA.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a positive semidefinite sesquilinear form on  $H$  with  $\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \varphi(x, x) < \infty$ . There then exists a unique linear operator  $a \in B(H)_+$  such that*

$$\varphi(x, y) = \langle ax, y \rangle \quad (x, y \in H).$$

**PROOF.** We have  $\sup_{\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1} |\varphi(x, y)| < \infty$  by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. It follows from a well-known theorem that there exists a unique bounded linear operator  $a$  on  $H$  with  $\langle ax, y \rangle = \varphi(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in H$ . The operator  $a$  is positive because  $\varphi$  is positive semidefinite, cf. (19.18).  $\square$

(31.7). **DEFINITION** (subordination). Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  be positive linear functionals on  $A$ . One says that  $\varphi_1$  is subordinate to  $\varphi_2$  if there is  $\lambda \geq 0$  such that  $\lambda\varphi_2 - \varphi_1$  is a positive linear functional.

(31.8). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be a cyclic representation of  $A$  in  $H$  and let  $c$  be a cyclic vector for  $\pi$ . Consider the positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $A$  defined by*

$$\varphi(a) := \langle \pi(a)c, c \rangle \quad (a \in A).$$

*The equation*

$$\varphi_1(a) = \langle b\pi(a)c, c \rangle \quad (a \in A)$$

*establishes a bijection between operators  $b \in \pi'_+$  and Hermitian positive linear functionals  $\varphi_1$  on  $A$  of finite variation which are subordinate to  $\varphi$ .*

PROOF. Let  $b \in \pi'_+$ . It is immediate that

$$\varphi_1(a) := \langle b\pi(a)c, c \rangle = \langle \pi(a)b^{1/2}c, b^{1/2}c \rangle \quad (a \in A)$$

defines a Hermitian positive linear functional of finite variation on  $A$ , cf. (19.5) and (24.11). To show that  $\varphi_1$  is subordinate to  $\varphi$ , we note that  $b \leq \|b\| \mathbb{1}$ , whence

$$\|b\| \langle x, x \rangle - \langle bx, x \rangle \geq 0$$

for all  $x \in H$ . With  $x := \pi(a)c$  it follows that

$$\|b\| \langle \pi(a^*a)c, c \rangle - \langle b\pi(a^*a)c, c \rangle \geq 0,$$

which says that  $\|b\| \varphi - \varphi_1$  is a positive linear functional on  $A$ .

Conversely, let  $\varphi_1$  be a Hermitian positive linear functional of finite variation subordinate to  $\varphi$ . There then exists  $\lambda \geq 0$  such that

$$0 \leq \varphi_1(a^*a) \leq \lambda \varphi(a^*a) \quad (*)$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

For  $x = \pi(f)c, y = \pi(g)c, (f, g \in A)$ , we put

$$\alpha(x, y) := \varphi_1(g^*f).$$

This expression depends only on  $x, y$ . Indeed, let for example also  $x = \pi(h)c$ , i.e.  $\pi(f - h)c = 0$ . We obtain

$$\varphi((f - h)^*(f - h)) = \langle \pi(f - h)c, \pi(f - h)c \rangle = 0,$$

so that by (\*),

$$\varphi_1((f - h)^*(f - h)) = 0.$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it follows that

$$\varphi_1(g^*(f - h)) = 0,$$

so that

$$\varphi_1(g^*f) = \varphi_1(g^*h),$$

as claimed. Denote by  $H_o$  the set of all vectors  $x \in H$  of the form  $x = \pi(f)c$  ( $f \in A$ ). The vector space  $H_o$  is dense in  $H$  because  $c$  is cyclic for  $\pi$ . The positive semidefinite sesquilinear form  $\alpha$  is bounded on  $H_o$  since (\*) may be rewritten as

$$\alpha(x, x) \leq \lambda \langle x, x \rangle \quad (**)$$

for all  $x \in H_o$ . Therefore  $\alpha$  has a unique continuation to a bounded positive semidefinite sesquilinear form on  $H$ . The inequality (\*\*) then is valid for all  $x \in H$ . By (31.6) there is a unique operator  $b \in B(H)_+$  such that

$$\alpha(x, y) = \langle bx, y \rangle \quad (x, y \in H).$$

It shall be shown that  $b \in \pi'$ . For  $x = \pi(f)c, y = \pi(g)c$ , and  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\langle b\pi(a)x, y \rangle = \langle b\pi(af)c, \pi(g)c \rangle = \alpha(\pi(af)c, \pi(g)c) = \varphi_1(g^*af),$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(a)bx, y \rangle &= \langle bx, \pi(a^*)y \rangle = \langle b\pi(f)c, \pi(a^*g)c \rangle = \alpha(\pi(f)c, \pi(a^*g)c) \\ &= \varphi_1((a^*g)^*f) = \varphi_1(g^*af), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\langle b\pi(a)x, y \rangle = \langle \pi(a)bx, y \rangle$$

for all  $x, y \in H_o$  and hence for all  $x, y \in H$ , so that  $b \in \pi'$ . In order to prove that

$$\varphi_1(a) = \langle b\pi(a)c, c \rangle \quad (a \in A),$$

one first notices that

$$|\varphi_1(a)|^2 \leq v(\varphi_1) \varphi_1(a^*a) \leq v(\varphi_1) \lambda \varphi(a^*a) = \lambda v(\varphi_1) \|\pi(a)c\|^2,$$

so that  $\pi(a)c \mapsto \varphi_1(a)$  is a bounded linear functional on  $H_o$ , hence extends to a bounded linear functional on  $H$ . (The expression  $\varphi_1(a)$  depends only on  $\pi(a)c$  as is seen as follows: if  $\pi(f)c = \pi(g)c$ , we have

$$|\varphi_1(f) - \varphi_1(g)|^2 = |\varphi_1(f - g)|^2 \leq \lambda v(\varphi_1) \|\pi(f - g)c\|^2 = 0.)$$

There thus exists a vector  $d \in H$  with

$$\varphi_1(a) = \langle \pi(a)c, d \rangle \quad (a \in A).$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(f)c, b\pi(g)c \rangle &= \langle b\pi(f)c, \pi(g)c \rangle = \alpha(\pi(f)c, \pi(g)c) \\ &= \varphi_1(g^*f) = \langle \pi(g^*f)c, d \rangle = \langle \pi(f)c, \pi(g)d \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$b\pi(g)c = \pi(g)d \quad (g \in A).$$

It follows that

$$\langle b\pi(a)c, c \rangle = \langle \pi(a)d, c \rangle = \overline{\langle \pi(a^*)c, d \rangle} = \overline{\varphi_1(a^*)} = \varphi_1(a).$$

The uniqueness statement follows from the identity

$$\varphi_1(g^*f) = \langle b\pi(f)c, \pi(g)c \rangle,$$

and the fact that  $H_o$  is dense in  $H$ . □

(31.9). **DEFINITION** (indecomposable linear functionals). Let  $\varphi$  be a Hermitian positive linear functional of finite variation on  $A$ . The functional  $\varphi$  is called indecomposable if every other Hermitian positive linear functional of finite variation on  $A$ , which is subordinate to  $\varphi$ , is a multiple of  $\varphi$ .

(31.10). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be a cyclic representation of  $A$  in  $H$  and let  $c$  be a cyclic vector for  $\pi$ . Consider the positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $A$  defined by*

$$\varphi(a) := \langle \pi(a)c, c \rangle \quad (a \in A).$$

*Then  $\pi$  is irreducible if and only if  $\varphi$  is indecomposable.*

PROOF. Assume that  $\pi$  is irreducible and let  $\varphi_1$  be a Hermitian positive linear functional of finite variation subordinate to  $\varphi$ . There exists  $b \in \pi'_+$  with  $\varphi_1(a) = \langle b\pi(a)c, c \rangle$  for all  $a \in A$ . Since  $\pi$  is irreducible, it follows that  $b = \lambda \mathbf{1}$  for some  $\lambda \geq 0$ , so that  $\varphi_1 = \lambda\varphi$ . Conversely, let  $\varphi$  be indecomposable and let  $p$  denote the projection on a closed invariant subspace of  $\pi$ . We then have  $p \in \pi'_+$ , cf. (23.5), so that the Hermitian positive linear functional  $\varphi_1$  of finite variation defined by  $\varphi_1(a) := \langle p\pi(a)c, c \rangle$  ( $a \in A$ ), is subordinate to  $\varphi$ , whence  $\varphi_1 = \lambda\varphi$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $p$  is uniquely determined by  $\varphi_1$ , it follows that  $p = \lambda \mathbf{1}$ , but then  $\lambda = 0$  or  $1$  as  $p$  is a projection, and so  $\pi$  is irreducible.  $\square$

### § 32. Normed \*-Algebras: Pure States

Throughout this paragraph, let  $A$  be a normed \*-algebra, and assume that  $\psi$  is a state on  $A$ .

(32.1). **DEFINITION** (pure states,  $PS(A)$ ). One says that  $\psi$  is a pure state on  $A$  if it is an extreme point of the convex set  $S(A)$  of states on  $A$ , cf. (25.3). The set of pure states on  $A$  is denoted by  $PS(A)$ .

(32.2). **LEMMA.** *Let  $\psi_o$  be the state on  $C^*(A)$  corresponding to  $\psi$ . Then  $\psi$  is indecomposable precisely when  $\psi_o$  is so.*

**PROOF.** The representation  $\pi_{(\psi_o)}$  is spatially equivalent to  $(\pi_\psi)_o$ , cf. (28.19). Therefore  $\pi_{(\psi_o)}$  is irreducible precisely when  $(\pi_\psi)_o$  is. Now  $(\pi_\psi)_o$  is irreducible if and only if  $\pi_\psi$  is irreducible, as is shown by (28.12) (iii).  $\square$

(32.3). **THEOREM.** *The state  $\psi$  is indecomposable if and only if it is a pure state.*

**PROOF.** We shall first prove this if  $A$  has continuous involution and a bounded left approximate unit. Let the state  $\psi$  be indecomposable. Assume that  $\psi = \lambda_1\psi_1 + \lambda_2\psi_2$  where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$  and  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in S(A)$ . Since  $\lambda_k\psi_k$  is subordinate to  $\psi$ , we have  $\lambda_k\psi_k = \mu_k\psi$  for some  $\mu_k \geq 0$ . We obtain  $\lambda_k = v(\lambda_k\psi_k) = v(\mu_k\psi) = \mu_k$  so that  $\psi_k = \psi$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . Therefore  $\psi$  is an extreme point of  $S(A)$ . Let next the state  $\psi$  be pure. Consider a Hermitian positive linear functional  $\psi_1$  of finite variation on  $A$  subordinate to  $\psi$ . Then  $\psi_1$  is bounded on  $A_{sa}$  by applying (31.8) to  $\pi_\psi$ . But then  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi$  are bounded as the involution in  $A$  is continuous by assumption. It must be shown that  $\psi_1$  is a multiple of  $\psi$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\psi_1 \in S(A)$ . There then exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\psi - \lambda\psi_1 =: \psi'$  is a positive linear functional. This functional is continuous and thus has finite variation because by assumption  $A$  has continuous involution and a bounded left approximate unit, cf. (26.7). It must be shown that  $\psi_1$  is a multiple of  $\psi$ . Assume that  $\psi' \neq 0$ . Put  $\psi_2 := \psi'/\mu$  where  $\mu := v(\psi') > 0$  as  $\psi' \neq 0$ . Then  $\psi_2$  is a state on  $A$  with  $\psi = \lambda\psi_1 + \mu\psi_2$ . It follows that  $1 = \lambda + \mu$  by additivity of the variation, cf. (24.18). Since  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ , it follows that  $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = \psi$  because  $\psi$  is an extreme point of  $S(A)$ , and in particular  $\psi_1$  is a multiple of  $\psi$ . This proves the theorem in presence of a continuous involution and a bounded left approximate unit. We shall pull down the general case from  $C^*(A)$ . Since the bijection  $\psi \mapsto \psi_o$  is affine,  $\psi$  is a pure state precisely when  $\psi_o$  is a pure state. Moreover, by (32.2),  $\psi$  is indecomposable if and only if  $\psi_o$  is indecomposable.  $\square$

(32.4). **THEOREM.** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\pi_\psi$  is irreducible,
- (ii)  $\psi$  is indecomposable,
- (iii)  $\psi$  is a pure state.

PROOF. (31.10) and (32.3). □

(32.5). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is commutative, then  $PS(A) = \Delta_{b\mathcal{S}A}^*(A)$ .*

PROOF. (31.4) and (30.1). □

(32.6). **PROPOSITION.** *The affine homeomorphism  $\psi \mapsto \psi_0$  (28.17) from  $S(A)$  onto  $S(C^*(A))$  restricts to a homeomorphism from  $PS(A)$  onto  $PS(C^*(A))$ .*

PROOF. (32.2) and (32.3). (Recall (28.17).) □

(32.7). **THEOREM.** *The set of pure states on  $A$  parametrises the class of irreducible  $\sigma$ -contractive representations of  $A$  in Hilbert spaces up to spatial equivalence. More precisely, if  $\psi$  is a pure state on  $A$ , then  $\pi_\psi$  is an irreducible  $\sigma$ -contractive representation of  $A$ . Conversely, if  $\pi$  is an irreducible  $\sigma$ -contractive representation of  $A$  in a Hilbert space, there exists a pure state  $\psi$  on  $A$  such that  $\pi$  is spatially equivalent to  $\pi_\psi$ . (Cf. (25.9).)*

Remark however that (31.5) implies that if  $\pi$  is a multi-dimensional irreducible  $\sigma$ -contractive representation of a (necessarily non-commutative) normed \*-algebra, there exists a continuum of pure states  $\varphi$  such that  $\pi$  is spatially equivalent to  $\pi_\varphi$ .

(32.8). **PROPOSITION.** *The set of extreme points of the non-empty compact convex set  $QS(A)$  is  $PS(A) \cup \{0\}$ . Cf. (25.3) & (25.7).*

PROOF. It shall first be shown that 0 is an extreme point of  $QS(A)$ . So suppose that  $0 = \lambda \varphi_1 + (1 - \lambda) \varphi_2$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in QS(A)$ . For  $a \in A$ , we then have  $\varphi_k(a^*a) = 0$  by  $\varphi_k(a^*a) \geq 0$ , and so  $\varphi_k(a) = 0$  by  $|\varphi_k(a)|^2 \leq v(\varphi_k) \varphi_k(a^*a)$ . It shall next be shown that each  $\psi \in PS(A)$  is an extreme point of  $QS(A)$ . So let  $\psi \in PS(A)$ ,  $\psi = \lambda \varphi_1 + (1 - \lambda) \varphi_2$ , where  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in QS(A)$ . By additivity of the variation (24.18), we have  $1 = \lambda v(\varphi_1) + (1 - \lambda) v(\varphi_2)$ , whence  $v(\varphi_1) = v(\varphi_2) = 1$ , so that  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are states, from which it follows that  $\psi = \varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ . It remains to be shown that  $\varphi \in QS(A)$  with  $0 < v(\varphi) < 1$  is not an extreme point of  $QS(A)$ . This is so because then  $\varphi = v(\varphi) \cdot (v(\varphi)^{-1} \varphi) + (1 - v(\varphi)) \cdot 0$ . □

(32.9). **COROLLARY.** *The non-empty compact convex set  $QS(A)$  is the closed convex hull of  $PS(A) \cup \{0\}$ .*

PROOF. The Kreĭn-Milman Theorem says that a non-empty compact convex set in a separated locally convex topological vector space is the closed convex hull of its extreme points.  $\square$

(32.10). **COROLLARY.** *If a normed  $*$ -algebra has a state, then it has a pure state.*

(32.11). **THEOREM.** *The state  $\psi$  is in the closed convex hull of  $PS(A)$ .*

PROOF. The state  $\psi$  is in the closed convex hull of  $PS(A) \cup \{0\}$ , so that  $\psi$  is the limit of a net  $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$  where each  $\varphi_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) is of the form

$$\lambda \cdot 0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \cdot \psi_j$$

with

$$\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = 1, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad \lambda_j \geq 0, \quad \psi_j \in PS(A) \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

We then have

$$v(\varphi_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \leq 1,$$

so  $\limsup v(\varphi_i) \leq 1$ . We shall show that  $\liminf v(\varphi_i) \geq 1$ , from which  $\lim v(\varphi_i) = 1$ . Assume that  $\alpha := \liminf v(\varphi_i) < 1$ . By going over to a subnet, we can then assume that  $\alpha = \lim v(\varphi_i)$ . For  $a \in A$ , one would have

$$|\psi(a)|^2 = \lim |\varphi_i(a)|^2 \leq \lim v(\varphi_i) \varphi_i(a^*a) = \alpha \psi(a^*a),$$

which would imply  $v(\psi) \leq \alpha < 1$ , a contradiction. We have thus shown that  $\lim v(\varphi_i) = 1$ . In particular, by going over to a subnet, we may assume that  $v(\varphi_i) \neq 0$  for all  $i \in I$ . The net  $(v(\varphi_i)^{-1} \varphi_i)_{i \in I}$  then converges to  $\psi$ , and consists of convex combinations of pure states.  $\square$

(32.12). **DEFINITION** (the spectrum  $\widehat{A}$ ). We shall say that two states on  $A$  are spatially equivalent if their corresponding GNS representations are spatially equivalent. This defines an equivalence relation on  $S(A)$ . We define the spectrum  $\widehat{A}$  of  $A$  as the set of spatial equivalence classes of  $PS(A)$ .

Please note that if  $A$  is commutative, then  $\widehat{A}$  may be identified with  $\Delta_{bsa}^*(A)$ , cf. (32.5).



(32.13). **DEFINITION.** We shall say that  $(\psi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \hat{A}}$  is a choice function if  $\psi_\lambda \in \lambda$  for each  $\lambda \in \hat{A}$ . There exists a choice function  $(\psi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \hat{A}}$  by the axiom of choice (cf. (32.10)).

(32.14). **DEFINITION** (the reduced atomic representation,  $\pi_{ra}$ ). If  $(\psi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \hat{A}}$  is a choice function, we define

$$\pi_{ra} := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} \pi_{\psi_\lambda}.$$

One says that  $\pi_{ra}$  is a reduced atomic representation of  $A$ . Any two reduced atomic representations of  $A$  are spatially equivalent, so that one speaks of “the” reduced atomic representation of  $A$ .

Our next aim is theorem (32.17).

(32.15). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is a Hermitian Banach \*-algebra and if  $B$  be a closed \*-subalgebra of  $A$ , then every pure state on  $B$  can be extended to a pure state on  $A$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\varphi$  be a pure state on  $B$ . Let  $K$  be the set of states on  $A$  which extend  $\varphi$ , cf. (29.2). Then  $K$  is a non-empty compact convex subset of  $S(A)$ . (Indeed every adherence point of  $K$  is a quasi-state extending  $\varphi$ , hence a state too.) It follows that  $K$  has an extreme point,  $\psi$ , say. It shall be shown that  $\psi$  is a pure state. So let  $\psi = \lambda\psi_1 + (1 - \lambda)\psi_2$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in S(A)$ . We then also have  $\psi|_B = \lambda\psi_1|_B + (1 - \lambda)\psi_2|_B$ , so that  $\psi_1|_B = \psi_2|_B = \psi|_B = \varphi$ . It follows that  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  belong to  $K$ . As  $\psi$  is an extreme point of  $K$ , one has  $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ , and so  $\psi$  is a pure state.  $\square$

(32.16). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is a Hermitian Banach \*-algebra and if  $a$  is a Hermitian element of  $A$ , then the following properties are equivalent.*

- (i)  $a \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\pi_{ra}(a) \geq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\psi(a) \geq 0$  for all  $\psi \in PS(A)$ .

PROOF. This follows in the same way as (29.3).  $\square$

(32.17). **THEOREM.** *For all  $a \in A$  we have*

$$\|\pi_{ra}(a)\| = \|a\|_o.$$

PROOF. Assume first that  $A$  is a Hermitian Banach \*-algebra. As in (29.4), it follows that  $\|\pi_{ra}(a)\| = \|a\|_o = r_\sigma(a)$ . If  $A$  is merely a normed \*-algebra, we pull down the result from the enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra. For  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\|a\|_o = \|j(a)\| = \|j(a)\|_o = \|\pi_{ra}(j(a))\| = \|\pi_{ra}(a)\|,$$

where we have used the Gelfand-Naïmark Theorem (29.5) as well as (32.2).  $\square$

(32.18). **THEOREM.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  *$A$  is  $*$ -semisimple,*
- (ii)  *$\pi_{ra}$  is faithful,*
- (iii) *the irreducible  $\sigma$ -contractive representations of  $A$  in Hilbert spaces separate the points of  $A$ .*

PROOF. The proof is left to the reader.  $\square$

### § 33. The Left Spectrum in Hermitian Banach \*-Algebras

In this paragraph, let  $A$  be a Hermitian Banach \*-algebra.

(33.1). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  is unital, then for a proper left ideal  $I$  in  $A$ , there exists a state  $\varphi$  on  $A$  such that*

$$\varphi(a^*a) = 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in I.$$

PROOF. Let  $M_o$  denote the real subspace of  $A_{sa}$  spanned by  $e$  and the Hermitian elements of  $I$ . Define a linear functional  $f_o$  on  $M_o$  by

$$f_o(\lambda e + y) := \lambda \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, y^* = y \in I).$$

Let  $C$  denote the convex cone  $A_+$  in  $A_{sa}$ , cf. (17.7). We have  $M_o + C = A_{sa}$  because for  $a \in A_{sa}$  one has  $r_\lambda(a)e + a \geq 0$ . Furthermore  $f_o(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in M_o \cap C$ . Indeed, if  $x = \lambda e + y \in M_o \cap C$  with  $y^* = y \in I$ , then  $\lambda e - x = -y$  is a Hermitian element of  $I$ , which is a proper left ideal, so that  $\lambda e - x$  is not left invertible by (14.6) (ii), which implies that  $\lambda \in \text{sp}(x) \subset [0, \infty[$ . It follows from (29.1) that  $f_o$  has a linear extension  $f$  to  $A_{sa}$  such that  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in C = A_+$ . Then  $f(a^*a) \geq 0$  for all  $a \in A$  by the Shirali-Ford Theorem (17.14). The linear extension  $\varphi$  of  $f$  from  $A_{sa}$  to  $A$  satisfies the requirement, cf. (26.3).  $\square$

(33.2). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $\varphi$  is a state on a unital normed \*-algebra  $B$ , then*

$$M := \{ b \in B : \varphi(b^*b) = 0 \}$$

*is a proper left ideal of  $B$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$  denote the positive Hilbert form induced by  $\varphi$ , cf. (24.2). An element  $b$  of  $B$  is in  $M$  if and only if  $\langle b, c \rangle_\varphi = 0$  for all  $c \in B$  by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. So  $M$  is the isotropic subspace of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$ , and thus a left ideal in  $B$ , cf. (24.4). The left ideal  $M$  is proper as  $\varphi(e^*e) = \varphi(e) = 1$ , cf. (26.1).  $\square$

(33.3). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  is unital, then for a maximal left ideal  $M$  in  $A$  there exists a state  $\varphi$  on  $A$  such that*

$$M = \{ a \in A : \varphi(a^*a) = 0 \}.$$

PROOF. There exists a state  $\varphi$  on  $A$  such that  $\varphi(a^*a) = 0$  for all  $a \in M$ , cf. (33.1). The set  $\{ a \in A : \varphi(a^*a) = 0 \}$  is a proper left ideal in  $A$  containing  $M$ , cf. (33.2) and thus equal to  $M$  by maximality of  $M$ .  $\square$

See also (33.5) below.

(33.4). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is unital, then an element  $a$  of  $A$  is left invertible if and only if*

$$\varphi(a^*a) > 0 \quad \text{for all states } \varphi \text{ on } A.$$

PROOF. Let  $a \in A$ . If  $a$  is not left invertible, then  $a$  lies in a proper left ideal by (14.6) (ii), which is contained in a maximal left ideal by (14.7), so that  $\varphi(a^*a) = 0$  for some state  $\varphi$  on  $A$  by proposition (33.3). Conversely, if  $\varphi(a^*a) = 0$  for some state  $\varphi$  on  $A$ , then  $a$  lies in the proper left ideal  $\{b \in A : \varphi(b^*b) = 0\}$ , cf. proposition (33.2), so that  $a$  cannot be left invertible by (14.6) (ii).  $\square$

(33.5). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is unital, then for a maximal left ideal  $M$  in  $A$ , there exists a pure state  $\psi$  on  $A$  such that*

$$M = \{a \in A : \psi(a^*a) = 0\}.$$

PROOF. The set  $K$  of those states  $\varphi$  on  $A$  with

$$M = \{a \in A : \varphi(a^*a) = 0\}$$

is non-empty by (33.3). Furthermore,  $K$  is a compact convex subset of the state space of  $A$ . (Indeed, if  $\ell$  is in the closed convex hull of  $K$ , then  $\ell$  is a state (as  $A$  is unital) with

$$M \subset \{a \in A : \ell(a^*a) = 0\}.$$

But the set on the right hand side is a proper left ideal, and thus equal to  $M$ .) Thus  $K$  has an extreme point,  $\psi$ , say. It remains to be shown that  $\psi$  is a pure state. So let

$$\psi = \lambda\varphi_1 + \mu\varphi_2$$

with  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  states on  $A$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in ]0, 1[, \lambda + \mu = 1$ . For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , we then have

$$M \subset \{a \in A : \varphi_i(a^*a) = 0\}$$

by positivity of  $\varphi_i$ . As above, it follows that both sets are equal, i.e.  $\varphi_i \in K$ , which implies  $\psi = \varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ .  $\square$

(33.6). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is unital, then an element  $a$  of  $A$  is left invertible if and only if*

$$\psi(a^*a) > 0 \quad \text{for all pure states } \psi \text{ on } A.$$

PROOF. In the proof of (33.4), replace “state” by “pure state”.  $\square$

A similar characterisation for the right invertible elements holds as well, and thus we obtain:

(33.7). **COROLLARY.** *A normal element of a unital Hermitian Banach \*-algebra is left invertible if and only if it is right invertible.*

(33.8). **DEFINITION** ( $E(b)$ ). Let  $B$  be a normed \*-algebra, and let  $b \in B$ . We shall consider the set  $E(b)$  defined as the set of those pure states  $\psi$  on  $B$ , for which  $c_\psi$  is an eigenvector of  $\pi_\psi(b)$  to the eigenvalue  $\psi(b)$ . That is,

$$E(b) := \{ \psi \in PS(B) : \pi_\psi(b)c_\psi = \psi(b)c_\psi \}.$$

We have the following characterisation of  $E(b)$ :

(33.9). **PROPOSITION.** *For an element  $b$  of a normed \*-algebra  $B$ , we have*

$$E(b) = \{ \psi \in PS(B) : |\psi(b)|^2 = \psi(b^*b) \}.$$

PROOF. For a state  $\psi$  on  $B$ , we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (\pi_\psi(b) - \psi(b))c_\psi \|^2 \\ &= \langle (\pi_\psi(b) - \psi(b))c_\psi, (\pi_\psi(b) - \psi(b))c_\psi \rangle_\psi \\ &= \| \pi_\psi(b)c_\psi \|^2 + \| \psi(b)c_\psi \|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re} (\overline{\psi(b)} \langle \pi_\psi(b)c_\psi, c_\psi \rangle_\psi) \\ &= \psi(b^*b) - |\psi(b)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

(33.10). **DEFINITION** (the left spectrum,  $\operatorname{lsp}_B(b)$ ). If  $b$  is an element of an algebra  $B$ , we define the left spectrum of  $b$  as the set  $\operatorname{lsp}_B(b)$  of those complex numbers  $\lambda$  for which  $\lambda e - b$  is not left invertible in  $\tilde{B}$ . We shall also abbreviate  $\operatorname{lsp}(b) := \operatorname{lsp}_B(b)$ .

(33.11). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is unital, then for an element  $a$  of  $A$ , we have*

$$\operatorname{lsp}_A(a) = \{ \psi(a) : \psi \in E(a) \}.$$

*In particular, each point in the left spectrum is an eigenvalue in some irreducible representation.*

PROOF. Let  $a \in A$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and put  $b := \lambda e - a$ . For a pure state  $\psi$  on  $A$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(b^*b) &= |\lambda|^2 + \psi(a^*a) - 2 \operatorname{Re} (\overline{\lambda} \psi(a)) \\ &= |\lambda - \psi(a)|^2 + \psi(a^*a) - |\psi(a)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\psi(a^*a) - |\psi(a)|^2 \geq 0$$

as  $v(\psi) = 1$ . Therefore  $\psi(b^*b)$  vanishes if and only if both  $\lambda = \psi(a)$  and  $|\psi(a)|^2 = \psi(a^*a)$ . The statement follows now from the results (33.6) and (33.9).  $\square$

(33.12). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is unital, then for an element  $a$  of  $A$ , we have*

$$\text{lsp}_A(a) = \text{lsp}_{C^*(A)}(j(a)).$$

*Here, the left spectrum can be replaced with the right spectrum and with the spectrum.*

PROOF. The bijection  $\psi_o \mapsto \psi_o \circ j$  from  $PS(C^*(A))$  to  $PS(A)$ , cf. (32.6), restricts to a bijection from  $E(j(a))$  to  $E(a)$ . The statement concerning the right spectrum follows by changing the multiplication in  $A$  to  $(a, b) \mapsto ba$ . The statement concerning the spectrum follows from (4.1).  $\square$

Now for non-unital algebras.

(33.13). **THEOREM.** *For an element  $a$  of  $A$ , we have*

$$\text{lsp}_A(a) \setminus \{0\} = \{ \psi(a) : \psi \in E(a) \} \setminus \{0\}.$$

PROOF. This follows now from (26.5) and (33.9).  $\square$

(33.14). **THEOREM.** *For an element  $a$  of  $A$ , we have*

$$\text{lsp}_A(a) \setminus \{0\} = \text{lsp}_{C^*(A)}(j(a)) \setminus \{0\}.$$

*Here, the left spectrum can be replaced with the right spectrum and with the spectrum.*

PROOF. The proof is the same as that of (33.12).  $\square$

It is not very far from here to Raïkov's Theorem (29.4).

(33.15). **THEOREM.** *For an element  $a$  of  $A$ , we have*

$$\text{lsp}_A(a) \setminus \{0\} = \text{lsp}(\pi_u(a)) \setminus \{0\} = \text{lsp}(\pi_{ra}(a)) \setminus \{0\},$$

*and the latter two sets consist entirely of eigenvalues.*

PROOF. This follows now from (33.13), (33.8), and from the fact that

$$\text{lsp}(\pi(a)) \setminus \{0\} \subset \text{lsp}(a) \setminus \{0\}$$

for an algebra homomorphism  $\pi$ , cf. the proof of (4.9).  $\square$

## Part 3

# Spectral Theory of Representations





## CHAPTER 8

### Representations by Normal Bounded Operators

#### § 34. Spectral Measures

(34.1). **DEFINITION** (spectral measures). Let  $H \neq \{0\}$  be a Hilbert space, and let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a set  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . Then a spectral measure defined on  $\mathcal{E}$  and acting on  $H$  is a map

$$P : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow B(H)$$

such that

- (i)  $P(\omega)$  is a projection in  $H$  for each  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ ,
- (ii)  $P(\Omega) = \mathbf{1}$ ,
- (iii) for all  $x \in H$ , the function

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Px, x \rangle : \mathcal{E} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \\ \omega &\mapsto \langle P(\omega)x, x \rangle = \|P(\omega)x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

is a measure.

One notes that if  $x$  is a unit vector then  $\langle Px, x \rangle$  is a probability measure. For  $x, y \in H$  arbitrary, one defines a complex measure  $\langle Px, y \rangle$  on  $\Omega$  by polarisation:

$$\langle Px, y \rangle := \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^4 \mathbf{i}^k \langle P(x + \mathbf{i}^k y), (x + \mathbf{i}^k y) \rangle.$$

We then have  $\langle Px, y \rangle(\omega) = \langle P(\omega)x, y \rangle$  for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ , and all  $x, y \in H$ .

By abuse of notation, one also puts

$$P := \{ P(\omega) : \omega \in \mathcal{E} \}.$$

For the remainder of this paragraph, let  $H \neq \{0\}$  denote a Hilbert space, let  $\mathcal{E}$  denote a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a set  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $P$  denote a spectral measure defined on  $\mathcal{E}$  and acting on  $H$ .

(34.2). **PROPOSITION.** *The following statements hold.*

(i)  *$P$  is finitely additive, i.e. if  $D$  is a finite collection of mutually disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{E}$ , then*

$$P\left(\bigcup_{\omega \in D} \omega\right) = \sum_{\omega \in D} P(\omega),$$

(ii)  *$P$  is orthogonal, i.e. if  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\omega_1 \cap \omega_2 = \emptyset$ , then*

$$P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2) = 0,$$

(iii) *the events in  $\mathcal{E}$  are independent, i.e. for  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ , we have*

$$P(\omega_1 \cap \omega_2) = P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2).$$

*In particular  $P(\omega_1)$  and  $P(\omega_2)$  commute.*

PROOF. (i): For all  $x, y \in H$ , we have

$$\langle P\left(\bigcup_{\omega \in D} \omega\right)x, y \rangle = \sum_{\omega \in D} \langle P(\omega)x, y \rangle = \langle \sum_{\omega \in D} P(\omega)x, y \rangle.$$

(ii): Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{E}$  with  $\omega_1 \cap \omega_2 = \emptyset$ . By (i), we have

$$P(\omega_1) + P(\omega_2) = P(\omega_1 \cup \omega_2),$$

which says that  $P(\omega_1) + P(\omega_2)$  is a projection. It follows

$$\begin{aligned} P(\omega_1) + P(\omega_2) &= (P(\omega_1) + P(\omega_2))^2 \\ &= P(\omega_1) + P(\omega_2) + P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2) + P(\omega_2)P(\omega_1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2) + P(\omega_2)P(\omega_1) = 0.$$

Multiplication from the left and from the right with  $P(\omega_2)$  yields

$$P(\omega_2)P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2) + P(\omega_2)P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2) = 0,$$

and so

$$P(\omega_2)P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2) = 0.$$

The  $C^*$ -property implies

$$\|P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2)\|^2 = \|P(\omega_2)P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2)\| = 0,$$

that is

$$P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2) = 0.$$

(iii): This follows from (i) and (ii) in the following way.

$$\begin{aligned} &P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2) \\ &= P((\omega_1 \cap \omega_2) \cup (\omega_1 \setminus \omega_2)) \cdot P((\omega_2 \cap \omega_1) \cup (\omega_2 \setminus \omega_1)) \\ &= (P(\omega_1 \cap \omega_2) + P(\omega_1 \setminus \omega_2)) \cdot (P(\omega_2 \cap \omega_1) + P(\omega_2 \setminus \omega_1)) \\ &= P(\omega_1 \cap \omega_2). \end{aligned}$$

□

(34.3). **DEFINITION** ( $I_P, \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$ ). Let  $\underline{I_P}$  be the linear map on the complex vector space of  $\mathcal{E}$ -step functions with  $I_P(1_\omega) = P(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ . That is, if

$$h = \sum_{\omega \in D} \alpha_\omega 1_\omega$$

is a  $\mathcal{E}$ -step function with  $D \subset \mathcal{E}$  a finite partition of  $\Omega$ , then

$$I_P(h) := \sum_{\omega \in D} \alpha_\omega P(\omega).$$

This map  $I_P$  is a representation of the  $*$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{E}$ -step functions in  $H$  by the preceding proposition. Here  $I_P$  stands for “integral”.

We shall denote by  $\mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of bounded complex-valued  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable functions equipped with the supremum norm  $|\cdot|_\infty$ , cf. the appendix (46.5).

(34.4). **DEFINITION** (spectral integrals). Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  and  $a \in B(H)$ . One writes

$$a = \int f dP \quad (\text{weakly})$$

if

$$\langle ax, x \rangle = \int f d\langle Px, x \rangle \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

We then also have

$$\langle ax, y \rangle = \int f d\langle Px, y \rangle \quad \text{for all } x, y \in H,$$

so that then  $a$  is uniquely determined by  $f$  and  $P$ .

We shall write

$$a = \int f dP \quad (\text{in norm})$$

if for every  $\mathcal{E}$ -step function  $h$  one has

$$\|a - I_P(h)\| \leq |f - h|_\infty.$$

(34.5). **PROPOSITION.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  and  $a \in B(H)$ . If

$$a = \int f dP \quad (\text{in norm})$$

then also

$$a = \int f dP \quad (\text{weakly}).$$

In particular,  $a$  then is uniquely determined by  $f$  and  $P$ .

PROOF. There exists a sequence  $(h_n)$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ -step functions converging uniformly to  $f$ . We then get

$$a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_P(h_n),$$

whence, for all  $x$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ax, x \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle I_P(h_n)x, x \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int h_n d\langle Px, x \rangle = \int f d\langle Px, x \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

(34.6). **THEOREM**  $(\pi_P(f))$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  there exists a (necessarily unique) operator  $\pi_P(f) \in B(H)$  such that

$$\pi_P(f) = \int f dP \quad (\text{in norm}).$$

The map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_P : \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E}) &\rightarrow B(H) \\ f &\mapsto \pi_P(f) \end{aligned}$$

then defines a representation  $\pi_P$  of  $\mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  in  $H$ .

PROOF. Let  $S(\mathcal{E})$  denote the complex vector space of  $\mathcal{E}$ -step functions. For  $h \in S(\mathcal{E})$ , we may write

$$h = \sum_{\omega \in D} \alpha_\omega 1_\omega$$

where  $D \subset \mathcal{E}$  is a finite partition of  $\Omega$ . We obtain

$$I_P(h) = \sum_{\omega \in D} \alpha_\omega P(\omega).$$

In order to show that the representation  $I_P$  is contractive:

$$\|I_P(h)\| \leq \|h\|_\infty,$$

we note that for  $x \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_P(h)x\|^2 &= \sum_{\omega \in D} |\alpha_\omega|^2 \|P(\omega)x\|^2 \\ &\leq \|h\|_\infty^2 \sum_{\omega \in D} \|P(\omega)x\|^2 = \|h\|_\infty^2 \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\overline{S(\mathcal{E})}$  denote the closure of  $S(\mathcal{E})$  in the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\ell^\infty(\Omega)$ . We have  $\overline{S(\mathcal{E})} \subset \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  as pointwise limits of sequences of  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable functions are  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable. Also,  $\mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E}) \subset \overline{S(\mathcal{E})}$  because every bounded  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable function is the uniform limit of a sequence of  $\mathcal{E}$ -step functions. We obtain the equality  $\mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E}) = \overline{S(\mathcal{E})}$ . It then follows that the mapping

$$I_P : h \mapsto I_P(h) \quad (h \in S(\mathcal{E}))$$

has a unique extension to a continuous mapping

$$\pi_P : \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow B(H)$$

This mapping then is a contractive representation (since  $I_P$  is so), from which it follows that

$$\pi_P(f) = \int f dP \quad (\text{in norm})$$

for each  $f \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$ . □

(34.7). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $a$  be a bounded linear operator in  $H$  such that*

$$a = \int f dP \quad (\text{weakly})$$

*for some  $f \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$ . We then also have*

$$a = \int f dP \quad (\text{in norm}).$$

PROOF. We have  $a = \pi_P(f)$ . □

(34.8). **PROPOSITION.** *For  $f \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  and  $x \in H$ , we have*

$$\|\pi_P(f)x\| = \|f\|_{\langle Px, x \rangle, 2} = \left( \int |f|^2 d\langle Px, x \rangle \right)^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. One calculates

$$\|\pi_P(f)x\|^2 = \langle \pi_P(|f|^2)x, x \rangle = \int |f|^2 d\langle Px, x \rangle. \quad \square$$

(34.9). **THEOREM.** *We have*

$$R(\pi_P) = \overline{\text{span}}(P).$$

*It follows that  $R(\pi_P)$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $P$ .*

PROOF. By (22.20) it follows that  $R(\pi_P)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and so a closed subspace of  $B(H)$ , containing  $\text{span}(P)$  as a dense subset. □

(34.10). **COROLLARY.** *We have  $\pi_{P'} = P'$ , cf. (23.4).*

(34.11). **DEFINITION** ( $P$ -a.e.). A property applicable to the points in  $\Omega$  is said to hold  $P$ -almost everywhere ( $P$ -a.e.) if it holds  $\langle Px, x \rangle$ -almost everywhere for all  $x \in H$ .

(34.12). **DEFINITION** ( $N(P)$ ). We denote by  $N(P)$  the closed  $*$ -stable ideal in  $\mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  consisting of the functions in  $\mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  which vanish  $P$ -almost everywhere.

(34.13). **PROPOSITION.** *We have  $N(P) = \ker \pi_P$ .*

PROOF. This follows from (34.8). □

(34.14). **THEOREM.** *Every projection in  $R(\pi_P)$  is of the form  $P(\omega)$  for some  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  be such that  $\pi_P(f) = \pi_P(f)^2$ . We obtain  $f = f^2$   $P$ -a.e. It follows that  $f$  is  $P$ -a.e. equal to either 0 or 1. Then, with  $\omega = f^{-1}(1) \in \mathcal{E}$ , we have  $f = 1_\omega$   $P$ -a.e. and thus  $\pi_P(f) = \pi_P(1_\omega) = P(\omega)$ .  $\square$

(34.15). **THEOREM.** *For  $f \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$ , we have*

$$\pi_P(f) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow f \geq 0 \text{ } P\text{-a.e.}$$

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_P(f) \geq 0 &\Leftrightarrow \pi_P(f) = |\pi_P(f)| \\ &\Leftrightarrow \pi_P(f) = \pi_P(|f|) \Leftrightarrow f = |f| \text{ } P\text{-a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Here we use that  $\pi_P(|f|) = |\pi_P(f)|$ , cf. (19.9) & the proof of (19.16).  $\square$

(34.16). **THEOREM** (the Dominated Convergence Theorem). *If  $(f_n)$  is a norm-bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  which converges pointwise  $P$ -a.e. to a function  $f \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_P(f_n) x = \pi_P(f) x \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

PROOF. This follows from Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem because by (34.8) we have

$$\|\pi_P(f) x - \pi_P(f_n) x\| = \left( \int |f - f_n|^2 d\langle Px, x \rangle \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

(34.17). **COROLLARY** (strong  $\sigma$ -additivity). *If  $(\omega_n)$  is a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{E}$ , then*

$$P\left(\bigcup_n \omega_n\right) x = \sum_n P(\omega_n) x \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

(34.18). **COROLLARY** (strong  $\sigma$ -continuity). *If  $(\omega_n)$  is an increasing sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{E}$ , then*

$$P\left(\bigcup_n \omega_n\right) x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\omega_n) x \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

(34.19). **THEOREM** (the Monotone Convergence Theorem). *If  $(f_n)$  is a norm-bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})_{sa}$  such that  $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$   $P$ -a.e. for all  $n$ , then*

$$\sup_n \int f_n dP = \int \sup_n f_n dP.$$

PROOF. This follows from (34.16) and (19.19).  $\square$

(34.20). **DEFINITION** ( $L^\infty(P)$ ). We denote

$$L^\infty(P) := \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})/N(P),$$

which is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra, cf. (21.7).

(34.21). **PROPOSITION.** *The representation  $\pi_P$  factors to an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras from  $L^\infty(P)$  onto  $R(\pi_P)$ .*

PROOF. This follows from (34.13) and (22.20).  $\square$

(34.22). **DEFINITION** (image of a spectral measure). Let  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}'$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras on non-empty sets  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega'$  respectively, and let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$  be an  $\mathcal{E}$ - $\mathcal{E}'$  measurable function. If  $P$  is a spectral measure defined on  $\mathcal{E}$  and acting on a Hilbert space  $H$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(P) : \mathcal{E}' &\rightarrow B(H)_+ \\ \omega' &\mapsto P(f^{-1}(\omega')) \end{aligned}$$

defines a spectral measure  $f(P) = P \circ f^{-1}$  defined on  $\mathcal{E}'$ , called the image of  $P$  under  $f$ . Cf. the appendix (46.5) – (46.8).

### § 35. Spectral Theorems

(35.1). **DEFINITION** (resolution of identity). If  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then a resolution of identity on  $\Omega$  is a spectral measure  $P$  defined on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\Omega$  such that  $\langle Px, x \rangle$  is inner regular for all vectors  $x$ .

(35.2). **DEFINITION** (the support). Let  $P$  be a resolution of identity on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ . If there exists a largest open subset  $\omega$  of  $\Omega$  with  $P(\omega) = 0$ , then  $\Omega \setminus \omega$  is called the support of  $P$ .

Every resolution of identity has a support, but this won't be needed. Please note that if the support of  $P$  is all of  $\Omega$ , then  $C_b(\Omega)$  is imbedded in  $L^\infty(P)$  as two continuous functions differ on an open subset. This imbedding is isometric by (21.5).

(35.3). **THEOREM** (the Spectral Theorem, archetypal form). *For a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  of bounded linear operators acting non-degenerately on a Hilbert space  $H \neq \{0\}$ , there exists a unique resolution of identity  $P$  on  $\Delta(B)$ , acting on  $H$ , such that*

$$b = \int \widehat{b} dP \quad (\text{in norm}) \quad \text{for all } b \in B.$$

*One says that  $P$  is the spectral resolution of  $B$ . We have*

$$B' = P',$$

*and the support of  $P$  is all of  $\Delta(B)$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $x$  is a unit vector in  $H$ , then

$$b \mapsto \langle bx, x \rangle$$

is a state on  $B$ , cf. (25.4). It follows that there is a unique inner regular Borel probability measure  $\langle Px, x \rangle$  on  $\Delta(B)$  such that

$$\langle bx, x \rangle = \int \widehat{b} d\langle Px, x \rangle \quad \text{for all } b \in B,$$

cf. (26.17). Polarisation yields complex measures  $\langle Px, y \rangle$  on  $\Delta(B)$  such that

$$\langle bx, y \rangle = \int \widehat{b} d\langle Px, y \rangle$$

for all  $x, y \in H$  and all  $b \in B$ . For a Borel set  $\omega$  in  $\Delta(B)$ , consider the positive semidefinite sesquilinear form  $\varphi$  defined by

$$\varphi(x, y) := \int 1_\omega d\langle Px, y \rangle \quad (x, y \in H).$$



Since  $\varphi(x, x) \leq 1$  for all  $x$  in the unit ball of  $H$ , there exists a unique operator  $P(\omega) \in B(H)_+$  such that

$$\varphi(x, y) = \langle P(\omega)x, y \rangle \quad \text{for all } x, y \in H,$$

cf. (31.6). In other words

$$\langle P(\omega)x, y \rangle = \int 1_\omega d\langle Px, y \rangle \quad (x, y \in H).$$

In order to show that  $P : \omega \mapsto P(\omega)$  is a spectral measure and hence a resolution of identity, it suffices to prove that each  $P(\omega)$  is an idempotent. We shall prove more.

Let  $\omega, \omega'$  be Borel subsets of  $\Delta(B)$ . We shall show that  $P(\omega)P(\omega') = P(\omega \cap \omega')$ . Let  $x, y \in H$ . For  $a, b \in B$ , we have

$$\int \widehat{a}\widehat{b} d\langle Px, y \rangle = \langle abx, y \rangle = \int \widehat{a} d\langle Pbx, y \rangle. \quad (*)$$

Now  $\{\widehat{a} : a \in B\} = C_o(\Delta(B))$ , see the Commutative Gelfand-Naïmark Theorem (15.8). It follows that

$$\widehat{b} \cdot \langle Px, y \rangle = \langle Pbx, y \rangle$$

in the sense of equality of complex measures. The integrals in  $(*)$  therefore remain equal if  $\widehat{a}$  is replaced by  $1_\omega$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int 1_\omega \widehat{b} d\langle Px, y \rangle &= \int 1_\omega d\langle Pbx, y \rangle \\ &= \langle P(\omega)bx, y \rangle \\ &= \langle bx, P(\omega)y \rangle = \int \widehat{b} d\langle Px, P(\omega)y \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

The same reasoning as above shows that the integrals in  $(**)$  remain equal if  $\widehat{b}$  is replaced by  $1_{\omega'}$ . Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P(\omega \cap \omega')x, y \rangle &= \int 1_{\omega \cap \omega'} d\langle Px, y \rangle \\ &= \int 1_\omega 1_{\omega'} d\langle Px, y \rangle \\ &= \int 1_{\omega'} d\langle Px, P(\omega)y \rangle \\ &= \langle P(\omega')x, P(\omega)y \rangle = \langle P(\omega)P(\omega')x, y \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $P(\omega \cap \omega') = P(\omega)P(\omega')$  indeed, which finishes the proof that  $P$  is a resolution of identity.

From

$$\langle bx, y \rangle = \int \widehat{b} d\langle Px, y \rangle$$

it follows that

$$b = \int \widehat{b} dP \quad (\text{weakly}),$$

whence also

$$b = \int \widehat{b} dP \quad (\text{in norm}),$$

cf. (34.7).

Uniqueness follows from  $\{\widehat{b} : b \in B\} = C_o(\Delta(B))$ , cf. the Commutative Gelfand-Naïmark Theorem (15.8).

It shall now be shown that the support of  $P$  is all of  $\Delta(B)$ , i.e.  $\emptyset$  is the largest open subset  $\omega$  of  $\Delta(B)$  with  $P(\omega) = 0$ . Let  $\omega$  be a non-empty open subset of  $\Delta(B)$  and let  $x \in \omega$ . There then exists  $f \in C_c(\Delta(B))$  such that

$$0 \leq f \leq 1, \quad f(x) = 1, \quad \text{supp}(f) \subset \omega.$$

Let  $b \in B$  with  $\widehat{b} = f$ . We then have  $b \neq 0$ , as well as  $b \geq 0$  by (19.17). Since  $f \leq 1_\omega$ , it follows by (34.15):

$$0 \leq b = \pi_P(\widehat{b}) = \pi_P(f) \leq \pi_P(1_\omega) = P(\omega),$$

whence  $P(\omega) \neq 0$ .

It shall next be shown that  $B' = P'$ . For  $a \in B(H)$ , the following statements are equivalent.

$$a \in P',$$

$$P(\omega)a = aP(\omega) \quad \text{for all Borel sets } \omega,$$

$$\langle P(\omega)ax, y \rangle = \langle aP(\omega)x, y \rangle \quad \text{for all Borel sets } \omega, \text{ for all } x, y \in H,$$

$$\langle P(\omega)ax, y \rangle = \langle P(\omega)x, a^*y \rangle \quad \text{for all Borel sets } \omega, \text{ for all } x, y \in H,$$

$$\langle Pax, y \rangle = \langle Px, a^*y \rangle \quad \text{for all } x, y \in H,$$

$$\int \widehat{b} d\langle Pax, y \rangle = \int \widehat{b} d\langle Px, a^*y \rangle \quad \text{for all } b \in B, \text{ for all } x, y \in H,$$

$$\langle bax, y \rangle = \langle bx, a^*y \rangle \quad \text{for all } b \in B, \text{ for all } x, y \in H,$$

$$\langle bax, y \rangle = \langle abx, y \rangle \quad \text{for all } b \in B, \text{ for all } x, y \in H,$$

$$ba = ab \quad \text{for all } b \in B,$$

$$a \in B'.$$

The proof is complete. □

Our next aim is the Spectral Theorem for a normal bounded linear operator (35.5). We shall need the following result.

(35.4). **THEOREM** (Fuglede - Putnam - Rosenblum). *Let  $A$  be a pre- $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $n_1, n_2$  be normal elements of  $A$ . Let  $a \in A$  and assume that  $an_1 = n_2a$ . We then also have  $an_1^* = n_2^*a$ .*

*In particular, if  $n$  is a normal bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space, then  $\{n\}' = \{n^*\}'$ .*

PROOF. We may assume that  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. Please note that it follows from the hypothesis that  $an_1^k = n_2^k a$  for all integers  $k \geq 0$ , so if  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ , then  $ap(n_1) = p(n_2)a$ . It follows that

$$a \exp(i\bar{z}n_1) = \exp(i\bar{z}n_2) a$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , or equivalently

$$a = \exp(i\bar{z}n_2) a \exp(-i\bar{z}n_1).$$

Since  $\exp(x+y) = \exp(x)\exp(y)$  when  $x$  and  $y$  commute, the normality of  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(in_2^*) a \exp(-in_1^*) \\ &= \exp(in_2^*) \exp(i\bar{z}n_2) a \exp(-i\bar{z}n_1) \exp(-in_1^*) \\ &= \exp(i(zn_2^* + \bar{z}n_2)) a \exp(-i(\bar{z}n_1 + zn_1^*)) \end{aligned}$$

The expressions  $zn_2^* + \bar{z}n_2$  and  $\bar{z}n_1 + zn_1^*$  are Hermitian, and hence  $\exp(i(zn_2^* + \bar{z}n_2))$  and  $\exp(-i(\bar{z}n_1 + zn_1^*))$  are unitary. This implies

$$\| \exp(in_2^*) a \exp(-in_1^*) \| \leq \| a \|$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . However

$$z \mapsto \exp(in_2^*) a \exp(-in_1^*)$$

is an entire function, hence constant by Liouville's Theorem, so that

$$\exp(in_2^*) a = a \exp(in_1^*)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . By equating the coefficients for  $z$  we obtain  $n_2^*a = an_1^*$ .  $\square$

We remark that the above result immediately carries over to  $*$ -semi-simple normed  $*$ -algebras, cf. (28.23).

(35.5). **THEOREM** (the Spectral Theorem for normal bounded linear operators). *Let  $b$  be a normal bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space  $H \neq \{0\}$ . There then is a unique resolution of identity  $P$  on  $\text{sp}(b)$ , acting on  $H$ , such that*

$$b = \int_{\text{sp}(b)} \text{id}_{\text{sp}(b)} dP \quad (\text{in norm}).$$

*This is usually written as*

$$b = \int z dP(z).$$

*One says that  $P$  is the spectral resolution of  $b$ . We have*

$$\{b\}' = P',$$

*and the support of  $P$  is all of  $\text{sp}(b)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $B$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$  generated by  $b$ ,  $b^*$ , and  $\mathbf{1}$ . It is commutative as  $b$  is normal. By (15.9), we may identify  $\Delta(B)$  with  $\text{sp}(b)$  in such a way that  $\hat{b}$  becomes  $\text{id}_{\text{sp}(b)}$ , and existence follows. On the other hand, if  $Q$  is another resolution of identity on  $\text{sp}(b)$  with

$$b = \int z dQ(z),$$

then

$$p(b, b^*) = \int p(z, \bar{z}) dQ(z)$$

for all  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, \bar{z}]$ . Uniqueness follows then from the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, cf. the appendix (45.5). The statement concerning the commutants follows from the preceding theorem.  $\square$

(35.6). **ADDENDUM.** *Let  $b$  be a normal bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space  $H \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $P$  be the spectral resolution of  $b$ . The map*

$$\begin{aligned} L^\infty(P) &\rightarrow B(H) \\ f + N(P) &\mapsto \pi_P(f) \end{aligned}$$

*extends the operational calculus for  $b$  (15.10):*

$$\begin{aligned} C(\text{sp}(b)) &\rightarrow B(H) \\ f &\mapsto f(b). \end{aligned}$$

(35.7). **REMARK.** The range of the operational calculus for  $b$  is the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$  generated by  $b$ ,  $b^*$ , and  $\mathbf{1}$ . We shall give a similar characterisation of the range of the extended map, see (39.12) below.

(35.8). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $b$  be a normal bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space  $H \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $P$  be its spectral resolution. A complex number  $\lambda \in \text{sp}(b)$  is an eigenvalue of  $b$  if and only if  $P(\{\lambda\}) \neq 0$ , i.e. if  $P$  has an atom at  $\lambda$ , in which case the range of  $P(\{\lambda\})$  is the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .*

*Hence an isolated point of the spectrum of  $b$  is an eigenvalue of  $b$ .*

PROOF. Assume first that  $P(\{\lambda\}) \neq 0$ , and let  $0 \neq x \in H$  with  $P(\{\lambda\})x = x$ . For such  $x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} bx &= \int z dP(z)x = \int z dP(z)P(\{\lambda\})x \\ &= \left[ \int_{\text{sp}(b) \setminus \{\lambda\}} z dP(z) + \int_{\{\lambda\}} z dP(z) \right] \cdot P(\{\lambda\})x \\ &= \lambda P(\{\lambda\})^2 x = \lambda P(\{\lambda\})x = \lambda x, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $x$  is an eigenvector of  $b$  to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Conversely, let  $x \neq 0$  be an eigenvector of  $b$  to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , consider the function  $f_n$  given by  $f_n(z) = (\lambda - z)^{-1}$  if  $|\lambda - z| > \frac{1}{n}$ , and  $f_n(z) = 0$  if  $|\lambda - z| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . We get

$$\pi_P(f_n)(\lambda \mathbf{1} - b) = \pi_P(f_n(\lambda - \text{id})) = P(\{z : |z - \lambda| > \frac{1}{n}\}).$$

Since  $(\lambda \mathbf{1} - b)x = 0$ , we have

$$P(\{z : |z - \lambda| > \frac{1}{n}\})x = 0.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $P(\{z : z \neq \lambda\})x = 0$ , cf. (34.18), whence  $P(\{\lambda\})x = x$ . The last statement follows from the fact that the support of  $P$  is all of  $\text{sp}(b)$ .  $\square$

(35.9). **DEFINITION** ( $\Delta^*(A)$ ). If  $A$  is a  $*$ -algebra, we denote the set of Hermitian multiplicative linear functionals on  $A$  by  $\underline{\Delta^*(A)}$  and equip it with the weak\* topology.

(35.10). **DEFINITION** ( $\text{sp}(\pi), \pi^*$ ). Let  $A$  be a commutative  $*$ -algebra. Let  $\pi$  be a non-zero representation of  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $H \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $B$  denote the closure of  $R(\pi)$  in  $B(H)$ . We define

$$\text{sp}(\pi) := \{ \tau \circ \pi : \tau \in \Delta(B) \}.$$

Then  $\text{sp}(\pi)$  is a subset of  $\Delta^*(A)$  with  $\text{sp}(\pi) \cup \{0\}$  weak\* compact. Hence  $\text{sp}(\pi)$  is a closed and locally compact subset of  $\Delta^*(A)$ . The functions  $\widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)}$  ( $a \in A$ ) are dense in  $C_0(\text{sp}(\pi))$  by the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, cf. the appendix (45.5). The “adjoint” map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^* : \Delta(B) &\rightarrow \text{sp}(\pi) \\ \tau &\mapsto \tau \circ \pi \end{aligned}$$

is a homeomorphism.

PROOF. For  $\tau \in \Delta(B)$ , the functional  $\tau \circ \pi$  is non-zero by density of  $R(\pi)$  in  $B$ . We have  $\text{sp}(\pi) \subset \Delta^*(A)$  by (14.19). Extend the “adjoint” map  $\pi^*$  to a map  $\Delta(B) \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \text{sp}(\pi) \cup \{0\}$ , taking 0 to 0. The extended map is bijective and continuous by the universal property of the weak\* topology, cf. the appendix (45.3). Since  $\Delta(B) \cup \{0\}$  is compact (15.3), and  $\text{sp}(\pi) \cup \{0\}$  is Hausdorff, it follows that the extended map is a homeomorphism. In particular,  $\text{sp}(\pi) \cup \{0\}$  is compact, whence the statement.  $\square$

(35.11). **REMARK.** Assume that furthermore  $A$  is a normed  $*$ -algebra. Since a multiplicative linear functional on a Banach algebra is contractive (14.2), we have the following facts. If  $\pi$  is weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ , then each  $\tau \in \text{sp}(\pi)$  is contractive on  $A_{sa}$ , cf. (22.5), (22.7). Also, if  $\pi$  is weakly continuous, then each  $\tau \in \text{sp}(\pi)$  is contractive, cf. (22.12).

(35.12). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative  $*$ -algebra. Let  $\pi$  be a non-zero representation of  $A$  in a Hilbert space. Then*

$$\text{sp}(\pi(a)) \setminus \{0\} = \widehat{a}(\text{sp}(\pi)) \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{for all } a \in A,$$

whence, by (7.6),

$$\|\pi(a)\| = \|\widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)}\|_\infty \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

PROOF. If  $B$  denotes the closure of  $R(\pi)$ , and  $a \in A$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sp}(\pi(a)) \setminus \{0\} &= \widehat{\pi(a)}(\Delta(B)) \setminus \{0\} && \text{by (14.14)} \\ &= \widehat{a}(\text{sp}(\pi)) \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

(35.13). **THEOREM** (the Spectral Theorem for representations). *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of a commutative  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $H \neq \{0\}$ . There then exists a unique resolution of identity  $P$  on  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ , acting on  $H$ , such that*

$$\pi(a) = \int_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} dP \quad (\text{in norm}) \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

One says that  $P$  is the spectral resolution of  $\pi$ . We have

$$\pi' = P',$$

and the support of  $P$  is all of  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ .

PROOF. Uniqueness follows from the fact that the functions  $\widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)}$  ( $a \in A$ ) are dense in  $C_0(\text{sp}(\pi))$ , cf. (35.10). For existence, consider the closure  $B$  of  $R(\pi)$ . It is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra acting non-degenerately on  $H$ . The spectral resolution  $Q$  of  $B$  is a resolution of identity on  $\Delta(B)$  such that

$$b = \int \widehat{b} dQ \quad \text{for all } b \in B,$$

$$B' = Q',$$

and such that the support of  $Q$  is all of  $\Delta(B)$ . Let  $P$  be the image of  $Q$  under the “adjoint” map

$$\pi^* : \Delta(B) \rightarrow \text{sp}(\pi)$$

$$\tau \mapsto \tau \circ \pi,$$

which is a homeomorphism, cf. (35.10). (For the notion of an image of a spectral measure, recall (34.22), and see the appendix (46.5) - (46.8).) For  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\int_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} dP = \int \widehat{\pi(a)} dQ = \pi(a),$$

cf. e.g. the appendix (46.8). Since  $R(\pi)$  is dense in  $B$ , we have

$$\pi' = B' = Q' = P'.$$

Since the “adjoint” map  $\pi^*$  is a homeomorphism, it is clear that the support of  $P$  is all of  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ .  $\square$

(35.14). **REMARK.** The uniqueness statement presupposes that the resolution of identity lives on  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ .

The hurried reader can go from here to chapter 10 on unbounded self-adjoint operators.

### § 36. Von Neumann Algebras

Let throughout  $H$  denote a Hilbert space.

We now come to commutants and second commutants in  $B(H)$ , which we encountered in the preceding paragraphs, especially § 13 and § 23.

(36.1). **DEFINITION.** Let  $S \subset B(H)$ . The set of all operators in  $B(H)$  which commute with every operator in  $S$  is called the commutant of  $S$  and is denoted by  $S'$ . Please note that  $S \subset S''$ .

(36.2). **PROPOSITION.** For two subsets  $S, T$  of  $B(H)$ , we have

$$T \subset S \Rightarrow S' \subset T'.$$

(36.3). **THEOREM.** For a subset  $S$  of  $B(H)$ , we have

$$S''' = S'.$$

PROOF. From  $S \subset S''$  it follows that  $(S'')' \subset S'$ . Also  $S' \subset (S')''$ .  $\square$

Recall that we called a subset of a  $*$ -algebra  $*$ -stable (or self-adjoint), if with each element  $a$ , it also contains the adjoint  $a^*$ , cf. (13.1).

(36.4). **PROPOSITION.** Let  $S$  be a subset of  $B(H)$ . If  $S$  is  $*$ -stable, then  $S'$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

(36.5). **DEFINITION.** A von Neumann algebra or  $W^*$ -algebra on  $H$  is a subset of  $B(H)$  which is the commutant of a  $*$ -stable subset of  $B(H)$ .

(36.6). **PROPOSITION.** If  $H \neq \{0\}$ , then each von Neumann algebra on  $H$  is a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$ .

(36.7). **EXAMPLE.**  $B(H)$  is a von Neumann algebra because  $B(H) = (C1)'$ . If  $\pi$  is a representation of a  $*$ -algebra in a Hilbert space, then  $\pi'$  is a von Neumann algebra.

Von Neumann algebras come in pairs:

(36.8). **THEOREM.** If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a von Neumann algebra, then

- (i)  $\mathcal{M}'$  is a von Neumann algebra,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{M}'' = \mathcal{M}$ .

The property in the following theorem is often taken as the definition of von Neumann algebras.



(36.9). **THEOREM.** *A  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $A$  of  $B(H)$  is a von Neumann algebra if and only if  $A'' = A$ .*

For two vectors  $x, y \in H$ , we shall denote by  $x \odot y$  the bounded operator of rank one on  $H$  given by  $(x \odot y)z := \langle z, y \rangle x$  ( $z \in H$ ).

(36.10). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H$ . If  $a \in B(H)$  commutes with all the bounded operators  $x_i \odot x_j$  ( $i, j \in I$ ), then  $a$  is a scalar multiple of the unit operator on  $H$ .*

PROOF. If  $a$  commutes with each  $x_i \odot x_j$  ( $i, j \in I$ ), we get

$$\langle az, x_j \rangle x_i = \langle z, x_j \rangle ax_i \quad \text{for all } z \in H, i, j \in I.$$

Especially for  $z = x_k$  ( $k \in I$ ), we obtain

$$\langle ax_k, x_j \rangle x_i = \langle x_k, x_j \rangle ax_i = \delta_{kj} ax_i.$$

In particular we have

$$\langle ax_k, x_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } k, j \in I, k \neq j$$

and

$$\langle ax_j, x_j \rangle x_i = ax_i \quad \text{for all } i, j \in I.$$

It follows that there exists some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\langle ax_j, x_j \rangle = \lambda \quad \text{for all } j \in I.$$

Thus

$$\langle ax_i, x_j \rangle = \lambda \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for all } i, j \in I. \quad \square$$

(36.11). **COROLLARY.** *We have  $B(H)' = \mathbb{C}1$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{C}1$  is a von Neumann algebra.*

(36.12). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $S$  is a  $*$ -stable subset of  $B(H)$ , then the set  $S''$  is the smallest von Neumann algebra containing  $S$ .*

PROOF. By the above,  $S''$  is a von Neumann algebra containing  $S$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a von Neumann algebra containing  $S$ , then  $S \subset \mathcal{M}$  whence  $\mathcal{M}' \subset S'$  and thus  $S'' \subset \mathcal{M}'' = \mathcal{M}$ .  $\square$

(36.13). **DEFINITION.** If  $S$  is an arbitrary subset of  $B(H)$ , we denote by  $\underline{W^*(S)}$  the smallest von Neumann algebra containing  $S$ . Obviously  $\underline{W^*(S)} = (\underline{S \cup S^*})''$ . One says that  $\underline{W^*(S)}$  is the von Neumann algebra generated by  $S$ . If  $\pi$  is a representation of a  $*$ -algebra in a Hilbert space, we denote  $\underline{W^*(\pi)} := \underline{W^*(R(\pi))}$ , so that  $\underline{W^*(\pi)} = R(\pi)'' = (\pi')'$ .

(36.14). **PROPOSITION.** *The von Neumann algebra generated by a normal subset (13.1) of  $B(H)$  is commutative.*

PROOF. See (13.5). □

(36.15). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of a commutative  $*$ -algebra in a Hilbert space  $\neq \{0\}$ . Let  $P$  be the spectral resolution of  $\pi$ . We then have  $R(\pi_P) \subset W^*(\pi)$ . In particular, for the spectral projections, we have  $P \subset W^*(\pi)$ .*

PROOF. We have

$$\pi_P' = P' = \pi',$$

see (34.10) & (35.13), whence

$$R(\pi_P) \subset R(\pi_P)'' = (\pi_P')' = (\pi')' = W^*(\pi). \quad \square$$

(36.16). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of a commutative  $*$ -algebra in a Hilbert space  $\neq \{0\}$ . Let  $P$  be the spectral resolution of  $\pi$ . Then  $\pi_P$  and  $\pi$  generate the same von Neumann algebra. Furthermore we have  $W^*(\pi) = W^*(P)$ .*

PROOF. This follows again from  $\pi_P' = P' = \pi'$ . □

Please note that  $R(\pi_P)$  is merely the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $P$ , cf. (34.9). On a non-separable Hilbert space, it can happen that  $W^*(\pi)$  is strictly larger than  $R(\pi_P)$ , cf. (39.11) below.

(36.17). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a commutative von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $\neq \{0\}$ . Let  $P$  be the spectral resolution of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We then have  $\mathcal{M} = R(\pi_P)$ .*

PROOF. By the Spectral Theorem we have  $\mathcal{M} \subset R(\pi_P)$ , and by (36.15) we also have  $R(\pi_P) \subset W^*(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$ . □

(36.18). **DEFINITION** ( $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ ). For a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$  the set of projections in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

(36.19). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a commutative von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $\neq \{0\}$ . Let  $P$  be the spectral resolution of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We then have  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) = P$  and  $\mathcal{M} = \overline{\text{span}}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}))$ .*

PROOF. This follows from  $\mathcal{M} = R(\pi_P) = \overline{\text{span}}(P)$ , cf. (36.17) & (34.9), and from the fact that every projection in  $R(\pi_P)$  is of the form  $P(\omega)$ , cf. (34.14). □

(36.20). **THEOREM.** *A von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies*

$$\mathcal{M} = \overline{\text{span}}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})).$$

*For emphasis: a von Neumann algebra is the closed linear span of its projections.*

**PROOF.** Let  $c \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $c$  can be decomposed as  $c = a + ib$  with  $a, b \in \mathcal{M}_{sa}$ . It now suffices to consider the commutative von Neumann algebras  $W^*(a), W^*(b) \subset \mathcal{M}$ , cf. (36.14).  $\square$

The above theorem is of fundamental importance for representation theory. With its help, questions concerning a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  can be transferred to questions concerning the set  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$  of projections in  $\mathcal{M}$ . E.g. Schur's Lemma (31.3) appears trivial in this light.

(36.21). **COROLLARY.** *A von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies*

$$\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})',$$

*whence also  $\mathcal{M} = W^*(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}))$ .*

A nice observation is the following one:

(36.22). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a commutative von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $\neq \{0\}$ . Let  $P$  be the spectral resolution of  $\mathcal{M}$ . The imbedding of  $C(\Delta(\mathcal{M}))$  into  $L^\infty(P)$  then is an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras. In particular, for a bounded Borel function  $f$  on  $\Delta(\mathcal{M})$ , there exists a unique continuous function which is  $P$ -a.e. equal to  $f$ .*

**PROOF.** The mapping in question is an imbedding indeed as the support of  $P$  is all of  $\Delta(\mathcal{M})$ , cf. (35.3). It is surjective by  $\mathcal{M} = R(\pi_P)$ , cf. (36.17). It thus is a  $*$ -algebra isomorphism, and therefore isometric, cf. (8.5).  $\square$

We shall need the following technical lemma.

(36.23). **LEMMA.** *Let  $\pi$  be a representation of a  $*$ -algebra in  $H$ . Let  $b \in W^*(\pi)$ . We then also have  $\oplus_n b \in W^*(\oplus_n \pi)$ . Furthermore, if  $x \in \oplus_n H$ , and  $M := \overline{R(\oplus_n \pi)x}$ , then the closed subspace  $M$  is invariant under  $\oplus_n b$ , and  $(\oplus_n b)|_M \in W^*((\oplus_n \pi)|_M)$ . (Here,  $\oplus_n$  is shorthand for  $\oplus_{n=1}^\infty$ .)*

**PROOF.** The first statement follows from the fact that each element  $c$  of  $(\oplus_n \pi)'$  has a "matrix representation"  $(c_{mn})_{m,n}$  with each  $c_{mn} \in \pi'$ . Clearly  $M$  is a closed subspace of  $\oplus_n H$ , invariant under  $\oplus_n \pi$ . With  $p$  denoting the projection on  $M$ , it follows that  $p \in (\oplus_n \pi)'$ , cf. (23.5). From the first statement, we have  $\oplus_n b \in W^*(\oplus_n \pi)$ , so that  $\oplus_n b$  commutes with  $p$ , which in turn implies that  $M$  is invariant under  $\oplus_n b$ , by (23.5) again. But then also  $(\oplus_n b)|_M \in W^*((\oplus_n \pi)|_M)$ .  $\square$

The remainder of this paragraph won't be used in the sequel, though it is of considerable importance.

We shall need the following somewhat lengthy definition.

(36.24). **DEFINITION.** The strong operator topology on  $B(H)$  is the locally convex topology induced by the seminorms

$$a \mapsto \|ax\| \quad (x \in H).$$

The weak operator topology on  $B(H)$  is the locally convex topology induced by the linear functionals

$$a \mapsto \langle ax, y \rangle \quad (x, y \in H).$$

The weak operator topology on  $B(H)$  is weaker than the strong operator topology on  $B(H)$  which in turn is weaker than the norm topology.

The  $\sigma$ -strong topology on  $B(H)$  is the locally convex topology induced by the seminorms

$$a \mapsto \left( \sum_n \|ax_n\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

with  $(x_n)$  any sequence in  $H$  such that  $(\|x_n\|) \in \ell^2$ .

The  $\sigma$ -weak topology on  $B(H)$  is the locally convex topology induced by the linear functionals

$$a \mapsto \sum_n \langle ax_n, y_n \rangle$$

with  $(x_n), (y_n)$  any sequences in  $H$  such that  $(\|x_n\|), (\|y_n\|) \in \ell^2$ .

The  $\sigma$ -weak topology is weaker than the  $\sigma$ -strong topology which in turn is weaker than the norm topology.

Also, the weak operator topology is weaker than the  $\sigma$ -weak topology, and similarly the strong operator topology is weaker than the  $\sigma$ -strong topology.

(36.25). **THEOREM** (von Neumann's Bicommutant Theorem). *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of a  $*$ -algebra in  $H$ . Then  $W^*(\pi)$  is the closure of  $R(\pi)$  in any of the four topologies: strong operator topology, weak operator topology,  $\sigma$ -strong topology, and  $\sigma$ -weak topology.*

PROOF. It is easily seen that a von Neumann algebra is closed in the weak operator topology, and hence in all the four topologies, because the weak operator topology is the weakest among them. Since the  $\sigma$ -strong topology is the strongest of these topologies, it suffices to prove that  $R(\pi)$  is  $\sigma$ -strongly dense in  $W^*(\pi)$ . Let  $b \in W^*(\pi)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $H$  with  $(\|x_n\|) \in \ell^2$ . It suffices to show that there exists an element  $a$  of the  $*$ -algebra such that

$$\left( \sum_n \| (b - \pi(a)) x_n \|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \varepsilon.$$

Consider  $x := \oplus_n x_n \in \oplus_n H$  and put  $M := \overline{R(\oplus_n \pi) x}$ . Now  $M$  is a closed subspace invariant and cyclic under  $\oplus_n \pi$ , with cyclic vector  $x$ , cf. (23.8). The closed subspace  $M$  is invariant under  $\oplus_n b$  by lemma (36.23). Since then  $(\oplus_n b) x \in M$ , there exists by definition of  $M$  an element  $a$  of the  $*$ -algebra with

$$\| (\oplus_n b) x - (\oplus_n \pi(a)) x \| < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

(36.26). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $A$  be a  $*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$  acting non-degenerately on  $H$ . Then  $W^*(A)$  is the closure of  $A$  in any of the four topologies: strong operator topology, weak operator topology,  $\sigma$ -strong topology, and  $\sigma$ -weak topology.*

(36.27). **COROLLARY.** *The von Neumann algebras on  $H$  are precisely the  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $B(H)$  acting non-degenerately on  $H$  which are closed in any, hence all, of the four topologies: strong operator topology, weak operator topology,  $\sigma$ -strong topology, and  $\sigma$ -weak topology.*

(36.28). **REMARK.** Some authors define von Neumann algebras as  $C^*$ -algebras of bounded operators on a Hilbert space, which are closed in the strong operator topology, say. This is a more inclusive definition than ours, but one can always put oneself in our situation by considering a smaller Hilbert space, cf. (23.6).

We mention here that among the four topologies considered above, the  $\sigma$ -weak topology is of greatest interest, because it actually is a weak\* topology resulting from a predual of  $B(H)$ , namely the Banach  $*$ -algebra of trace class operators on  $H$ , equipped with the trace-norm.



## CHAPTER 9

### Multiplication Operators and their Applications

#### § 37. Multiplication Operators

(37.1). **DEFINITION** ( $M_1(\Omega)$ ). For a locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ , we denote by  $\underline{M_1(\Omega)}$  the set of inner regular Borel probability measures on  $\Omega$ .

(37.2). **THEOREM** (multiplication operators). *Let  $\Omega$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let  $\mu \in M_1(\Omega)$ . If  $g$  is a fixed  $\mu$ -measurable function on  $\Omega$ , one defines*

$$\mathcal{D}(g) := \{ f \in L^2(\mu) : gf \in L^2(\mu) \}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} M_\mu(g) : \mathcal{D}(g) &\rightarrow L^2(\mu) \\ f &\mapsto gf. \end{aligned}$$

*One says that  $M_\mu(g)$  is the multiplication operator with  $g$ . Then  $M_\mu(g)$  is bounded if and only if  $g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$ , in which case*

$$\| M_\mu(g) \| = \| g \|_{\mu, \infty}.$$

*For  $M_\mu(g)$  to be bounded, it suffices to be bounded on  $C_c(\Omega)$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$ , one sees that  $\| M_\mu(g) \| \leq \| g \|_{\mu, \infty}$ . The converse inequality is established as follows. Assume that

$$\| gh \|_{\mu, 2} \leq c \| h \|_{\mu, 2} \quad \text{for all } h \in C_c(\Omega).$$

It shall be proved that  $\| g \|_{\mu, \infty} \leq c$ . Let  $A := \{ t \in \Omega : |g(t)| > c \}$ , which is a  $\mu$ -measurable set, and thus  $\mu$ -integrable. We have to show that  $A$  is a  $\mu$ -null set. For this it is enough to show that each compact subset of  $A$  is a  $\mu$ -null set. (By inner regularity of the completion of  $\mu$ .) So let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $A$ . For  $h \in C_c(\Omega)$  with  $1_K \leq h \leq 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int |g|^2 1_K d\mu &\leq \int |gh^{1/2}|^2 d\mu = \| gh^{1/2} \|_{\mu, 2}^2 \\ &\leq c^2 \| h^{1/2} \|_{\mu, 2}^2 = c^2 \int h d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by taking the infimum over all such functions  $h$ , we obtain

$$\int |g|^2 1_K d\mu \leq c^2 \mu(K).$$

Since  $|g| > c$  on  $K$ , the set  $K$  must be  $\mu$ -null, whence  $A$  is a  $\mu$ -null set. We have shown that  $\|g\|_{\mu, \infty} \leq c$ .  $\square$

(37.3). **LEMMA.** *Let  $\mu \in M_1(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space. If a bounded linear operator  $b$  on  $L^2(\mu)$  commutes with every  $M_\mu(f)$  with  $f \in C_c(\Omega)$ , then  $b = M_\mu(g)$  for some  $g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$ .*

PROOF. Please note first that  $1_\Omega \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mu)$ . For every  $f \in C_c(\Omega)$  we have

$$bf = b(M_\mu(f)1_\Omega) = M_\mu(f)(b1_\Omega) = M_\mu(f)g$$

with  $g := b1_\Omega \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mu)$ . We continue to compute

$$M_\mu(f)g = fg = gf = M_\mu(g)f.$$

Thus the operators  $b$  and  $M_\mu(g)$  coincide on  $C_c(\Omega)$ . Then  $M_\mu(g)$  is bounded by the last statement of the preceding theorem, and so  $g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$ . By density of  $C_c(\Omega)$  in  $L^2(\mu)$ , cf. (46.9), it follows that  $b$  and  $M_\mu(g)$  coincide everywhere.  $\square$

(37.4). **DEFINITION** (maximal commutative  $*$ -subalgebras). If  $H$  is a Hilbert space, then a maximal commutative  $*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$  is a commutative  $*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$  which is not properly contained in any other commutative  $*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$ .

(37.5). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $H$  is a Hilbert space, then a  $*$ -subalgebra  $A$  of  $B(H)$  is maximal commutative if and only if  $A' = A$ .*

PROOF. For  $a = a^* \in A'$ , the  $*$ -subalgebra generated by  $A$  and  $a$  is a commutative  $*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$ .  $\square$

In particular maximal commutative  $*$ -subalgebras of  $B(H)$  are von Neumann algebras.

(37.6). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $\mu \in M_1(\Omega)$ , with  $\Omega$  a locally compact Hausdorff space. The mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} L^\infty(\mu) &\rightarrow B(L^2(\mu)) \\ g &\mapsto M_\mu(g) \end{aligned}$$

*establishes an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras onto a maximal commutative  $*$ -subalgebra of  $B(L^2(\mu))$ . In short, one speaks of the maximal commutative von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(\mu)$ .*



### § 38. The Spectral Representation

In this paragraph, we shall consider a fixed cyclic representation  $\pi$  of a commutative  $*$ -algebra  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $H \neq \{0\}$ . We shall denote by  $P$  the spectral resolution of  $\pi$ .

(38.1). **THEOREM.** *If  $c$  is a unit cyclic vector for  $\pi$ , there exists a unique measure  $\mu \in M_1(\text{sp}(\pi))$  such that*

$$\langle \pi(a)c, c \rangle = \int \widehat{a} d\mu \quad \text{for all } a \in A,$$

*namely  $\mu = \langle Pc, c \rangle$ . The representation  $\pi$  then is spatially equivalent to the so-called spectral representation  $\pi_\mu$ , given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\mu : A &\rightarrow B(L^2(\mu)) \\ a &\mapsto M_\mu(\widehat{a}). \end{aligned}$$

*The philosophy is that the spectral representation  $\pi_\mu$  is particularly simple as it acts through multiplication operators.*

PROOF. Uniqueness follows from the fact that  $\{\widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} : a \in A\}$  is dense in  $C_0(\text{sp}(\pi))$ , cf. (35.10). Let  $\psi$  be the positive linear functional on  $A$  defined by

$$\psi(a) := \langle \pi(a)c, c \rangle \quad (a \in A).$$

Putting  $\mu := \langle Pc, c \rangle$ , it is clear that

$$\psi(a) = \int_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} d\mu \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

Now consider the dense subspace

$$H_o := \{ \pi(a)c \in H : a \in A \}$$

of  $H$ . An isometry  $V : H_o \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$  is constructed in the following way: For  $x = \pi(a)c \in H_o$ , define  $Vx := \widehat{a} \in L^2(\mu)$ . It has to be verified that this is well-defined. So let  $\pi(b)c = \pi(a)c$ . For  $d \in A$  we obtain

$$\psi(db) = \langle \pi(d)\pi(b)c, c \rangle = \langle \pi(d)\pi(a)c, c \rangle = \psi(da),$$

whence

$$\int \widehat{d} \widehat{b} d\mu = \int \widehat{d} \widehat{a} d\mu \quad \text{for all } d \in A,$$

which implies

$$\int f \widehat{b} d\mu = \int f \widehat{a} d\mu \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0(\text{sp}(\pi)).$$

This means that the measures  $\widehat{b} \cdot \mu$  and  $\widehat{a} \cdot \mu$  are equal, whence

$$\widehat{b} = \widehat{a} \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

It shall next be shown that the mapping  $V$  defined so far is isometric. For  $a \in A$  we have

$$\|\pi(a)c\|^2 = \psi(a^*a) = \int |\widehat{a}|^2 d\mu = \|\widehat{a}\|_{\mu,2}^2.$$

It shall now be proved that

$$V\pi(a) = \pi_\mu(a)V \quad \text{on } H_0$$

for all  $a \in A$ . So let  $a \in A$  be fixed. For  $x = \pi(b)c$  we have

$$\pi_\mu(a)Vx = M_\mu(\widehat{a})Vx = M_\mu(\widehat{a})\widehat{b} = \widehat{a}\widehat{b}$$

as well as

$$V\pi(a)x = V\pi(ab)c = \widehat{ab} = \widehat{a}\widehat{b}.$$

The closure of  $V$  then is a unitary operator which intertwines  $\pi$  and  $\pi_\mu$ , cf. (23.14).  $\square$

(38.2). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $A$  furthermore is a commutative normed  $*$ -algebra, if  $\psi$  is a state on  $A$ , and if in the above we choose  $\pi := \pi_\psi$ ,  $c := c_\psi$ , then the measure obtained is essentially the same as the one provided by the abstract Bochner Theorem (30.7), cf. (35.11).*

(38.3). **THEOREM.** *Let  $c$  be a unit cyclic vector for  $\pi$ , and let  $\mu = \langle Pc, c \rangle \in M_1(\text{sp}(\pi))$ . For a Borel set  $\omega$  in  $\text{sp}(\pi)$  one defines*

$$P_\mu(\omega) := M_\mu(1_\omega) \in B(L^2(\mu)).$$

*The map*

$$P_\mu : \omega \mapsto P_\mu(\omega) = M_\mu(1_\omega)$$

*then is a resolution of identity on  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ . Indeed we have*

$$\langle P_\mu x, y \rangle = x \overline{y} \cdot \mu \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L^2(\mu).$$

*The resolution of identity  $P_\mu$  is the spectral resolution of  $\pi_\mu$ . For every bounded Borel function  $f$  on  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ , we have*

$$\pi_{P_\mu}(f) = M_\mu(f).$$

*It follows that the  $C^*$ -algebra  $R(\pi_{P_\mu})$  is equal to the maximal commutative von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(\mu)$  (37.6). Indeed a function  $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$  is  $\mu$ -a.e. equal to a bounded Borel (even Baire) function, cf. the appendix (46.10).*

PROOF. For a Borel set  $\omega$  in  $\text{sp}(\pi)$  and  $x, y$  in  $L^2(\mu)$ , we have

$$\langle P_\mu(\omega) x, y \rangle = \langle M_\mu(1_\omega) x, y \rangle = \int 1_\omega x \bar{y} d\mu,$$

whence

$$\langle P_\mu x, y \rangle = x \bar{y} \cdot \mu.$$

It follows that for each  $x \in H$ , the measure  $\langle P_\mu x, x \rangle$  is an inner regular Borel measure on  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ , so that  $P_\mu$  is a resolution of identity on  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ . Next, for  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\langle \pi_\mu(a) x, y \rangle = \langle M_\mu(\hat{a}) x, y \rangle = \int \hat{a} x \bar{y} d\mu = \int \hat{a} d\langle P_\mu x, y \rangle$$

for all  $x, y \in L^2(\mu)$ , which says that

$$\pi_\mu(a) = \int_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \hat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} dP_\mu = \int_{\text{sp}(\pi_\mu)} \hat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi_\mu)} dP_\mu \quad (\text{weakly}).$$

(Please note here that  $\text{sp}(\pi_\mu) = \text{sp}(\pi)$  as both representations are spatially equivalent.) This implies that  $P_\mu$  is the spectral resolution of  $\pi_\mu$ . For a bounded Borel function  $f$  on  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ , we have

$$\pi_{P_\mu}(f) = \int f dP_\mu \quad (\text{weakly}),$$

so that for  $x, y \in L^2(\mu)$  we get

$$\langle \pi_{P_\mu}(f) x, y \rangle = \int f d\langle P_\mu x, y \rangle = \int f x \bar{y} d\mu = \langle M_\mu(f) x, y \rangle.$$

This shows that

$$\pi_{P_\mu}(f) = M_\mu(f). \quad \square$$

(38.4). **THEOREM.** *Let  $Q$  be the restriction of  $P$  to the Baire sets. Then*

$$R(\pi_Q) = R(\pi_P) = W^*(\pi) = \pi'$$

*is a maximal commutative von Neumann algebra.*

PROOF. Let  $c$  be a cyclic unit vector for  $\pi$  and let  $\mu$  be the measure  $\langle P c, c \rangle$ . Then  $\pi$  is spatially equivalent to  $\pi_\mu$ , cf. (38.1). Let  $S$  denote the restriction of  $P_\mu$  to the Baire sets. The equalities

$$R(\pi_S) = R(\pi_{P_\mu}) = W^*(\pi_\mu) = \pi_\mu'$$

follow from the fact that  $R(\pi_S)$  is a maximal commutative von Neumann algebra, cf. the last two statements of the preceding theorem (38.3).  $\square$

Please note that if  $\pi$  is not necessarily cyclic, we merely have the inclusions

$$R(\pi_Q) \subset R(\pi_P) \subset W^*(\pi) \subset \pi'.$$

The last inclusion holds by commutativity of  $W^*(\pi)$ , cf. (36.14).

(38.5). **COROLLARY** (reducing subspaces). *A closed subspace  $M$  of  $H$  reduces  $\pi$  if and only if  $M$  is the range of some  $P(\omega)$ , where  $\omega$  is a Borel (or even Baire) subset of  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $Q$  be the restriction of  $P$  to the Baire sets. Let  $M$  be a closed subspace of  $H$  and let  $p$  denote the projection on  $M$ . The subspace  $M$  reduces  $\pi$  if and only if  $p \in \pi' = R(\pi_Q)$ , cf. (23.5) and the preceding theorem. However a projection in  $R(\pi_Q)$  is of the form  $Q(\omega)$  for some Baire subset  $\omega$  of  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ , cf. (34.14).  $\square$

Please note that the above statement does not explicitly involve any specific cyclic vector.

(38.6). **REMARK.** Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of a commutative  $*$ -algebra in a Hilbert space  $\neq \{0\}$ . Then  $\pi$  is the direct sum of cyclic subrepresentations as has been shown in (23.13). Hence  $\pi$  is spatially equivalent to a direct sum of spectral representations. Using some care, one can even give the operators in  $R(\pi)$  a representation as multiplication operators, but then these multiplication operators will in general live on a larger space than  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ . Also, the measure won't be a probability measure in general. We refer the reader to Mosak [45, Thm. (7.11) p. 104]. We shall bypass this construction, and show instead that in the case of a separable Hilbert space (the case of interest), we can give the operators in  $R(\pi)$  a representation as multiplication operators living on  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ , see (39.1). However this new representation won't be implemented by a unitary operator like in a spatial equivalence; it will only be a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism.

### § 39. Separability: the Range

(This paragraph and the next one can be skipped as a whole.)

In this paragraph, let  $H$  be a Hilbert space  $\neq \{0\}$ .

(39.1). **INTRODUCTION.** Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of a commutative  $*$ -algebra in  $H$ , and let  $P$  be the spectral resolution of  $\pi$ . The representation  $\pi_P$  factors to an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras from  $L^\infty(P)$  onto  $R(\pi_P)$ , cf. (34.21). It shall be shown in this paragraph that if  $H$  is separable, then (on the side of the *range* of the factored map), the  $C^*$ -algebra  $R(\pi_P)$  is all of the von Neumann algebra  $W^*(\pi)$ , cf. (36.15). It shall be shown in the next paragraph that if  $H$  is separable, then (on the side of the *domain* of the factored map), the  $C^*$ -algebra  $L^\infty(P)$  can be identified with the maximal commutative von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(\langle Px, x \rangle)$  for some unit vector  $x \in H$ . Thus, in the case of a separable Hilbert space, the representation  $\pi_P$  factors to a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism of von Neumann algebras. This  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism  $L^\infty(\langle Px, x \rangle) \rightarrow W^*(\pi)$  is called the  $L^\infty$  functional calculus. The inverse of this map represents the operators in  $W^*(\pi)$  as multiplication operators living on  $\text{sp}(\pi)$ . (So much for the titles of these two paragraphs.)

(39.2). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of a commutative  $*$ -algebra in  $H$ . Let  $P$  be the spectral resolution of  $\pi$ , and let  $b \in W^*(\pi)$ . Then for any sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $H$ , there exists a bounded Baire function  $f$  on  $\text{sp}(\pi)$  with*

$$bx_n = \pi_P(f)x_n \quad \text{for all } n.$$

PROOF. We can assume that  $(\|x_n\|) \in \ell^2$ . Let  $x := \oplus_n x_n \in \oplus_n H$ , and put  $M := \overline{R(\oplus_n \pi)x}$ . Then  $M$  is a closed subspace invariant and cyclic under  $\oplus_n \pi$ , with cyclic vector  $x$ , cf. (23.8). Let  $Q$  denote the spectral resolution of the cyclic representation  $(\oplus_n \pi)|_M$ . Let  $S$  denote the restriction of  $Q$  to the Baire sets. We have  $W^*((\oplus_n \pi)|_M) = R(\pi_S)$  by (38.4). By Lemma (36.23), the closed subspace  $M$  is invariant under  $\oplus_n b$ , and  $(\oplus_n b)|_M \in W^*((\oplus_n \pi)|_M)$ . It follows that  $(\oplus_n b)|_M \in R(\pi_S)$ , and so there exists a bounded Baire function  $f$  on  $\text{sp}(\pi)$  with  $(\oplus_n b)|_M = \pi_S(f)$ . Since  $\pi_Q = (\oplus_n \pi_P)|_M$  by the uniqueness property of the spectral resolution, it follows that  $bx_n = \pi_P(f)x_n$  for all  $n$ .  $\square$

An immediate consequence is:

(39.3). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of a commutative  $*$ -algebra in  $H$ . Let  $P$  be the spectral resolution of  $\pi$ , and let  $Q$  be the restriction of  $P$  to the Baire sets. If  $H$  is separable, then*

$$W^*(\pi) = R(\pi_P) = R(\pi_Q).$$

(39.4). **DEFINITION** (function of a normal bounded operator). Let  $b$  be a normal bounded linear operator on  $H$ . Let  $P$  be its spectral resolution. If  $f$  is a bounded Borel function on  $\text{sp}(b)$ , one writes

$$f(b) := \pi_P(f) = \int f dP \quad (\text{in norm}),$$

and one says that  $f(b)$  is a bounded Borel function of  $b$ .

Please note that the spectral projections  $P(\omega)$  with  $\omega$  a Borel subset of  $\text{sp}(b)$ , are bounded Borel functions of  $b$ , as  $P(\omega) = \pi_P(1_\omega) = 1_\omega(b)$ .

(39.5). **THEOREM** (von Neumann). *Let  $b$  be a normal bounded linear operator on  $H$ . If  $H$  is separable, then a bounded linear operator on  $H$  is a bounded Borel function of  $b$  if and only if it commutes with every bounded linear operator in  $H$  which commutes with  $b$ .*

With a little effort, we can improve on (39.3), see (39.10) below.

(39.6). **DEFINITION** (Borel  $*$ -algebras [56, 4.5.5]). A Borel  $*$ -algebra on  $H$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $B(H)$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_{sa}$  contains the supremum in  $B(H)_{sa}$  of each increasing sequence in  $\mathcal{B}_{sa}$  which is upper bounded in  $B(H)_{sa}$ . (Cf. (19.19).)

(39.7). **EXAMPLE**. When  $P$  is a spectral measure, then  $R(\pi_P)$  is a commutative Borel  $*$ -algebra containing  $\mathbb{1}$ . (Use (19.4) & (34.19).)

Since the intersection of Borel  $*$ -algebras on  $H$  is a Borel  $*$ -algebra, questions can be raised on the Borel  $*$ -algebra generated by a set of operators.

(39.8). **THEOREM**. *Let  $A$  be a commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$  containing  $\mathbb{1}$ . Let  $P$  be the spectral resolution of  $A$ , and let  $Q$  be the restriction of  $P$  to the Baire sets. Then  $R(\pi_Q)$  is the Borel  $*$ -algebra generated by  $A$ .*

**PROOF**. By the preceding example,  $R(\pi_Q)$  is a Borel  $*$ -algebra containing  $A$ . It shall be shown that  $R(\pi_Q)$  is the smallest Borel  $*$ -algebra containing  $A$ . For this purpose, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any other Borel  $*$ -algebra containing  $R(\pi)$ . It then suffices to show that  $\pi_P(f) \in \mathcal{C}$  whenever  $f$  is a bounded Baire function. It is enough to show this for  $f$  of the form  $f = 1_\omega$  where  $\omega$  is a Baire set. (This is so because every bounded Baire function is the uniform limit of Baire step functions.) But then it suffices to show that  $\pi_P(f) \in \mathcal{C}$  whenever  $f$  is of the form  $f = 1_{\{g=0\}}$

for some  $g \in C(\Delta(A))$ . This is so because the Baire  $\sigma$ -algebra is generated by the sets of the form  $\{g = 0\}$  where  $g$  runs through  $C(\Delta(A))$ , cf. the appendix (46.1). One then concludes with

$$1_{\{g=0\}} = 1 - \bigvee_{n \geq 1} (1 \wedge n |g|). \quad \square$$

(39.9). **COROLLARY.** *A commutative Borel  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  containing  $\mathbb{1}$  and acting on a separable Hilbert space is a von Neumann algebra.*

PROOF. Let  $P$  be the spectral resolution of  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $Q$  be the restriction of  $P$  to the Baire sets. We then have  $W^*(\mathcal{B}) = R(\pi_Q) = \mathcal{B}$ , cf. (39.3) and (39.8).  $\square$

(39.10). **THEOREM.** *If  $P$  is a spectral measure acting on a separable Hilbert space, then  $R(\pi_P)$  is a von Neumann algebra.*

(39.11). **REMARK.** The theorem of von Neumann (39.5), and with it (39.3) and (39.10), fail to hold in non-separable Hilbert spaces, cf. Folland [60, page 29].

Next three miscellaneous results on Borel  $*$ -algebras.

(39.12). **THEOREM.** *Let  $b$  be a normal bounded linear operator on  $H$ . Let  $P$  be its spectral resolution. Then  $R(\pi_P)$  is the Borel  $*$ -algebra generated by  $b$ ,  $b^*$  and  $\mathbb{1}$ . See also (35.7).*

PROOF. As  $\text{sp}(b)$  is a metric space, the Borel and Baire  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $\text{sp}(b)$  coincide, cf. the appendix (46.2).  $\square$

The next corollary explains the name “Borel  $*$ -algebra”.

(39.13). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Borel  $*$ -algebra containing  $\mathbb{1}$ . If  $b$  is a normal operator in  $\mathcal{B}$ , then every bounded Borel function of  $b$  lies in  $\mathcal{B}$ . In particular the spectral resolution of  $b$  takes values in  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

PROOF. By the preceding theorem, a bounded Borel function of  $b$  lies in the Borel  $*$ -algebra generated by  $b, b^*$  and  $\mathbb{1}$ , which is contained in  $\mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

One says that Borel  $*$ -algebras containing  $\mathbb{1}$  have a Borel functional calculus.

(39.14). **COROLLARY.** *A Borel  $*$ -algebra containing  $\mathbb{1}$  is the closed linear span of its projections.*

PROOF. This is proved like (36.20).  $\square$

### § 40. Separability: the Domain

(This paragraph can be skipped at first reading.)

Let throughout  $H$  denote a Hilbert space  $\neq \{0\}$ , and let  $A$  be a  $*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$ .

(40.1). **DEFINITION** (separating vectors and cyclic vectors). A vector  $x \in H$  is called separating for  $A$ , whenever  $ax = 0$  for some  $a \in A$  implies  $a = 0$ . A vector  $x \in H$  is called cyclic under  $A$  if  $Ax$  is dense in  $H$ , and in this case the  $*$ -algebra  $A$  is called cyclic.

(40.2). **PROPOSITION.** *A vector  $x \in H$  is cyclic under  $A$  if and only if it is separating for  $A'$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $x$  is cyclic under  $A$ , and let  $b \in A'$  with  $bx = 0$ . Then for  $a \in A$ , we have  $bax = abx = 0$ , whence  $b = 0$  as  $Ax$  is dense in  $H$ . Next assume that  $x$  is separating for  $A'$ . With  $p$  denoting the projection on the closed subspace  $\overline{Ax}$ , we have  $p \in A'$  by (23.5), as well as  $px = x$  by (23.8). Thus  $1 - p \in A'$  and  $(1 - p)x = 0$ , which implies  $1 - p = 0$  as  $x$  is separating for  $A'$ . Hence  $p = 1$ , so  $x$  is cyclic under  $A$ .  $\square$

(40.3). **COROLLARY.** *If  $A$  is a commutative cyclic  $*$ -subalgebra of  $B(H)$  with cyclic vector  $c$ , then  $c$  also is a separating vector for  $A$ .*

PROOF. Since  $A$  is commutative, we have  $A \subset A'$ .  $\square$

(40.4). **THEOREM.** *If  $A$  is commutative and if  $H$  is separable, then  $A$  has a separating vector.*

PROOF. Let  $A$  be commutative and  $H$  separable. Then  $H$  is the countable direct sum of closed subspaces  $H_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) invariant under  $A$ , and such that for each  $n \geq 1$ , the subspace  $H_n$  contains a unit cyclic vector  $c_n$  under  $A|_{H_n}$  cf. (23.13). It shall be shown that the vector  $x := \sum_{n \geq 1} 2^{-n/2} c_n \in H$  is a separating vector for  $A$ . So let  $a \in A$  with  $ax = 0$ . With  $p_n$  denoting the projection on the closed invariant subspace  $H_n$ , we have  $p_n \in A'$ , cf. (23.5), and so

$$aAc_n = aAp_nx = Aap_nx = Ap_nax = \{0\},$$

which implies that  $a$  vanishes on  $H_n$ , hence everywhere. We have shown that  $x$  is separating for  $A$ .  $\square$



(40.5). **THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of a  $*$ -algebra in  $H$ . Assume that the  $*$ -algebra is commutative, and that  $H$  is separable. Then  $\pi$  is cyclic if and only if  $\pi'$  is commutative.*

*This gives a characterisation of cyclicity in terms of only the algebraic structure of the commutant.*

PROOF. The “only if” part holds without the assumption of separability on  $H$ , cf. (38.4). The “if” part holds without the assumption of commutativity on the  $*$ -algebra, as we shall show next. If  $\pi'$  is commutative, then since  $H$  is separable,  $\pi'$  has a separating vector, which will be cyclic under  $\pi$ .  $\square$

(40.6). **DEFINITION** (spatial equivalence). Two von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  acting on Hilbert spaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively, are called spatially equivalent, if there exists a unitary operator  $U : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  such that  $U\mathcal{M}_1U^{-1} = \mathcal{M}_2$ .

(40.7). **THEOREM.** *For a commutative von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  on a separable Hilbert space  $\neq \{0\}$ , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\mathcal{M}$  is maximal commutative,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{M}$  is cyclic,
- (iii)  $\mathcal{M}$  is spatially equivalent to the maximal commutative von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(\mu)$  (37.6) for some inner regular Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on a locally compact Hausdorff space.

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): (37.5) and (40.5). (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): (36.17), (38.1) and (38.3). (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): (37.6).  $\square$

We see that on separable Hilbert spaces  $\neq \{0\}$ , the prototypes of the maximal commutative von Neumann algebras are the maximal commutative von Neumann algebras  $L^\infty(\mu)$  with  $\mu$  an inner regular Borel probability measure on a locally compact Hausdorff space.

(40.8). **DEFINITION** (scalar spectral measure). Let  $P$  be a spectral measure, defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{E}$ , and acting on  $H$ . Then a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  is called a scalar spectral measure for  $P$ , if for  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ , one has  $P(\omega) = 0$  precisely when  $\mu(\omega) = 0$ . That is,  $P$  and  $\mu$  should be mutually absolutely continuous.

We restrict the definition of scalar spectral measures to probability measures for the reason that the applications - foremostly the crucial item (40.11) below - require bounded measures.

The following two results deal with the existence of scalar spectral measures.

(40.9). **PROPOSITION** (separating vectors for spectral measures). *Let  $P$  be a spectral measure, defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{E}$ , and acting on  $H$ . Then for a unit vector  $x \in H$ , the probability measure  $\langle Px, x \rangle$  is a scalar spectral measure for  $P$  if and only if  $x$  is separating for  $P$ . (In the obvious extended sense that for  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ , one has  $P(\omega)x = 0$  only when  $P(\omega) = 0$ .)*

PROOF. For  $x \in H$  and  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ , we have

$$\|P(\omega)x\|^2 = \langle Px, x \rangle(\omega),$$

so  $P(\omega)x = 0$  if and only if  $\langle Px, x \rangle(\omega) = 0$ . Therefore  $x$  is separating for  $P$  if and only if  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\langle Px, x \rangle(\omega) = 0$  imply  $P(\omega) = 0$ , i.e. if and only if  $\langle Px, x \rangle$  is a scalar spectral measure.  $\square$

(40.10). **THEOREM**. *Let  $P$  be a spectral measure acting on  $H$ . If  $H$  is separable, then there exists a unit vector  $x \in H$  such that the probability measure  $\langle Px, x \rangle$  is a scalar spectral measure for  $P$ . The vector  $x$  can be chosen to be any unit separating vector for  $P$ , for example any unit separating vector for  $R(\pi_P)$ .*

PROOF. The range  $R(\pi_P)$  has a separating vector by (40.4).  $\square$

The next two results exhibit the purpose of scalar spectral measures.

(40.11). **THEOREM**. *Let  $P$  be a spectral measure, defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{E}$ , and acting on  $H$ . If  $P$  admits a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  as a scalar spectral measure, then we may identify the  $C^*$ -algebras  $L^\infty(P)$  and  $L^\infty(\mu)$ .*

PROOF. Assume that some probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  is a scalar spectral measure for  $P$ . A function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$  then is  $\mu$ -a.e. equal to a function  $g \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$ , cf. the appendix (46.12), and the function  $f$  is  $\mu$ -a.e. zero if and only if the function  $g$  is  $P$ -a.e. zero.  $\square$

(40.12). **THEOREM**. *Let  $P$  be a spectral measure, defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{E}$ , and acting on  $H$ . If  $P$  admits a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  as a scalar spectral measure, then  $\pi_P$  factors to a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism from  $L^\infty(\mu)$  onto  $R(\pi_P)$ .*

PROOF. This follows now from (34.21).  $\square$

The following result probably is our deepest.

(40.13). **THEOREM.** *Let  $P$  be a spectral measure acting on  $H$ . If  $H$  is separable, there exists a unit vector  $x \in H$  such that  $\pi_P$  factors to a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism from  $L^\infty(\langle Px, x \rangle)$  onto  $W^*(P)$ . The vector  $x$  can be chosen to be any unit vector in  $H$ , such that the probability measure  $\langle Px, x \rangle$  is a scalar spectral measure for  $P$ , for example any unit separating vector for  $P$ , or even  $W^*(P)$ .*

PROOF. Since  $H$  is separable, we know from (39.10) that  $R(\pi_P)$  is a von Neumann algebra, namely  $W^*(\pi_P)$ , which equals  $W^*(P)$  by (34.10). The statement follows now from (40.10) and (40.12).  $\square$

The next result is somewhat the conclusion of the saga of the spectral theory of representations by normal bounded operators.

(40.14). **THEOREM** (the  $L^\infty$  functional calculus). *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of a commutative  $*$ -algebra in  $H$ , and let  $P$  be the spectral resolution of  $\pi$ . If  $H$  is separable, there exists a unit vector  $x \in H$  such that the probability measure  $\langle Px, x \rangle$  is a scalar spectral measure for  $P$ , and in this case  $\pi_P$  factors to a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism from  $L^\infty(\langle Px, x \rangle)$  onto  $W^*(\pi)$ . The vector  $x$  can be chosen to be any unit separating vector for  $P$ , for example any unit separating vector for  $W^*(\pi)$ .*

PROOF. This follows from (39.3), (40.10) and (40.12). The result also follows from the preceding one together with (36.16).  $\square$

We also obtain the following representation theorem for commutative von Neumann algebras on separable Hilbert spaces  $\neq \{0\}$ .

(40.15). **THEOREM.** *A commutative von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  on a separable Hilbert space  $\neq \{0\}$  is isomorphic as a  $C^*$ -algebra to  $L^\infty(\mu)$  for some inner regular Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on the compact Hausdorff space  $\Delta(\mathcal{M})$ . The measure  $\mu$  can be chosen to be any inner regular Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Delta(\mathcal{M})$  which is a scalar spectral measure for the spectral resolution of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In this case, the support of  $\mu$  is all of  $\Delta(\mathcal{M})$ , and every function in  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$  is  $\mu$ -a.e. equal to a unique continuous function on  $\Delta(\mathcal{M})$ .*

PROOF. See (36.22) and the appendix (46.10).  $\square$

Please note that here we only have a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism, while in (40.7), we have a spatial equivalence of von Neumann algebras, which is a stronger condition.



## CHAPTER 10

### Single Unbounded Self-Adjoint Operators

#### § 41. Spectral Integrals of Unbounded Functions

In this paragraph, let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a set  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ , let  $H \neq \{0\}$  be a Hilbert space, and let  $P$  be a spectral measure defined on  $\mathcal{E}$  and acting on  $H$ .

We first have to extend the notion of “linear operator”.

(41.1). **DEFINITION** (linear operator in  $H$ ). By a linear operator in  $H$  we mean a linear operator defined on a subspace of  $H$ , taking values in  $H$ . We stress that we then say: linear operator in  $H$ ; not on  $H$ . The domain (of definition) of such a linear operator  $a$  is denoted by  $D(a)$ .

(41.2). **DEFINITION** ( $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ ). We shall denote by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$  the set of complex-valued  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable functions on  $\Omega$ , cf. the appendix, (46.5).

We shall associate with each  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$  a linear operator in  $H$ , defined on a dense subspace  $\mathcal{D}_f$  of  $H$ . Next the definition of  $\mathcal{D}_f$ .

(41.3). **PROPOSITION** ( $\mathcal{D}_f$ ). Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ . One defines

$$\underline{\mathcal{D}_f} := \{ x \in H : f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\langle Px, x \rangle) \}.$$

The set  $\mathcal{D}_f$  is a dense subspace of  $H$ .

PROOF. For  $x, y \in H$  and  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ , we have (the parallelogram identity):

$$\|P(\omega)(x+y)\|^2 + \|P(\omega)(x-y)\|^2 = 2(\|P(\omega)x\|^2 + \|P(\omega)y\|^2),$$

whence

$$\langle P(\omega)(x+y), (x+y) \rangle \leq 2(\langle P(\omega)x, x \rangle + \langle P(\omega)y, y \rangle),$$

so that  $\mathcal{D}_f$  is closed under addition. It shall next be proved that  $\mathcal{D}_f$  is dense in  $H$ . For  $n \geq 1$  let  $\omega_n := \{ |f| < n \}$ . We have  $\Omega = \cup_n \omega_n$  as  $f$  is complex-valued. Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ . For  $z$  in the range of  $P(\omega_n)$ , we have

$$P(\omega)z = P(\omega)P(\omega_n)z = P(\omega \cap \omega_n)z,$$

so that

$$\langle Pz, z \rangle(\omega) = \langle Pz, z \rangle(\omega \cap \omega_n),$$

and therefore

$$\int |f|^2 d\langle Pz, z \rangle = \int |f|^2 1_{\omega_n} d\langle Pz, z \rangle \leq n^2 \|z\|^2 < \infty.$$

This shows that the range of  $P(\omega_n)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{D}_f$ . We have

$$y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\omega_n)y$$

for all  $y \in H$  by  $\Omega = \cup_n \omega_n$  and by (34.18). This says that  $\mathcal{D}_f$  is dense in  $H$ .  $\square$

(41.4). **DEFINITION** (spectral integrals). Consider a linear operator  $a : H \supset D(a) \rightarrow H$ , defined on a subspace  $D(a)$  of  $H$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ . We write

$$a = \int f dP \quad (\text{pointwise})$$

if  $D(a) = \mathcal{D}_f$  and if for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}_f$  and all  $h \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  one has

$$\| (a - \pi_P(h))x \| = \| f - h \|_{\langle Px, x \rangle, 2} = \left( \int |f - h|^2 d\langle Px, x \rangle \right)^{1/2}.$$

One writes

$$a = \int f dP \quad (\text{weakly})$$

if  $D(a) = \mathcal{D}_f$  and

$$\langle ax, x \rangle = \int f d\langle Px, x \rangle$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}_f$ . Then  $a$  is uniquely determined by  $f$  and  $P$ .

(41.5). **PROPOSITION.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$  and let  $a$  be a linear operator in  $H$ . Assume that

$$a = \int f dP \quad (\text{pointwise}).$$

We then also have

$$a = \int f dP \quad (\text{weakly}).$$

In particular,  $a$  is uniquely determined by  $f$  and  $P$ .

PROOF. For  $n \geq 1$ , put  $f_n := f 1_{\{|f| < n\}} \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{D}_f$ , we get

$$ax = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_P(f_n)x$$

by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, whence, by the same theorem,

$$\langle ax, x \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \pi_P(f_n)x, x \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\langle Px, x \rangle = \int f d\langle Px, x \rangle. \quad \square$$

(41.6). **THEOREM.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ . There then exists a (necessarily unique) linear operator  $\underline{\Psi}_P(f)$  in  $H$  such that

$$\Psi_P(f) = \int f dP \quad (\text{pointwise}).$$

PROOF. Let  $x \in \mathcal{D}_f$  be fixed. Look at  $\mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  as a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}^2(\langle Px, x \rangle)$ . Consider the map

$$I_x : \mathcal{L}^2(\langle Px, x \rangle) \supset \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H, \quad h \mapsto \pi_P(h)x.$$

Since  $\mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}^2(\langle Px, x \rangle)$ , and since  $I_x$  is an isometry by (34.8), it follows that  $I_x$  has a unique continuation to an isometry  $L^2(\langle Px, x \rangle) \rightarrow H$ , which shall also be denoted by  $I_x$ . Putting  $\Psi_P(f)x := I_x(f)$ , we obtain that  $\Psi_P(f)$  is an operator in  $H$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}_f$ . It shall be proved that

$$\Psi_P(f) = \int f dP \quad (\text{pointwise}).$$

Let  $x \in \mathcal{D}_f$ . For  $h \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$ , we then have

$$\|(\Psi_P(f) - \pi_P(h))x\| = \|I_x(f - h)\| = \|f - h\|_{\langle Px, x \rangle, 2}$$

because  $I_x$  is an isometry. Also  $\Psi_P(f)$  is linear because it is pointwise approximated by linear operators  $\pi_P(h)$ .  $\square$

(41.7). **COROLLARY.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $a$  be a linear operator in  $H$  such that

$$a = \int f dP \quad (\text{weakly}).$$

We then also have

$$a = \int f dP \quad (\text{pointwise}).$$

PROOF. We have  $a = \Psi_P(f)$ .  $\square$

(41.8). **PROPOSITION.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{D}_f$ , we then have

$$\|\Psi_P(f)x\| = \|f\|_{\langle Px, x \rangle, 2} = \left( \int |f|^2 d\langle Px, x \rangle \right)^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. Put  $h := 0$  in  $\Psi_P(f) = \int f dP$  (pointwise).  $\square$

(41.9). **LEMMA.** Let  $g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$  and  $x \in \mathcal{D}_g$ . For  $y := \Psi_P(g)x$ , we then have

$$\langle Py, y \rangle = |g|^2 \cdot \langle Px, x \rangle.$$

Moreover, for  $h \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$ , we have

$$\pi_P(h)y = \Psi_P(hg)x.$$

PROOF. With  $g_n := g 1_{\{|g| < n\}}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$y = \Psi_P(g)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_P(g_n)x.$$

For  $h \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_P(h)y &= \pi_P(h)\Psi_P(g)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_P(h)\pi_P(g_n)x \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_P(hg_n)x = \Psi_P(hg)x.\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from  $\mathcal{D}_{hg} \supset \mathcal{D}_g$  by boundedness of  $h$ . In particular, from (41.8) we get

$$\int |h|^2 d\langle Py, y \rangle = \|\pi_P(h)y\|^2 = \|\Psi_P(hg)x\|^2 = \int |hg|^2 d\langle Px, x \rangle$$

for all  $h \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$ . This means that

$$\langle Py, y \rangle = |g|^2 \cdot \langle Px, x \rangle. \quad \square$$

We need some notation:

(41.10). **DEFINITION** (extension). If  $a$  and  $b$  are linear operators in  $H$  with respective domains  $D(a)$  and  $D(b)$ , one writes  $a \subset b$ , if  $b$  is an extension of  $a$ , i.e. if  $D(a) \subset D(b)$  and  $ax = bx$  for all  $x \in D(a)$ .

The following somewhat subtle result is basic for the calculus of spectral integrals.

(41.11). **THEOREM** (Addition and Multiplication Theorems). *For  $f, g$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_P(f) + \Psi_P(g) &\subset \Psi_P(f + g), \\ \Psi_P(f) \Psi_P(g) &\subset \Psi_P(fg).\end{aligned}$$

*The precise domains are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}D(\Psi_P(f) + \Psi_P(g)) &= \mathcal{D}_{|f|+|g|}, \\ D(\Psi_P(f) \Psi_P(g)) &= \mathcal{D}_{fg} \cap \mathcal{D}_g.\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We shall first prove the part of the statement relating to addition. Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $x \in D(\Psi_P(f) + \Psi_P(g)) := D(\Psi_P(f)) \cap D(\Psi_P(g))$ . Then  $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^2(\langle Px, x \rangle)$ , whence  $f + g \in \mathcal{L}^2(\langle Px, x \rangle)$ , so that  $x \in \mathcal{D}_{f+g}$ . It follows that

$$\Psi_P(f + g)x = \Psi_P(f)x + \Psi_P(g)x$$

because  $\Psi_P$  is pointwise approximated by the linear map  $\pi_P$ . We have

$$D(\Psi_P(f) + \Psi_P(g)) = \mathcal{D}_{|f|+|g|}.$$

Indeed, for the complex-valued  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$ , we have that both  $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^2(\langle Px, x \rangle)$  if and only if  $|f| + |g| \in \mathcal{L}^2(\langle Px, x \rangle)$ .



We shall now prove the part of the statement relating to composition. Let  $g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{D}_g$ , and put  $y := \Psi_P(g)x$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ . Lemma (41.9) shows that  $y \in \mathcal{D}_f$  if and only if  $x \in \mathcal{D}_{fg}$ , and so

$$D(\Psi_P(f)\Psi_P(g)) = \mathcal{D}_{fg} \cap \mathcal{D}_g \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})).$$

For  $n \geq 1$  define  $f_n := f 1_{\{|f| < n\}}$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{D}_{fg} \cap \mathcal{D}_g$ , then  $f_n g \rightarrow fg$  in  $\mathcal{L}^2(\langle Px, x \rangle)$  and so  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\mathcal{L}^2(\langle Py, y \rangle)$  by (41.9). Applying (41.9) with  $h := f_n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_P(f)\Psi_P(g)x &= \Psi_P(f)y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_P(f_n)y \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_P(f_n g)x = \Psi_P(fg)x. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

(41.12). **DEFINITION** (self-adjoint linear operators). Let  $a$  be a linear operator in  $H$  defined on a dense subspace  $D(a)$ . One defines

$$\begin{aligned} D(a^*) &:= \{y \in H : \text{there exists } y^* \in H \text{ with} \\ &\quad \langle ax, y \rangle = \langle x, y^* \rangle \text{ for all } x \in D(a)\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a subspace of  $H$ . The associated  $y^*$  is determined uniquely because  $D(a)$  is dense in  $H$ . Thus

$$a^*y := y^* \quad (y \in D(a^*))$$

determines a linear operator  $a^*$  with domain  $D(a^*)$  in  $H$ . The operator  $a^*$  is called the adjoint of  $a$ . The operator  $a$  is called self-adjoint if  $a = a^*$ , and symmetric if  $a \subset a^*$ .

(41.13). **DEFINITION** (closed operators). A linear operator  $a$  in  $H$  with domain  $D(a) \subset H$ , is called closed, if its graph is closed in  $H \times H$ .

(41.14). **PROPOSITION.** *The adjoint of a densely defined linear operator in  $H$  is closed.*

PROOF. Let  $a$  be a densely defined linear operator in  $H$ . Let  $(y_n)$  be a sequence in  $D(a^*)$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow y$ , and  $a^*y_n \rightarrow z$  in  $H$ . For  $x \in D(a)$  we get

$$\langle ax, y \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle ax, y_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x, a^*y_n \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle,$$

whence  $y \in D(a^*)$  and  $a^*y = z$ . □

(41.15). **COROLLARY.** *A self-adjoint linear operator in  $H$  is closed.*

(41.16). **PROPOSITION.** *If  $a, b$  are densely defined linear operators in  $H$ , and if  $ab$  is densely defined as well, then*

$$b^*a^* \subset (ab)^*.$$

PROOF. Let  $x \in D(ab)$  and  $y \in D(b^*a^*)$ . We get

$$\langle abx, y \rangle = \langle bx, a^*y \rangle$$

because  $bx \in D(a)$  and  $y \in D(a^*)$ . Similarly we have

$$\langle bx, a^*y \rangle = \langle x, b^*a^*y \rangle$$

because  $x \in D(b)$  and  $a^*y \in D(b^*)$ . It follows that

$$\langle abx, y \rangle = \langle x, b^*a^*y \rangle,$$

whence  $y \in D((ab)^*)$  and  $(ab)^*y = b^*a^*y$ .  $\square$

(41.17). **THEOREM.** For  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ , the following statements hold:

- (i)  $\Psi_P(f)^* = \Psi_P(\bar{f})$ ,
- (ii)  $\Psi_P(f)$  is closed,
- (iii)  $\Psi_P(f)^* \Psi_P(f) = \Psi_P(|f|^2) = \Psi_P(f) \Psi_P(f)^*$ .

PROOF. (i): For  $x \in \mathcal{D}_f$ , and  $y \in \mathcal{D}_f = \mathcal{D}_{\bar{f}}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi_P(f)x, y \rangle &= \int f d\langle Px, y \rangle = \overline{\int \bar{f} d\langle Py, x \rangle} \\ &= \overline{\langle \Psi_P(\bar{f})y, x \rangle} = \langle x, \Psi_P(\bar{f})y \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $y \in D(\Psi_P(f)^*)$  and  $\Psi_P(\bar{f}) \subset \Psi_P(f)^*$ . In order to prove the reverse inclusion, consider the truncations  $h_n := 1_{\{|f| < n\}}$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

The Multiplication Theorem (41.11) gives

$$\Psi_P(f) \pi_P(h_n) = \pi_P(fh_n).$$

One concludes with the help of (41.16) that

$$\pi_P(h_n) \Psi_P(f)^* \subset [\Psi_P(f) \pi_P(h_n)]^* = \pi_P(fh_n)^* = \pi_P(\bar{f}h_n).$$

For  $z \in D(\Psi_P(f)^*)$  and  $v = \Psi_P(f)^* z$  it follows

$$\pi_P(h_n)v = \pi_P(\bar{f}h_n)z.$$

Hence

$$\int |\bar{f}h_n|^2 d\langle Pz, z \rangle = \int h_n d\langle Pv, v \rangle \leq \langle v, v \rangle$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , so that  $z \in \mathcal{D}_{\bar{f}}$ .

(ii) follows from (i) together with (41.14).

(iii) follows now from the Multiplication Theorem (41.11) because  $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{f}f} \subset \mathcal{D}_f$  by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\left| \int |f|^2 d\langle Px, x \rangle \right|^2 \leq \int |f|^4 d\langle Px, x \rangle \cdot \int 1^2 d\langle Px, x \rangle. \quad \square$$

(41.18). **COROLLARY.** If  $f$  is a real-valued function in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ , then  $\Psi_P(f)$  is a self-adjoint linear operator in  $H$ .

## § 42. The Spectral Theorem for Self-adjoint Operators

In this paragraph, let  $a : H \supset D(a) \rightarrow H$  be a self-adjoint linear operator in a Hilbert space  $H \neq \{0\}$ , defined on a dense subspace  $D(a)$  of  $H$ .

(42.1). **DEFINITION** (the spectrum). One says that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is a regular value of the self-adjoint linear operator  $a$ , if  $\lambda\mathbf{1} - a$  is injective as well as surjective onto  $H$ , and if its left inverse is bounded. The spectrum  $\text{sp}(a)$  of  $a$  is defined as the set of those complex numbers which are not regular values of  $a$ .

(42.2). **THEOREM.** *The spectrum of the self-adjoint operator  $a$  is real.*

PROOF. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\lambda =: \alpha + i\beta$  with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  real. For  $x \in D(a)$ , we get

$$\|((a - \alpha\mathbf{1}) - i\beta\mathbf{1})x\|^2 = \|(a - \alpha\mathbf{1})x\|^2 + \beta^2 \|x\|^2 \geq \beta^2 \|x\|^2,$$

which shows that  $a - \lambda\mathbf{1}$  has a bounded left inverse. It remains to be shown that this left inverse is everywhere defined. It shall first be shown that the range  $R(\lambda\mathbf{1} - a)$  is closed. Let  $(y_n)$  be a sequence in  $R(\lambda\mathbf{1} - a)$  which converges to an element  $y \in H$ . There then exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $D(a)$  such that  $y_n = (\lambda\mathbf{1} - a)x_n$ . Next we have

$$\|x_n - x_m\| \leq (1/\beta) \cdot \|(a - \lambda\mathbf{1})(x_n - x_m)\| = (1/\beta) \cdot \|y_n - y_m\|,$$

so that  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy and thus converges to some  $x \in H$ . Since  $a$  is closed (41.15), this implies that  $x \in D(a)$  and  $y = (a - \lambda\mathbf{1})x$ , so that  $y \in R(\lambda\mathbf{1} - a)$ , which shows that  $R(\lambda\mathbf{1} - a)$  is closed. It shall be shown that  $R(\lambda\mathbf{1} - a) = H$ . Let  $y \in H$  be orthogonal to  $R(\lambda\mathbf{1} - a)$ . We have to show that  $y = 0$ . We have

$$\langle (\lambda\mathbf{1} - a)x, y \rangle = 0 = \langle x, 0 \rangle \quad \text{for all } x \in D(a),$$

which means that  $(\lambda\mathbf{1} - a)^*y = (\bar{\lambda}\mathbf{1} - a)y$  exists and equals zero. It follows that  $y = 0$  because  $\bar{\lambda}\mathbf{1} - a$  is injective.  $\square$

(42.3). **DEFINITION** (the Cayley transform). The operator

$$u := (a - i\mathbf{1})(a + i\mathbf{1})^{-1}$$

is called the Cayley transform of  $a$ .

(42.4). **PROPOSITION.** *The Cayley transform  $u$  of  $a$  is unitary and 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $u$ .*

PROOF. For  $x \in D(a)$  we have

$$\|(a - i\mathbb{1})x\|^2 = \|ax\|^2 + \|x\|^2 = \|(a + i\mathbb{1})x\|^2,$$

so that

$$\|(a - i\mathbb{1})(a + i\mathbb{1})^{-1}y\| = \|y\| \quad \text{for all } y \in D(u),$$

which shows that  $u$  is isometric. It follows from the preceding theorem that  $u$  is bijective, hence unitary. Next, let  $x \in D(a)$  and  $y := (a + i\mathbb{1})x$ . We then have  $uy = (a - i\mathbb{1})x$ . By subtraction we obtain  $(\mathbb{1} - u)y = 2ix$ , so that if  $(\mathbb{1} - u)y = 0$ , then  $x = 0$ , whence  $y = 0$ , which shows injectivity of  $\mathbb{1} - u$ .  $\square$

(42.5). **PROPOSITION.** *Let*

$$u := (a - i\mathbb{1})(a + i\mathbb{1})^{-1}$$

*be the Cayley transform of  $a$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , consider*

$$\nu := (\lambda - i)(\lambda + i)^{-1}.$$

*Then  $\lambda \in \text{sp}(a)$  if and only if  $\nu \in \text{sp}(u)$ .*

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & u - \nu\mathbb{1} \\ &= \left[ (a - i\mathbb{1}) - \frac{\lambda - i}{\lambda + i} (a + i\mathbb{1}) \right] \cdot (a + i\mathbb{1})^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda + i} \left[ (\lambda + i)(a - i\mathbb{1}) - (\lambda - i)(a + i\mathbb{1}) \right] \cdot (a + i\mathbb{1})^{-1} \\ &= \frac{2i}{\lambda + i} (a - \lambda\mathbb{1}) \cdot (a + i\mathbb{1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $u - \nu\mathbb{1}$  is injective precisely when  $a - \lambda\mathbb{1}$  is so. Also, for the ranges, we have  $R(u - \nu\mathbb{1}) = R(a - \lambda\mathbb{1})$ , so that the statement follows from the Closed Graph Theorem.  $\square$

(42.6). **COROLLARY.** *The spectrum of  $a$  is a non-empty closed subset of the real line. In particular, it is locally compact.*

PROOF. The Moebius transformation  $\lambda \mapsto \nu$  of the preceding proposition (42.5) maps  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  homeomorphically onto the unit circle, the point  $\infty$  being mapped to 1. Now the spectrum of a unitary operator in a Hilbert space is a non-empty compact subset of the unit circle, cf. (11.12) and (5.6). If  $a$  had empty spectrum, then the spectrum of its Cayley transform would consist of 1 alone, which would therefore be an eigenvalue, cf. (35.8), in contradiction with (42.4).  $\square$

(42.7). **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $b, c$  be two densely defined linear operators in  $H$  such that  $b \subset c$ . Then  $c^* \subset b^*$ .*

PROOF. For  $x \in D(b)$ , and  $y \in D(c^*)$ , we have

$$\langle bx, y \rangle = \langle x, c^*y \rangle$$

because  $b \subset c$ . It follows that  $y \in D(b^*)$  and  $b^*y = c^*y$ , so  $c^* \subset b^*$ .  $\square$

(42.8). **DEFINITION** (maximal symmetric operators). A symmetric operator in a Hilbert space is called maximal symmetric if it has no proper symmetric extension.

(42.9). **PROPOSITION.** *The self-adjoint linear operator  $a$  is maximal symmetric.*

PROOF. Suppose that  $b$  is a symmetric extension of  $a$ . By the preceding proposition we then have

$$b \subset b^* \subset a^* = a \subset b,$$

so that  $a = b$ .  $\square$

(42.10). **DEFINITION.** A bounded linear operator  $c$  on  $H$  is said to commute with  $a$  if  $D(a)$  is invariant under  $c$  and  $cax = acx$  for all  $x \in D(a)$ .

(42.11). **PROPOSITION.** *A bounded linear operator  $c$  on  $H$  commutes with  $a$  if and only if  $ca \subset ac$ .*

(42.12). **DEFINITION.** The set of bounded linear operators on  $H$  commuting with  $a$  is denoted by  $\{a\}'$ .

(42.13). **THEOREM** (the Spectral Theorem for unbounded self-adjoint operators). *For the self-adjoint linear operator  $a$  in  $H$ , there exists a unique resolution of identity  $P$  on  $\text{sp}(a)$ , acting on  $H$ , such that*

$$a = \int_{\text{sp}(a)} \text{id}_{\text{sp}(a)} dP \quad (\text{pointwise}).$$

*This is usually written as*

$$a = \int \lambda dP(\lambda).$$

*One says that  $P$  is the spectral resolution of  $a$ . We have*

$$\{a\}' = P',$$

*and the support of  $P$  is all of  $\text{sp}(a)$ .*

We split the proof into two lemmata.

(42.14). **LEMMA.** *Let  $u$  denote the Cayley transform of  $a$ . Let  $\Omega := \text{sp}(u) \setminus \{1\}$ . The function*

$$\kappa : \lambda \mapsto (\lambda - i)(\lambda + i)^{-1}$$

*maps  $\text{sp}(a)$  homeomorphically onto  $\Omega$ , cf. (42.5). Let  $Q$  be the spectral resolution of  $u$ . Then  $Q(\{1\}) = 0$ , so that also*

$$u = \int_{\Omega} \nu dQ(\nu) = \int \nu d(Q|_{\Omega})(\nu).$$

*Let  $P := \kappa^{-1}(Q|_{\Omega})$  be the image of  $Q|_{\Omega}$  under  $\kappa^{-1}$ . Then  $P$  is a spectral resolution on  $\text{sp}(a)$  such that*

$$a = \int \lambda dP(\lambda).$$

*Let conversely  $P$  be a resolution of identity on  $\text{sp}(a)$  such that*

$$a = \int \lambda dP(\lambda).$$

*The image  $\kappa(P)$  of  $P$  under  $\kappa$  then is  $Q|_{\Omega}$ .*

**PROOF.** We have  $Q(\{1\}) = 0$  as 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $u$ , see (42.4) and (35.8). Hence also

$$u = \int_{\Omega} \nu dQ(\nu) = \int \nu d(Q|_{\Omega})(\nu).$$

As in (34.22) and the appendix (46.7) below, let

$$P := \kappa^{-1}(Q|_{\Omega})$$

be the image of  $Q|_{\Omega}$  under  $\kappa^{-1}$  and consider

$$b := \Psi_P(\text{id}) = \int \text{id} dP = \int \text{id} d(\kappa^{-1}(Q|_{\Omega})).$$

By the appendix (46.8) below, we have

$$b = \int_{\Omega} \kappa^{-1} dQ = \int_{\Omega} i \frac{1 + \text{id}}{1 - \text{id}} dQ.$$

It shall be shown that  $b = a$ . Since

$$\mathbb{1} - u = \int_{\Omega} (1 - \text{id}) dQ,$$

the Multiplication Theorem (41.11) gives

$$b(\mathbb{1} - u) = \int i(1 + \text{id}) dQ = i(\mathbb{1} + u), \quad (i)$$

and in particular

$$R(\mathbb{1} - u) \subset D(b). \quad (ii)$$

On the other hand, for  $x \in D(a)$ , put

$$(a + i\mathbb{1})x =: z.$$

We then have

$$(a - i\mathbb{1})x = uz,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{1} - u)z &= 2ix & (iii) \\ (\mathbb{1} + u)z &= 2ax, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$a(\mathbb{1} - u)z = a2ix = i2ax = i(\mathbb{1} + u)z \quad (iv)$$

for all  $z \in R(a + i\mathbb{1})$ , which is equal to  $H$  as  $\text{sp}(a)$  is real, cf. (42.2). From (ii) and (iii) we have

$$D(a) = R(\mathbb{1} - u) \subset D(b). \quad (v)$$

From (i) and (iv) it follows now that  $b$  is a self-adjoint extension of  $a$ . Since  $a$  is maximal symmetric (42.9), this implies that  $a = b = \Psi_P(\text{id})$ .

Let conversely  $P$  be a resolution of identity on  $\text{sp}(a)$  such that

$$a = \Psi_P(\text{id}).$$

Let  $R := \kappa(P)$  be the image of  $P$  under  $\kappa$  and put

$$v := \Psi_R(\text{id}) = \int \text{id} dR = \int \text{id} d(\kappa(P)).$$

By the appendix (46.8) again, we find

$$v = \int \kappa dP = \int \frac{\text{id} - i}{\text{id} + i} dP,$$

so that the Multiplication Theorem (41.11) gives

$$v(a + i\mathbb{1}) = a - i\mathbb{1},$$

or

$$v = (a - i\mathbb{1})(a + i\mathbb{1})^{-1} = u.$$

By the uniqueness of the spectral resolution, we must have

$$\kappa(P) = R = Q|_{\Omega}.$$

□

(42.15). **LEMMA.** *If  $u$  is the Cayley transform of  $a$ , then*

$$\{a\}' = \{u\}'.$$

PROOF. If  $c \in \{a\}'$  then

$$c(a \pm i\mathbb{1}) \subset (a \pm i\mathbb{1})c,$$

whence

$$(a \pm i\mathbb{1})^{-1}c = c(a \pm i\mathbb{1})^{-1}.$$

It follows that  $cu = uc$ .

Conversely, let  $c \in \{u\}'$ . From the formulae (iv) and (v) of the preceding lemma,  $\mathbb{1} - u$  has range  $D(a)$ , and

$$a(\mathbb{1} - u) = i(\mathbb{1} + u).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} ca(\mathbb{1} - u) &= ic(\mathbb{1} + u) \\ &= i(\mathbb{1} + u)c \\ &= a(\mathbb{1} - u)c = ac(\mathbb{1} - u), \end{aligned}$$

whence  $c \in \{a\}'$ . □

(42.16). **DEFINITION** (function of a self-adjoint operator). Let  $P$  be the spectral resolution of the self-adjoint linear operator  $a$ . If  $f$  is a complex-valued Borel function on  $\text{sp}(a)$ , one writes

$$f(a) := \Psi_P(f) = \int f dP \quad (\text{pointwise}),$$

and one says that  $f(a)$  is a function of  $a$ .

We have actually shown the following:

(42.17). **ADDENDUM.** *The Cayley transform*

$$u := (a - i\mathbb{1})(a + i\mathbb{1})^{-1}$$

*is the function  $\kappa(a)$  with*

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa : \text{sp}(a) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \lambda &\mapsto (\lambda - i)(\lambda + i)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. See the proof of the converse part of lemma (42.14). □



## § 43. Self-Adjoint Operators as Generators

In this paragraph, let  $H$  be a Hilbert space  $\neq \{0\}$ .

(43.1). **DEFINITION.** A function

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R} &\rightarrow B(H) \\ t &\mapsto U_t\end{aligned}$$

is called a strongly continuous one-parameter unitary group, if

- (i)  $U_t$  is unitary for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- (ii)  $U_{t+s} = U_t U_s$  for all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , (the group property)
- (iii) for all  $x \in H$ , the function  $t \mapsto U_t x$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(43.2). **DEFINITION** (infinitesimal generator). If  $t \mapsto U_t$  is a strongly continuous one-parameter unitary group in  $H$ , one says that the infinitesimal generator of  $t \mapsto U_t$  is the linear operator  $a$  in  $H$ , with domain  $D(a) \subset H$ , characterised by

$$x \in D(a), y = ax \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad -\frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} U_t x \text{ exists and equals } y.$$

This is also written as

$$-\frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} U_t = a \quad (\text{pointwise}).$$

(43.3). **THEOREM.** Let  $a$  be a self-adjoint linear operator in  $H$ , and let  $P$  be its spectral resolution. For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $U_t$  the bounded linear operator on  $H$  given by

$$U_t := \exp(-ita) = \int_{\text{sp}(a)} \exp(-it\lambda) dP(\lambda) \quad (\text{pointwise}).$$

(Cf. (42.16).) Then  $t \mapsto U_t$  is a strongly continuous one-parameter unitary group with infinitesimal generator  $a$ . One actually has

$$-\frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=s} U_t x = a U_s x$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $x \in D(a)$ , i.e.  $t \mapsto U_t x$  is a solution to the initial value problem

$$-\frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dt} u(t) = a u(t), \quad u(0) = x.$$

Please note that  $t \mapsto U_t$  is the Fourier transform of  $P$ .

PROOF. The spectral resolution  $P$  of  $a$  lives on the spectrum of  $a$ , which is a subset of the real line, cf. (42.2). The fact that  $\pi_P$  is a representation in  $H$  implies therefore that  $U_t$  is unitary for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and that  $U_{t+s} = U_t U_s$  for all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, the function  $t \mapsto U_t x$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in H$  by the Dominated Convergence Theorem (34.16). Thus  $t \mapsto U_t$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ) is a strongly continuous one-parameter unitary group. We shall show that  $a$  is the infinitesimal generator of  $t \mapsto U_t$ . For  $x \in D(a)$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\left\| -\frac{1}{it}(U_t x - x) - ax \right\|^2 = \int |f_t|^2 d\langle Px, x \rangle,$$

with

$$f_t(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{it} (\exp(-it\lambda) - 1 + it\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}).$$

By power series expansion of  $\exp(-it\lambda)$ , one sees that  $f_t \rightarrow 0$  pointwise as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . We have  $|\exp(is) - 1| \leq |s|$  for all real  $s$  (as chord length is smaller than arc length). It follows that  $|f_t(\lambda)| \leq 2|\lambda|$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\lambda \mapsto 2|\lambda|$  is a function in  $\mathcal{L}^2(\langle Px, x \rangle)$ , the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} -\frac{1}{it}(U_t x - x) = ax \quad \text{for all } x \in D(a).$$

Thus, if  $b$  denotes the infinitesimal generator of  $t \mapsto U_t$ , we have shown that  $b$  is an extension of  $a$ . Now  $b$  is symmetric because for  $x, y \in D(b)$  one has

$$\left\langle -\frac{1}{it}(U_t x - x), y \right\rangle = \left\langle -\frac{1}{it}(U_t - \mathbb{1})x, y \right\rangle = \left\langle x, \frac{1}{it}(U_{-t} y - y) \right\rangle.$$

It follows that  $b = a$  because  $a$  is maximal symmetric, cf. (42.9). The second statement follows from the group property of  $t \mapsto U_t$  and from the fact that  $U_t$  commutes with  $a$  by (42.13) and (34.10). Please note also that  $D(a)$  is invariant under  $U_t$ , cf. (42.10)  $\square$

This result is of prime importance for quantum mechanics, where the initial value problem is the Schrödinger equation for the temporal evolution of the quantum mechanical state described by the vector  $U_t x$ .

# Appendix

## § 44. Quotient Spaces

(44.1). **REMINDER.** Let  $(A, |\cdot|)$  be a normed space and  $B$  a closed subspace of  $A$ . The quotient space  $A/B$  is defined as

$$A/B := \{a + B \subset A : a \in A\}.$$

The canonical projection (here denoted by an underscore)

$$\begin{aligned} \_ : A &\rightarrow A/B \\ a &\mapsto a + B =: \underline{a} \end{aligned}$$

is a linear map from  $A$  onto  $A/B$ . The definition

$$|\underline{a}| := \inf \{ |c| : c \in \underline{a} \} \quad (\underline{a} \in A/B)$$

then defines a norm on  $A/B$ . It is called the quotient norm on  $A/B$ .

(44.2). **THEOREM.** Let  $(A, |\cdot|)$  be a normed space and let  $B$  be a closed subspace of  $A$ . If  $A$  is a Banach space, then  $A/B$  is a Banach space. If both  $A/B$  and  $B$  are Banach spaces, then  $A$  is a Banach space.

PROOF. Assume first that  $A$  is complete. Let  $(\underline{c}_k)_{k \geq 0}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $A/B$ . We can then find a subsequence  $(\underline{d}_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of  $(\underline{c}_k)_{k \geq 0}$  such that

$$|\underline{d}_{n+1} - \underline{d}_n| < 2^{-(n+1)}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . We construct a sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$  in  $A$  such that

$$\underline{a}_n = \underline{d}_n$$

and

$$|a_{n+1} - a_n| < 2^{-(n+1)}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . This is done as follows. Let  $a_0 \in \underline{d}_0$ . Suppose that  $a_n$  has been constructed and let  $\underline{d}_{n+1} \in \underline{d}_{n+1}$ . We obtain

$$\inf \{ |d_{n+1} - a_n + b| : b \in B \} = |\underline{d}_{n+1} - \underline{a}_n| = |\underline{d}_{n+1} - \underline{d}_n| < 2^{-(n+1)}$$

so that there exists  $b_{n+1} \in B$  such that

$$|d_{n+1} - a_n + b_{n+1}| < 2^{-(n+1)}.$$

We then put  $a_{n+1} := d_{n+1} + b_{n+1} \in \underline{d}_{n+1}$ . This achieves the construction of  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$  as required. But then  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $A$  and converges in  $A$  by assumption. By continuity of the projection,  $(\underline{d}_n)_{n \geq 0}$  converges in  $A/B$ , so that also  $(\underline{c}_k)_{k \geq 0}$  converges in  $A/B$ .

Assume now that both  $A/B$  and  $B$  are complete. Let  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $A$ . Then  $(\underline{a_n})_{n \geq 0}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $A/B$  because the projection is contractive. By assumption, there exists  $a \in A$  such that

$$\underline{a} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{a_n}.$$

For  $n \geq 0$ , we can find  $b_n \in B$  such that

$$|(a - a_n) + b_n| < |\underline{a} - \underline{a_n}| + \frac{1}{n}.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_m - b_n| &= |[ (a - a_m) + b_m ] - [ (a - a_n) + b_n ] + (a_m - a_n)| \\ &\leq |\underline{a} - \underline{a_m}| + \frac{1}{m} + |\underline{a} - \underline{a_n}| + \frac{1}{n} + |a_m - a_n|, \end{aligned}$$

from which we see that  $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $B$  and consequently convergent to some element  $b$  in  $B$ . Finally we have

$$|(a + b) - a_n| \leq |(a - a_n) + b_n| + |b - b_n|$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a + b.$$

□

## § 45. Reminder on Topology

Gathered here are the topological ingredients of the Commutative Gelfand-Naïmark Theorem.

(45.1). **THEOREM** (the weak topology). *Let  $X$  be a set. Let  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of topological spaces, and let  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of mappings  $f_i : X \rightarrow Y_i$  ( $i \in I$ ). Among the topologies on  $X$  for which all the mappings  $f_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) are continuous, there exists a weakest topology. It is the topology generated by the base consisting of all the sets of the form  $\bigcap_{t \in T} f_t^{-1}(O_t)$ , where  $T$  is a finite subset of  $I$  and  $O_t$  is an open subset of  $Y_t$  for all  $t \in T$ . This topology is called the weak topology induced by  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ . It is also called the initial topology induced by  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ .*

PROOF. We refer the reader to [5, Prop. 1.4.8 p. 31].  $\square$

The philosophy is, that the weaker a topology is, the more quasi-compact subsets it has.

(45.2). **THEOREM** (the universal property). *Let  $X$  be a topological space carrying the weak topology induced by a family  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ . The following property is called the universal property of the weak topology induced by  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ . A mapping  $g$  from a topological space to  $X$  is continuous if and only if the compositions  $f_i \circ g$  are continuous for all  $i \in I$ .*

PROOF. We refer the reader to [5, Prop. 1.4.9 p. 31].  $\square$

(45.3). **DEFINITION** (the weak\* topology). Let  $A$  be a real or complex vector space, and let  $A^*$  be its dual space. The weak\* topology on  $A^*$  is the weak topology induced by the evaluations  $\widehat{a}$  at elements  $a \in A$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{a} : A^* &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C} \\ \ell &\mapsto \widehat{a}(\ell) := \ell(a).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the evaluations  $\widehat{a}$  ( $a \in A$ ) are continuous in the weak\* topology, and the weak\* topology is the weakest topology on  $A^*$  such that these evaluations are continuous. The universal property of the weak\* topology says that a mapping  $g$  from a topological space to  $A^*$  is continuous with respect to the weak\* topology on  $A^*$ , if and only if the compositions  $\widehat{a} \circ g$  ( $a \in A$ ) are continuous.

(45.4). **THEOREM** (Alaoglu's Theorem). *Let  $A$  be a (possibly real) normed space, and let  $A'$  be its topological dual space. The unit ball of  $A'$  then is weak\* compact.*

PROOF. See e.g. [15, Thm. 2.5.2 p. 69].  $\square$

(45.5). **THEOREM** (the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem). *Let  $\Omega$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. A  $*$ -subalgebra of  $C_o(\Omega)$ , which separates the points of  $\Omega$ , and which vanishes nowhere on  $\Omega$ , is dense in  $C_o(\Omega)$ .*

PROOF. We refer the reader to [15, Cor. 4.3.5 p. 146]. □

Please note that we need not only a subalgebra, but a  $*$ -subalgebra. A set of functions  $\mathcal{F}$  defined on a set  $\Omega$  is said to separate the points of  $\Omega$ , if for  $x, y \in \Omega$  with  $x \neq y$ , there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . A set of functions  $\mathcal{F}$  defined on a set  $\Omega$  is said to vanish nowhere on  $\Omega$ , if the functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  have no common zero on  $\Omega$ .

### § 46. Complements to Integration Theory

(46.1). **DEFINITION** (the Borel and Baire  $\sigma$ -algebras). Let  $\Omega$  be a Hausdorff space. The  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets is defined as the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the open subsets of  $\Omega$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra of Baire sets is defined as the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the sets  $\{f = 0\}$ , with  $f \in C(\Omega)$ .

(46.2). **PROPOSITION.** *Every Baire set is a Borel set. On a metric space, the Baire and Borel sets coincide. (Distance function from a point to a fixed closed set.)*

(46.3). **DEFINITION** (inner regular Borel probability measure). Let  $\Omega$  be a Hausdorff space  $\neq \emptyset$ . A Borel probability measure on  $\Omega$  is a probability measure defined on the Borel sets of  $\Omega$ . A Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega$  is called inner regular, if for every Borel set  $\omega \subset \Omega$ , one has

$$\mu(\omega) = \sup \{ \mu(K) : K \text{ is a compact subset of } \omega \}.$$

We shall use the Riesz Representation Theorem in the following form. The proof follows easily from other versions of the Theorem.

(46.4). **THEOREM** (the Riesz Representation Theorem). *Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Let  $\ell$  be a linear functional on  $C_c(\Omega)$ , which is positive in the sense that  $\ell(f) \geq 0$  for every  $f \in C_c(\Omega)$  with  $f \geq 0$  pointwise. Assume that  $\ell$  is bounded with norm 1 on the pre- $C^*$ -algebra  $C_c(\Omega)$ . There then exists a unique inner regular Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega$  such that*

$$\ell(f) = \int f d\mu \quad \text{for every } f \in C_c(\Omega).$$

(46.5). **DEFINITION** (measurability). Let  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$  be two  $\sigma$ -algebras on two non-empty sets  $\Omega, \Omega'$  respectively. A function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$  is called  $\mathcal{E}$ - $\mathcal{E}'$  measurable if  $f^{-1}(\omega') \in \mathcal{E}$  for all  $\omega' \in \mathcal{E}'$ . A complex-valued function on  $\Omega$  is called  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable, if it is  $\mathcal{E}$ -Borel measurable. A complex-valued function on  $\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable if and only if its real and imaginary parts are, cf. [11, Cor. 2.5 p. 45]. A real-valued function  $f$  on  $\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable if and only if  $\{f < \alpha\} \in \mathcal{E}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , cf. [11, Prop. 2.3 p. 44]. A complex-valued function on a Hausdorff space is called a Borel function, if it is Borel-measurable, and it is called a Baire function, if it is Baire-measurable.

(46.6). **PROPOSITION.** *Every continuous complex-valued function is a Baire function. Every Baire function is a Borel function.*

PROOF. The first statement follows from

$$\{\operatorname{Re}(f) < \alpha\} = \{(\operatorname{Re}(f) - \alpha)_+ = 0\} \setminus \{\operatorname{Re}(f) - \alpha = 0\}. \quad \square$$

(46.7). **DEFINITION** (image measure). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a probability space. Let  $\mathcal{E}'$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a set  $\Omega'$ . Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$  be an  $\mathcal{E}$ - $\mathcal{E}'$  measurable function. The image measure  $f(\mu)$  is defined as the measure

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mu) : \mathcal{E}' &\rightarrow [0, 1] \\ \omega' &\mapsto \mu(f^{-1}(\omega')). \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $f(\mu) = \mu \circ f^{-1}$ . If  $f$  has the meaning of a random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ , then  $f(\mu)$  is the distribution of the random variable  $f$ .

(46.8). **THEOREM.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a probability space. Let  $\mathcal{E}'$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a set  $\Omega'$ . Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$  be an  $\mathcal{E}$ - $\mathcal{E}'$  measurable function. For an  $\mathcal{E}'$ -measurable function  $g$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g \in \mathcal{L}^1(f(\mu)) &\Leftrightarrow g \circ f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu) \\ &\Rightarrow \int g \, d f(\mu) = \int (g \circ f) \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Approximate positive  $\mathcal{E}'$ -measurable functions by increasing sequences of  $\mathcal{E}'$ -step functions and apply the Beppo Levi Principle.  $\square$

The next result is well known.

(46.9). **THEOREM.** Let  $\mu$  be an inner regular Borel probability measure on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ . For a function  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $C_c(\Omega)$  which converges to  $f$  in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  and  $\mu$ -almost everywhere.

For a proof, see e.g. [9, Thm. 6.15 p. 204].

(46.10). **COROLLARY.** Let  $\mu$  be an inner regular Borel probability measure on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ . Then a function in  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$  is  $\mu$ -a.e. equal to a bounded Baire function on  $\Omega$ .

PROOF. Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$ . As  $\mu$  is a probability measure, we have  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu) \subset \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ , so we apply the preceding theorem with  $p = 1$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence as described. The trimmed function

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([\operatorname{Re}(f_n) \wedge \|\operatorname{Re}(f)\|_{\mu, \infty} 1_\Omega] \vee [-\|\operatorname{Re}(f)\|_{\mu, \infty} 1_\Omega])$$

is a bounded Baire function which is  $\mu$ -a.e. equal to  $\operatorname{Re}(f)$ .  $\square$



We also have the similar result (46.12). For the proof, we need the following theorem, which is well known.

(46.11). **THEOREM.** *Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a probability space. Then a function in  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$  is  $\mu$ -a.e. equal to a complex-valued  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable function on  $\Omega$ .*

For a proof, see e.g. [8, Thm. 3.2.13 p. 480].

(46.12). **COROLLARY.** *Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a probability space. Then a function in  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$  is  $\mu$ -a.e. equal to a bounded  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable function on  $\Omega$ .*

PROOF. Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$ . As  $\mu$  is a probability measure, we have  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu) \subset \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ , so we apply the preceding theorem with  $p = 1$ . There exists a real-valued  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable function  $g$  on  $\Omega$ , which is  $\mu$ -a.e. equal to  $\operatorname{Re}(f)$ . The trimmed function

$$[g \wedge \|\operatorname{Re}(f)\|_{\mu, \infty} 1_\Omega] \vee [-\|\operatorname{Re}(f)\|_{\mu, \infty} 1_\Omega]$$

then is a bounded  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable function on  $\Omega$ , which is  $\mu$ -a.e. equal to  $\operatorname{Re}(f)$ .  $\square$



## Bibliography

### Algebra

- [1] A. I. Kostrikin. *Introduction to Algebra*. (Universitext), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982

### Analysis

- [2] W. Rudin. *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*. Third Edition, (international student edition), Mc Graw-Hill International Book Company, 1976

### Complex Analysis

- [3] P. Henrici. *Applied and Computational Complex Analysis*. vol. I, Wiley-Interscience, 1974
- [4] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis*. Third Edition, (international edition), Mathematics Series, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1987

### Topology

- [5] R. Engelking. *General Topology*. Revised and completed edition, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. 6, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989
- [6] G. B. Folland. *Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications*. 2nd edition, Wiley Interscience, 1999
- [7] B. von Querenburg. *Mengentheoretische Topologie*. Dritte neu bearbeitete und erweiterte Auflage, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2001

### Integration Theory

- [8] C. Constantinescu, W. Filter, K. Weber in collaboration with A. Sontag. *Advanced Integration Theory*. Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1998
- [9] W. Filter, K. Weber. *Integration Theory*. Mathematics Series, Chapman & Hall, 1997
- [10] K. Floret. *Maß- und Integrationstheorie*. Teubner Studienbücher Mathematik, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981
- [11] G. B. Folland. *Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications*. 2nd edition, Wiley Interscience, 1999
- [12] H. König. *Measure and Integration, An Advanced Course in Basic Procedures and Applications*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1997

### Functional Analysis

- [13] J. B. Conway. *A Course in Functional Analysis*. GTM 96, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, 1985
- [14] E. Kreyszig. *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*. John Wiley & Sons, 1978
- [15] G. K. Pedersen. *Analysis Now*. GTM 118, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988

- [16] M. Reed, B. Simon. *Functional Analysis*. Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, vol. I. Academic Press, New York, 1972
- [17] W. Rudin. *Functional Analysis*. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, TMH Edition 1982, 2nd Edition 1991
- [18] M. Schechter. *Principles of Functional Analysis*. 2nd Edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics vol. 36, American Mathematical Society, 2002

### Banach Algebras

- [19] B. Aupetit. *A Primer on Spectral Theory*. Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991
- [20] F. F. Bonsall, J. Duncan. *Complete Normed Algebras*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und Ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 80, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1973
- [21] N. Bourbaki. *Théories spectrales, Chapitres 1 et 2*. Éléments de Mathématique, Réimpression inchangée de l'édition originale de 1967, Springer-Verlag, 2007
- [22] S. A. Gaal. *Linear Analysis and Representation Theory*. Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen, Band 198, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973
- [23] I. Gelfand, D. Raïkov, G. Shilov. *Commutative Normed Rings*. Chelsea, New York, 1964
- [24] A. Ya. Helemskii. *Banach and Locally Convex Algebras*. Oxford University Press, 1993
- [25] L. H. Loomis. *An Introduction to Abstract Harmonic Analysis*. van Nostrand, 1953
- [26] R. D. Mosak. *Banach Algebras*. Chicago-London, University of Chicago Press, 1975
- [27] M. A. Naïmark. *Normed Algebras*. Third American edition, translated from the second Russian edition, Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen, 1972
- [28] M. A. Neumark. *Normierte Algebren*. Translation of the second Russian edition, Verlag Harri Deutsch, Thun & Frankfurt am Main, 1990
- [29] T. W. Palmer. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 49: *Algebras and Banach Algebras*, & vol. 79: *Banach Algebras and the General Theory of  $*$ -Algebras*. Cambridge University Press, 1994, 2001
- [30] C. E. Rickart. *General Theory of Banach Algebras*. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960
- [31] W. Zelazko. *Banach Algebras*. Elsevier, New York, 1973
- [32] K. Zhu. *An Introduction to Operator Algebras*. CRC Press, 1993

### Representations and Positive Linear Functionals

- [33] J. B. Conway. *A Course in Functional Analysis*. GTM 96, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, 1985
- [34] J. Dieudonné. *Treatise on Analysis*. vol. II, Enlarged and Corrected Printing, Academic Press, 1976
- [35] J. Dixmier. *Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leurs représentations*. 2ème éd. Gauthier-Villars, 1969. Réimpression 1996 éditions Jacques Gabay
- [36] S. A. Gaal. *Linear Analysis and Representation Theory*. Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen, Band 198, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973
- [37] A. Ya. Helemskii. *Banach and Locally Convex Algebras*. Oxford University Press, 1993
- [38] R. D. Mosak. *Banach Algebras*. Chicago-London, University of Chicago Press, 1975

- [39] M. A. Naïmark. *Normed Algebras*. Third American edition, translated from the second Russian edition, Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen, 1972
- [40] M. A. Neumark. *Normierte Algebren*. Translation of the second Russian edition, Verlag Harri Deutsch, Thun & Frankfurt am Main, 1990
- [41] C. E. Rickart. *General Theory of Banach Algebras*. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960

### Spectral Theory of Representations

- [42] N. I. Achieser, I. M. Glasmann. *Lineare Operatoren im Hilbert-Raum*. Verlag Harri Deutsch, 8-te erweiterte Auflage, Thun, 1981
- [43] N. I. Akhiezer, I. M. Glazman. *Theory of Linear Operators in Hilbert space*. Frederick Ungar, vol. I, 1961, vol. 2, 1963. Reprinted 1993, two volumes bound as one, Dover
- [44] J. B. Conway. *A Course in Functional Analysis*. GTM 96, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, 1985
- [45] R. D. Mosak. *Banach Algebras*. Chicago-London, University of Chicago Press, 1975
- [46] M. A. Naïmark. *Normed Algebras*. Third American edition, translated from the second Russian edition, Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen, 1972
- [47] M. A. Neumark. *Normierte Algebren*. Translation of the second Russian edition, Verlag Harri Deutsch, Thun & Frankfurt am Main, 1990
- [48] W. Rudin. *Functional Analysis*. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, TMH Edition 1982, 2nd Edition 1991
- [49] I. E. Segal, R. A. Kunze. *Integrals and Operators*. TMH Edition, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, 1968
- [50] J. Weidmann. *Lineare Operatoren in Hilberträumen*. Math. Leitfäden, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, vol. I 2002, vol. II 2003

### Further Material:

#### C\*-Algebras and von Neumann Algebras (advanced)

- [51] O. Bratteli, D. Robinson. *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics*. TMP, Springer-Verlag, vol. I (2nd ed.) 1989, vol. II 1981
- [52] J. Dixmier. *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien (algèbres de von Neumann)*. 2ème éd. Gauthier-Villars, 1969. Réimpression 1996 éditions Jacques Gabay
- [53] J. Dixmier. *Les C\*-algèbres et leurs représentations*. 2ème éd. Gauthier-Villars, 1969. Réimpr. 1996 éd. Jacques Gabay
- [54] S. A. Gaal. *Linear Analysis and Representation Theory*. Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen, Band 198, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973
- [55] R. V. Kadison, J. R. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*, vol. I, II: Academic Press, 1983, 1986, vol. III, IV: Birkhäuser, 1991, 1992
- [56] G. K. Pedersen. *C\*-Algebras and their Automorphism Groups*. London Mathematical Society Monographs No. 14, Academic Press, London, 1979
- [57] S. Sakai. *C\*-Algebras and W\*-Algebras*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und Ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 60, Springer-Verlag, 1971. Reprinted 1998, Classics in Mathematics
- [58] M. Takesaki. *Theory of Operator Algebras*. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 3 volumes, 2nd printing of the 1979 First Edition, 2001

**Abstract Harmonic Analysis**

- [59] N. Bourbaki. *Théories spectrales, Chapitres 1 et 2*. Éléments de Mathématique, Réimpression inchangée de l'édition originale de 1967, Springer-Verlag, 2007
- [60] G. B. Folland. *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*. CRC Press, 1995
- [61] L. H. Loomis. *An Introduction to Abstract Harmonic Analysis*. van Nostrand, 1953
- [62] M. A. Naïmark. *Normed Algebras*. Third American edition, translated from the second Russian edition, Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen, 1972
- [63] M. A. Neumark. *Normierte Algebren*. Translation of the second Russian edition, Verlag Harri Deutsch, Thun & Frankfurt am Main, 1990

**Unbounded Operators**

- [64] K. Schmüdgen. *Unbounded Operator Algebras and Representation Theory*. Operator Theory, Vol. 37, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1990

**Positive Definite Functions on Semigroups**

- [65] C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen, P. Ressel. *Harmonic Analysis on Semigroups, Theory of Positive Definite and Related Functions*. GTM 100, Springer, 1984

## Index

- $\widehat{a}$ , 43, 119
- $a \geq 0$ , 57
- $|a|$ , 62, 66
- $a_+$ , 64, 66
- $a_-$ , 64, 66
- $\underline{a}$ , 92
- $\|a\|_o$ , 109
- $\{a\}'$ , 183
- $Asa$ , 4
- $\widetilde{A}$ , 5, 9, 10, 24
- $A_+$ , 57
- $\underline{A}$ , 92
- $\widehat{A}$ , 130
- absolute value, 62, 66
- abstract
  - Bochner Theorem, 120, 164
  - form of Wiener's Thm., 45
  - Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma, 49
- Addit. & Multiplicat. Thm., 178
- adjoint, 3
  - operator, 179
- Alaoglu's Theorem, 191
- algebra, 3
  - Banach algebra, 7
  - Banach \*-algebra, 7
    - Hermitian, 34
  - Borel \*-algebra, 168
  - C\*-algebra, 8
    - enveloping, 110
    - isomorphism, 26
    - unitisation, 9
  - homomorphism, 5
  - norm, 7
  - normed algebra, 7
    - completion, 11
  - normed \*-algebra, 7
    - with continuous states, 99
  - pre-C\*-algebra, 8
  - \*-algebra, 3
    - Hermitian, 34
    - homomorphism, 5
    - \*-subalgebra, 5
  - subalgebra, 5
    - unital, 5
    - \*-subalgebra, 5
    - $\sim$ -unital, 5
  - unital, 4
  - unitisation, 5
  - von Neumann, 154
    - maximal commutative, 162
  - W\*-algebra, 154
- approximate unit, 101
  - canonical, 71
- auxiliary \*-norm, 7
- $B(H)$ , 3, 7, 8
- Banach
  - algebra, *see* algebra
  - \*-algebra, *see* algebra
- Bicommutant Theorem, 158
- Bochner Thm., abstr., 120, 164
- Borel \*-algebra, 168
- $c_\varphi$ , 94
- $\mathbb{C}[G]$ , 4, 8
- $C_b(X)$ , 9
- $C(K)$ , 9
- $C_o(\Omega)$ , 9
- $C_c(\Omega)$ , 9
- $C(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ , 89
- $C^*(A)$ , 110
- C\*-algebra, 8
  - enveloping, 110
  - isomorphism, 26
  - unitisation, 9
- C\*-property, 8
- canonical
  - approximate unit, 71
  - imbedding, 6
- Cayley transform, 36, 181
- closed operator, 179

- coefficient, 91
- Cohen's Factorisation Thm., 105
- commutant, 40, 85, 154
  - second, 40, 155
- commuting operator, 183
- compactification, 53
  - Stone-Čech, 55
- complementary projections, 63
- completion, 11
- cone, 57
- continuous states
  - normed  $*$ -algebra with, 99
- contractive, 26
- cyclic
  - representation, 86
  - subspace, 86
  - vector, 86
- $\Delta(A)$ , 43, 49
- $\Delta^*(A)$ , 151
- $\Delta_{bsa}^*(A)$ , 119
- $\mathcal{D}(g)$ , 161
- $D(a)$ , 175
- $\mathcal{D}_f$ , 175
- decomposition
  - direct sum, 88
  - orthogonal, 64, 66
- direct sum
  - decomposition, 88
  - of Hilbert spaces, 87
  - of operators, 88
  - of representations, 88
- $E(a)$ , 135
- enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra, 110
- equivalent
  - compactifications, 53
  - spatially
    - representations, 89
  - von Neumann algebra, 171
- extensible, 100
- $\varphi_o$ , 112
- factorisation
  - polar, 62
  - Theorem
    - Cohen, 105
    - Varopoulos, 105
- faithful representation, 83
- Ford's Square Root Lemma, 30
- Fuglede-Putn.-Ros. Thm., 149
- function
  - of an operator, 168, 186
- functional
  - extensible, 100
  - Hermitian, 46
  - indecomposable, 127
  - multiplicative, 43
  - positive, 79
  - quasi-state, 97
  - state, 97
    - pure, 128
  - subordinate, 124
  - weakly continuous on  $A_{sa}$ , 92
- Gelfand
  - topology, 49
  - transform, 43
  - transformation, 43
- Gelfand-Mazur Theorem, 20
- Gelfand-Naïmark
  - seminorm, 110
  - Theorem, 117
    - Commutative, 50
- GNS construction, 91–98
  - $c_\varphi$ , 94
  - $H_\varphi$ , 92
  - $\pi_\varphi$ , 92
  - $v(\varphi)$ , 93
- $H_\varphi$ , 92
- $H_u$ , 109
- $H_{ra}$ , 131
- Hermitian
  - element, 4
  - functional, 46
  - $*$ -algebra, 34
- Hilbert form, 91
- homomorphism, 5
  - unital, 5
  - unitisation, 73
- $I_P$ , 141
- ideal, 44
  - left, 44
  - maximal, 44
  - proper, 44
- idempotent, 63
- image
  - measure, 194
  - spectral measure, 145
- imbedding
  - canonical, 6
- indecomposable, 127
- infinitesimal generator, 187
- intertwining operator, 89



- invariant, 85, 166
- invertible, 13
- involution, 3
  - continuous, 7
  - isometric, 7
- involutory, 63
- irreducible, 123
- isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras, 26
- isotropic, 92
- $j$ , 110
- $J(A)$ , 71
- $\ell^1(G)$ , 11
- $\text{lsp}(a)$ , 135
- $L^\infty(P)$ , 145
- $L^\infty(\mu)$ , 162
- Lemma
  - abstract Riemann-Lebesgue, 49
  - Ford's Square Root, 30
  - Schur's, 123
- $M_1(\Omega)$ , 104, 161
- $M_\mu(g)$ , 161
- $\mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{E})$ , 141
- $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ , 175
- maximal
  - commutative, 162
  - symmetric, 183
- measurable, 193
- measure
  - Borel, 193
  - image, 194
  - inner regular, 193
  - scalar spectral, 171
  - spectral, 139
- Mertens' Theorem, 29
- multiplicative
  - linear functional, 43
- $N(P)$ , 143
- non-degenerate
  - representation, 86
- norm, 7–10, 12, 24
  - algebra norm, 7
  - auxiliary  $*$ -norm, 7
  - supremum norm, 9
  - unitisation, 10, 12, 24
- normal
  - element, 4
  - subset, 40
- normed
  - algebra, *see* algebra
  - $*$ -algebra, *see* algebra
- operational calculus, 38, 51
- operator, 175
  - adjoint, 179
  - closed, 179
  - commuting, 183
  - intertwining, 89
  - positive bounded, 68
  - self-adjoint, 179
  - symmetric, 179
    - maximal, 183
- orthogonal
  - decomposition, 64, 66
  - projections, 63
- $\pi_M$ , 85
- $\pi'$ , 85
- $\pi_\varphi$ , 92
- $\pi_u$ , 109
- $\pi_o$ , 111
- $\pi_{ra}$ , 131
- $\pi_P$ , 142
- $\pi^*$ , 151
- $\pi_\mu$ , 163
- $PS(A)$ , 128
- $P$ -a.e., 143
- $P_\mu$ , 164
- $\Psi_P(f)$ , 176
- polar factorisation, 62
- positive
  - bounded operator, 68
  - element, 57
    - in  $C^*$ -algebra, 65
  - functional, 79
    - on  $C^*$ -algebra, 70
  - Hilbert form, 91
- pre- $C^*$ -algebra, 8
  - unitisation, 9
- projection, 63
- projections
  - complementary, 63
  - orthogonal, 63
- Pták function, 23
- pure state, 128
- $QS(A)$ , 97
- quasi-state, 97
- quotient
  - of  $C^*$ -algebra, 74
  - space, 189
- $r_\lambda(a)$ , 17
- $r_\sigma(a)$ , 23

- $R(\pi)$ , 79
- Raïkov's Theorem, 117
- Rational Spec. Mapp. Thm., 14
- reducing, 85, 166
- reflection, 63
- representation, 79
  - coefficient, 91
  - cyclic, 86
  - direct sum, 88
    - decomposition, 88
  - faithful, 83
  - GNS, 92, 97
  - irreducible, 123
  - non-degenerate, 86
  - reduced atomic, 131
  - $\sigma$ -contractive, 81
  - space, 79
  - spectral, 163
  - subrepresentation, 85
  - universal, 109
  - weakly continuous, 80
    - on  $A_{Sa}$ , 80
- reproducing vector, 94
- resolution of identity, 146
  - support, 146
- Riem.-Leb. Lemma, abstract, 49
- Riesz Representation Thm., 193
- $*$ -algebra, *see* algebra
- $*$ -norm, 7
  - auxiliary, 7
- $*$ -rad( $A$ ), 110
- $*$ -radical, 110
- $*$ -semisimple, 113
- $*$ -stable, 40
- $*$ -subalgebra, 5
  - unital, 5
  - $\sim$ -unital, 5
- $\sigma$ -contractive, 81
- $\text{sp}(a)$ , 13
- $\text{sp}(\pi)$ , 151
- $S(A)$ , 97
- scalar spectral measure, 171
- Schur's Lemma, 123
- self-adjoint
  - element, 4
  - operator, 179
  - subset, 40
- separating vector, 170, 172
- Shirali-Ford Theorem, 60
- spatially equivalent
  - representations, 89
  - von Neumann algebra, 171
- spectral
  - measure, 139
    - image, 145
    - resolution of id., 146
    - scalar, 171
  - radius formula, 19
  - representation, 163
  - resolution
    - commutative  $C^*$ -algebra, 146
    - normal bounded operator, 150
    - representation, 152
    - self-adjoint unb. operator, 183
  - theorem
    - commutative  $C^*$ -algebra, 146
    - normal bounded operator, 150
    - representation, 152
    - self-adjoint unb. operator, 183
- spectrum
  - of an algebra, 49, 130
  - of an element, 13
    - left, 135
  - of an operator, 181
- square root, 30–31, 39, 65
- state, 97
  - pure, 128
- states
  - with continuous states, 99
- Stone-Čech compactification, 55
- Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, 192
- subalgebra, 5
  - unital, 5
    - $*$ -subalgebra, 5
    - $\sim$ -unital, 5
- subordinate, 124
- subrepresentation, 85
- subset
  - normal, 40
  - $*$ -stable, 40
  - self-adjoint, 40
- subspace
  - cyclic, 86
  - invariant, 85, 166
    - commutant, 85
  - isotropic, 92
  - reducing, 85, 166
- support, 146
- symmetric operator, 179
  - maximal, 183
- $\sim$ -unital, 5
- Theorem
  - abstract
    - Bochner, 120, 164

- Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma, 49
- Addition & Multiplication, 178
- Alaoglu, 191
- Cohen's Factorisation, 105
- Ford's Square Root Lemma, 30
- Fuglede-Putnam-Rosenblum, 149
- Gelfand-Mazur, 20
- Gelfand-Naimark, 117
  - Commutative, 50
- Mertens, 29
- Raïkov, 117
- Rational Spectral Mapping, 14
- Riem.-Leb. Lemma, abstract, 49
- Riesz Representation, 193
- Schur's Lemma, 123
- Shirali-Ford, 60
- Spectral
  - commutative  $C^*$ -algebra, 146
  - normal bounded operator, 150
  - representation, 152
  - self-adjoint unb. operator, 183
- Spectral Radius Formula, 19
- Stone-Weierstrass, 192
- Varopoulos, 106
  - Factorisation, 105
- von Neumann, 168
  - Bicommutant, 158
- Wiener's, 48
  - abstract form, 45
- topology
  - Gelfand, 49
  - $\sigma$ -strong, 158
  - $\sigma$ -weak, 158
  - strong operator, 158
  - weak operator, 158
  - weak\*, 191
- unit, 4
  - approximate, 101
  - canonical, 71
- unital
  - algebra, 4
  - homomorphism, 5
  - subalgebra, 5
  - $\sim$ -unital, 5
- unitary element, 36
- unitisation, 5, 9, 10, 12, 24
  - of homomorphism, 73
- universal
  - property
    - of enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra, 111
    - of Stone-Čech compactif., 56
    - of weak\* topology, 191
  - representation, 109
- $v(\varphi)$ , 93, 102, 107
- variation, 93
- Varopoulos' Theorem, 106
  - Factorisation, 105
- vector
  - cyclic, 86, 170
  - separating, 170, 172
- von Neumann
  - algebra, 154
    - maximal commutative, 162
  - Theorem, 168
    - Bicommutant, 158
- $W^*(\pi)$ , 155
- $W^*$ -algebra, 154
- weakly continuous, 80
  - on  $A_{sa}$ , 80, 92
- Wiener's Theorem, 48
  - abstract form, 45